Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALEX AZAR, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>19-cv-01184-EMC</u>

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO BIFURCATE ISSUES AND SET SUMMARY ADJUDICATION **SCHEDULE**

Docket No. 152

On October 8, 2019, Plaintiffs in Essential Access Health, Inc. et al v. Azar II et al (3:19cv-01195-EMC) filed an Administrative Motion to Set Summary Judgment Briefing Schedule. See Docket No. 125 in Essential Access. On October 17, 2019, the Court denied Plaintiffs' Motion. See Docket No. 128 in Essential Access. In that Order, the Court noted that "[p]rior to oral arguments at the Ninth Circuit, that court directed parties to 'be prepared to discuss . . . the district courts' preliminary injunction orders on the merits." Id. (quoting Ninth Circuit Order from August 1, 2019, Docket No. 111 in Essential Access). The Court also observed that "there is a significant likelihood that the Ninth Circuit may address the merits." *Id*.

The State of California has now filed a Motion to Bifurcate Issues and Set Summary Adjudication Schedule or, Alternatively, Set Case Management Conference. See Docket No. 152 in State of California. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks to set a briefing schedule for summary adjudication of California's Administrative Procedure Act claims, so that a merits determination can be reached in this case. *Id.* at 1, 3. As Defendants note in their Opposition to the Motion, "the Court's [previous] order reflects the reality that a ruling by the Ninth Circuit is likely to provide substantial, if not dispositive, guidance to this Court and the parties in resolving [the] central

Case 3:19-cv-01184-EMC Document 154 Filed 10/24/19 Page 2 of 2

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |

28

United States District Court Northern District of California 1

| merits issues" of this case. See Opposition to Administrative Motion at 2, Docket No. 153 in State |
|--|
| ments issues of this case. See Opposition to Administrative Motion at 2, Docket No. 133 in State |
| of California. In light of that fact, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's Motion to Set Summary |
| Judgment Briefing Schedule or Set Case Management Conference. |
| This order disposes of Docket No. 152. |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2019

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge