Case: 19-35394, 06/21/2019, ID: 11340646, DktEntry: 36, Page 1 of 5

No. 19-35394

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ALEX M. AZAR II, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; and UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Defendants-Appellants.

NATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING & REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

ALEX M. AZAR II, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY ADMINISTRATIVE STAY

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Assistant Attorney General

HASHIM M. MOOPPAN

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

BRINTON LUCAS

Senior Counsel

MICHAEL S. RAAB

KATHERINE ALLEN

JAYNIE LILLEY

Attorneys, Appellate Staff

Civil Division

U.S. Department of Justice, Room 7321

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20530

This Court should deny plaintiffs' extraordinary requests for an administrative stay while this expedited appeal proceeds. Neither motion identifies any instance in which this Court has granted rehearing en banc on an order staying a preliminary injunction pending appeal—let alone an administrative stay to consider whether to grant such a rehearing—and we are aware of none.

This case should not be the one to break new ground. As the panel's decision acknowledged, the regulations challenged here are materially indistinguishable from if not less restrictive than—the ones that the Supreme Court upheld in Rust v. Sullivan, 500 U.S. 173 (1991). Plaintiffs do not seriously dispute this, nor do they provide any compelling reason why extraordinary measures from this Court are necessary to address regulations essentially identical to ones that the Supreme Court has already upheld. Although plaintiffs (wrongly) contend that subsequent laws have implicitly abrogated the Supreme Court's decision, the unanimous panel's careful decision explained the flaws in that theory, and in any event, plaintiffs' mere disagreement with the panel does not warrant the extraordinary relief they now seek. And although plaintiffs may object—strongly—to the effect of these regulations, the current state of affairs is not meaningfully different from the status quo in the wake of the Supreme Court's decision in Rust. Again, while plaintiffs may disagree with the unanimous panel's conclusions on this issue, that is no justification for the novel relief they seek.

For the reasons above, as well as those given in the panel's decision, this Court should deny plaintiffs' motions for an administrative stay.

Case: 19-35394, 06/21/2019, ID: 11340646, DktEntry: 36, Page 3 of 5

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Assistant Attorney General

HASHIM M. MOOPPAN
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

BRINTON LUCAS

Senior Counsel

202-514-3542

MICHAEL S. RAAB KATHERINE ALLEN s/Jaynie Lilley

JAYNIE LILLEY
Attorneys, Appellate Staff
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice, Room 7321
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530

Case: 19-35394, 06/21/2019, ID: 11340646, DktEntry: 36, Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that the foregoing complies with the type-volume

limitation of Ninth Circuit Rules 27-1 and 32-3 because it contains 264 words.

It complies with the typeface and the type style requirements of Federal Rule of

Appellate Procedure 27 because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally

spaced typeface using Word 14-point Garamond typeface.

s/ Jaynie Lilley JAYNIE LILLEY Case: 19-35394, 06/21/2019, ID: 11340646, DktEntry: 36, Page 5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 21, 2019, I filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. All participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/ Jaynie Lilley
JAYNIE LILLEY