## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WICHITA FALLS DIVISION

TEXAS, KANSAS, LOUISIANA, INDIANA, WISCONSIN, and NEBRASKA <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
V.	> \$ \$
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ALEX AZAR, in his Official Capacity as SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, UNITED STATES INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, and CHARLES P. RETTIG, in his Official Capacity as COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE,	Civil Action No. 7:15-CV-00151-O
Defendants.	Š

## [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

On March 5, 2018, the Court granted in part and denied in part the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. Mem. Op. & Order 62, ECF No. 88. The Court declared that "[b]ecause 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i)(C) (2002) delegates legislative power in violation of the United States Constitution and the [Administrative Procedure Act], . . . it is set aside as 'contrary to the constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity,' and 'in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, short of statutory right." *Id.* (quoting 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B)-(C)). As the Court recognized, 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i)(C) (2002) has been recodified, *id.* at 62

n.57; the relevant provision is now located at 42 C.F.R. § 438.2 (definition of "Actuary") and 42 C.F.R. § 438.4(b)(6).

On August 21, 2018, the Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiffs' motion for entry of final judgment and for reconsideration of the Court's dismissal of their claims for refunds and other rulings. Order 17, ECF No. 100. The Court found that "Plaintiffs are entitled to equitable disgorgement of their HIPF payments" for 2014 through 2016. *Id.* at 14.

In a Joint Status Report and subsequent Joint Notice, the parties provided the Court with their agreement as to reasonable approximations of the amount each Plaintiff State paid to account for its managed care organizations' Health Insurance Providers Fee payments for Medicaid and CHIP premiums for 2014–2016 for the purposes of the Court's award of equitable disgorgement in this matter. ECF Nos. 144, 146. Specifically, the parties agreed to the following amounts:

State	2014	2015	2016	Total
TX	\$82,189,553.00	\$105,738,576.00	\$108,050,362.00	\$295,978,491.00
KS	\$13,657,121.00	\$22,495,820.00	\$20,403,057.00	\$56,555,998.00
LA	\$12,290,652.02	\$17,014,999.26	\$34,495,921.88	\$63,801,573.16
IN	\$4,421,245.00	\$4,537,910.00	\$7,751,354.00	\$16,710,509.00
WI	\$6,992,133.83	\$7,572,784.14	\$8,653,911.11	\$23,218,829.08
NE	\$5,392,992.00	\$9,412,689.00	\$8,329,962.00	\$23,135,643.00

On July 19, 2019, the Court denied Plaintiffs' motion for an award of prejudgment and postjudgment interest. Order 5, ECF No. 152.

NOW THEREFORE, in accordance with the parties' agreement and the Court's prior orders, it is accordingly ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part; Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in part and **DENIED in part**; Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and for Reconsideration of the Court's Dismissal of Their Claims for Refunds and Other Rulings is **GRANTED in part and DENIED in part**. The Court further **DECLARES and SETS ASIDE** 42 C.F.R. § 438.2 (definition of "Actuary") and 42 C.F.R. § 438.4(b)(6) as "contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity," under 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B). The Court further ORDERS Defendants to pay each Plaintiff the following amounts, and to submit the payments for processing within 30 days of this final judgment unless execution of the judgment is stayed pending appeal:

Texas: \$295,978,491.00 Kansas: \$56,555,998.00 Louisiana: \$63,801,573.16 Indiana: \$16,710,509.00 Wisconsin: \$23,218,829.08 Nebraska: \$23,135, 643.00.

All such other relief requested by Plaintiffs that is not specifically granted herein is denied. Nothing herein shall be construed to affect the Parties' reservation of their rights to appeal all orders and rulings in this case, including liability, the availability of disgorgement (but not the amount of any equitable disgorgement as set forth above) or any other remedy, the availability and calculation of any prejudgment or post-judgment interest, and any other issue. *See* Joint Status Report 3, ECF No. 144.

**SO ORDERED** on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2019.

HONORABLE REED O'CONNOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Approved as to form and substance:

## For State Plaintiffs:

DEREK SCHMIDT Attorney General of Kansas

JEFF LANDRY Attorney General of Louisiana

CURTIS HILL Attorney General of Indiana

JOSH KAUL Attorney General of Wisconsin

DOUG PETERSON Attorney General of Nebraska KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas

JEFFREY C. MATEER First Assistant Attorney General

RYAN L. BANGERT Deputy Attorney General for Legal Counsel

<u>/s/David J. Hacker</u> DAVID J. HACKER Special Counsel for Civil Litigation Texas Bar No. 24103323 david.hacker@oag.texas.gov

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548, Mail Code 001 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Tel: 512-936-1414

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

For Defendants:

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General ERIN NEALY COX United States Attorney JENNIFER D. RICKETTS Director, Federal Programs Branch MICHELLE R. BENNETT CO Bar No. 37050 Assistant Branch Director

<u>/s/Julie Straus Harris</u> JULIE STRAUS HARRIS DC Bar No. 1021928 Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

1100 L Street NW, Room 11514 Washington, DC 20530 Tel: (202) 353-7633 Fax: (202) 616-8470 E-mail: Julie.StrausHarris@usdoj.gov

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS