

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD )  
OF NORTH DAKOTA, )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. )  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Case No. 20-846 C

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Dakota (“Plaintiff” or “BCBSND”), by and through its undersigned counsel, brings this action against Defendant, the United States of America (“Defendant,” “United States,” or “Government”), and alleges the following:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. BCBSND brings this Complaint to recover money damages owed by the Government for calendar year 2014 (“CY 2014”), for violations of the mandatory risk corridors payment obligations Defendant owes to BCBSND’s qualified health plans (“QHPs”), that are prescribed in Section 1342 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“ACA”) and its implementing federal regulations.

2. Congress’ enactment in 2010 of the ACA marked a major reform in the United States health care market.

3. The market reform guaranteed availability of health care to all Americans, and prohibited health insurers from using factors such as health status, medical history, preexisting conditions, gender, and industry of employment to set premium rates or deny coverage.

4. The ACA introduced scores of previously uninsured or underinsured citizens into the health care marketplace, creating great uncertainty for health insurers, including Plaintiff, that had no previous experience or reliable data to meaningfully assess the risks and set the premiums for this new population of insureds under the ACA.

5. Congress, recognizing such uncertainty for health insurers and the potential increased premiums that would come with that uncertainty, included three premium-stabilization programs in the ACA to help protect health insurers against risk selection and market uncertainty, including the temporary federally administered risk corridors program, which mandated that the Government pay health insurers annual risk corridors payments based on a statutorily prescribed formula to provide health insurers with stability as insurance market reforms began.

6. Under the statutory parameters of the risk corridors program, the Government shared the risk with QHPs – such as Plaintiff’s – associated with the new marketplace’s uncertainty for each of the temporary program’s three years: 2014, 2015 and 2016. If the amount a QHP collected in premiums in any one of those years exceeded its medical expenses by a certain target amount, the QHP was required to make a payment to the Government. If annual premiums fell short of this target, however, Congress required the Government to make risk corridors payments to the QHP in an amount prescribed by a formula in Section 1342.

7. The temporary risk corridors program was designed to ease the transition between the old and new health insurance marketplaces and help stabilize premiums for consumers.

8. The United States has admitted in writing its obligations to pay the full amount of risk corridors payments owed to BCBSND for CY 2014, totaling at least \$382,368, but Defendant has failed to pay the full amount due. Instead, the Government has not paid any of the

total amount due for CY 2014, asserting that the Government’s obligation to make full payment to BCBSND is limited by available appropriations, even though no such limits appear anywhere in the ACA or its implementing regulations or in BCBSND’s contracts with the Government.

9. Although the United States has repeatedly acknowledged its obligation to make full risk corridors payments to BCBSND, it has failed to do so in breach of its statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations. This Complaint seeks monetary damages from the Government of at least \$382,368, which represents the amount of unpaid risk corridors payments Defendant has admitted is owed to Plaintiff for CY 2014.

10. The legal issues presented in this case in this case are identical to those the Supreme Court recently decided in favor of the appellee-health insurers in *Maine Community Health Options v. United States*. No. 18-1023, 140 S. Ct. 1308 (2020).

11. In *Maine Community Health Options*, the Supreme Court reversed the Federal Circuit’s ruling in *Moda Health Plan, Inc. v. United States*, 892 F. 3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2018) and held that: (1) “The Risk Corridors Statute created a government obligation to pay insurers the full amount set out in §1342’s [statutory] formula” based on the statute’s “express terms and context” (*Maine Cnty.*, 140 S. Ct. at 1319-20 ); (2) the “shall pay” mandate in §1342, on its “plain terms,” was a legally binding “obligation neither contingent on nor limited by the availability of appropriations or other funds” (*id.* at 1321, 1323); (3) Congress did not impliedly repeal the statutory payment obligation through later-enacted appropriations riders (*id.* at 1323-27-); and (4) this Court has jurisdiction under the Tucker Act to award monetary damages against the government based on the “money-mandating” nature of the “shall pay” statutory payment obligation in §1342 (*id.* at 1327-31). The Supreme Court’s decision in *Maine Community Health Options* is dispositive of the legal issues in this case.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. This Court has jurisdiction over this action and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491(a)(1), because Plaintiff brings claims for monetary damages over \$10,000 against the United States founded upon the Government's violations of a money-mandating Act of Congress, a money-mandating regulation of an executive department, and an implied-in-fact contract with the United States.

13. The actions and/or decisions of the Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (“CMS”) at issue in this lawsuit were conducted on behalf of the Defendant United States within the District of Columbia.

**PARTIES**

14. Plaintiff Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Dakota is a not-for-profit mutual company located in Fargo, North Dakota, and is the largest provider of health care coverage in North Dakota. BCBSND has been a QHP issuer on the North Dakota Health Insurance Marketplace each calendar year since CY 2014.

15. Defendant is THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. HHS and CMS are agencies of the Defendant United States of America.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

**Congress Enacts the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act**

16. Congress' enactment in 2010 of the ACA, Public Law 111-148, 124 Stat. 119, marked a historic shift in the United States health care market.

17. Through the ACA, Congress aimed to increase the number of Americans covered by health insurance and decrease the cost of health care in the U.S., and included a series of interlocking reforms designed to expand coverage in the individual and small group health insurance markets. The market reforms guaranteed availability of health care to all Americans,

and prohibited health insurers from using factors such as health status, medical history, preexisting conditions, gender, or industry of employment to set premium rates or deny coverage.

18. The ACA provides that “each health insurance issuer that offers health insurance coverage in the individual or [small] group market in a State must accept every employer and individual in the State that applies for such coverage.” 42 U.S.C. § 300gg–1(a).

19. The ACA also generally bars insurers from charging higher premiums on the basis of a person’s health. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 300gg.

20. Through the ACA, Congress created competitive statewide health insurance marketplaces – the ACA Exchanges – that offer health insurance options to consumers and small businesses.” Section 1311 of the ACA establishes the framework for the Exchanges. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 18031.

21. Plaintiff voluntarily participated and offered QHPs in the ACA Marketplace in North Dakota after complying with the certification requirements of the Government and/or state-level operator of the North Dakota ACA Exchange, from January 1, 2014 (the first day of the ACA Exchanges) through the present. For each of those years, BCBSND’s premiums were submitted to and approved by the state’s insurance regulator in the spring and/or summer of the previous year (*e.g.*, spring and/or summer of 2013 for CY 2014).

22. Upon the Government’s and/or the state-level operator’s evaluation and certification of Plaintiff’s QHPs, Plaintiff was required to provide a package of “essential health benefits” on the ACA Exchanges on which they voluntarily participated. 42 U.S.C. § 18021(a)(1).

23. In deciding to become and continue as a QHP issuer in North Dakota, BCBSND understood and believed that in exchange for complying with numerous obligations imposed on QHPs, the Government would comply with many reciprocal obligations imposed on it – including the obligations to make full and timely risk corridors payments to eligible QHPs, like BCBSND's. The Government, however, unlawfully has failed to do so, as detailed below.

**The ACA's Premium-Stabilization Programs**

24. The three premium-stabilization programs created by Congress in the ACA – temporary reinsurance and risk corridors programs to give insurers payment stability as insurance market reforms began, and an ongoing risk adjustment program that makes payments to health insurance issuers that cover higher-risk populations (*e.g.*, those with chronic conditions) to more evenly spread the financial risk borne by issuers – began in CY 2014. These three premium-stabilization programs are known as the “3Rs.”

25. Congress’ overarching goal of the 3Rs premium-stabilization programs, along with other Exchange-related provisions and policies in the ACA, was to make affordable health insurance available to individuals who previously did not have access to such coverage, and to help ensure that every American has access to high-quality, affordable health care by protecting consumers from increases in premiums due to health insurer uncertainty. *See, e.g.*, 42 U.S.C. § 18091(2)(I)-(J) (stating that one of the goals of the ACA was “creating effective health insurance markets”).

26. Congress also strived to provide certainty and protect against adverse selection in the health care market (when a health insurance purchaser understands his or her own potential health risk better than the health insurance issuer does) while stabilizing premiums in the individual and small group markets as the ACA’s market reforms and Exchanges began in 2014.

27. Of the 3Rs, this Complaint addresses only the temporary, three-year risk corridors program, which began in CY 2014 and expired at the end of CY 2016, and was a “Federally administered program.” 77 FR 17219, 17221 (Mar. 23, 2012), attached hereto at Exhibit 01.

28. By enacting the risk corridors program through Section 1342 of the ACA, Congress recognized that, due to uncertainty about the population entering the ACA Exchanges during the first few years of Exchange operation, health insurers would not be able to predict their risk accurately, and that their premiums may reflect costs that are ultimately lower or higher than predicted. *See* 76 FR 41929, 41931 (July 15, 2011), attached hereto at Exhibit 02; 77 FR 73118, 73119 (Dec. 7, 2012), attached hereto at Exhibit 03 (“The risk corridors program … will protect against uncertainty in rates for qualified health plans by limiting the extent of issuer losses and gains.”).

29. While the risk adjustment and reinsurance programs were designed to share risk *between* health plans, Congress designed the risk corridors program to share risk between insurers *and the Government*. *See* 77 FR 73118, 73121 (Dec. 7, 2012), Ex. 03 (“The temporary risk corridors program permits *the Federal government* and QHPs *to share* in profits or losses resulting from inaccurate rate setting from 2014 to 2016.” (emphasis added)).

30. The risk corridors program applied only to participating plans, like BCBSND’s, that agreed to participate on the ACA Exchanges, accepted all of the responsibilities and obligations of QHPs as set forth in the statute and implementing regulations, and were certified as QHPs at the discretion of CMS and/or the state-level operators of the ACA Exchanges in accordance with CMS regulations. All insurers that elected to enter into agreements with the Government to become QHPs were required by Section 1342(a) of the ACA to participate in the risk corridors program.

31. The financial protections that Congress provided in the 3Rs statutory premium-stabilization programs, including the mandatory annual risk corridors payments, provided QHPs with the security – backed by federal law and the full faith and credit of the United States – to become participating health insurers in their respective states’ ACA markets, at considerable cost to the QHPs, despite the significant financial risks posed by the uncertainty in the new health care markets.

32. Since the launch of the ACA Exchanges in 2014, BCBSND participated as a QHP issuer on the ACA Exchanges in North Dakota and continues to participate on North Dakota ACA Exchange today.

33. BCBSND agreed to participate in the North Dakota ACA Exchange based on the understanding that the United States would honor its statutory, regulatory, and contractual commitments regarding, *inter alia*, the 3Rs, including the temporary risk corridors program.

34. The Government has failed to hold up its end of the bargain, necessitating the filing of this lawsuit.

#### **The ACA’s Risk Corridors Payment Methodology**

35. Under the ACA’s risk corridors program, the federal government shares risk with QHP health insurers annually in “calendar years 2014, 2015, and 2016,” 42 U.S.C. § 18062(a), attached hereto at Exhibit 04, by collecting charges from a health insurer if the insurer’s QHP premiums exceed claims costs of QHP enrollees by a certain amount, and by making payments to the insurer if the insurer’s QHP premiums fall short by a certain amount. *Id.* at §18062(b).

36. In this manner, “[r]isk corridors create a mechanism for sharing risk for allowable costs between the Federal government and QHP issuers.” 76 FR 41929, 41942 (July 15, 2011), Ex. 02.

37. Through ACA Sections 1342(b)(1) and (2), Congress established the payment methodology and formula for the risk corridors “payments in” and “payments out.”

38. The text of Section 1342(b) states:

(b) Payment methodology

(1) Payments out

The Secretary shall provide under the program established under subsection (a) that if—

(A) a participating plan’s allowable costs for any plan year are more than 103 percent but not more than 108 percent of the target amount, the Secretary shall pay to the plan an amount equal to 50 percent of the target amount in excess of 103 percent of the target amount; and

(B) a participating plan’s allowable costs for any plan year are more than 108 percent of the target amount, the Secretary shall pay to the plan an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of allowable costs in excess of 108 percent of the target amount.

(2) Payments in

The Secretary shall provide under the program established under subsection (a) that if—

(A) a participating plan’s allowable costs for any plan year are less than 97 percent but not less than 92 percent of the target amount, the plan shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to 50 percent of the excess of 97 percent of the target amount over the allowable costs; and

(B) a participating plan’s allowable costs for any plan year are less than 92 percent of the target amount, the plan shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of the excess of 92 percent of the target amount over the allowable costs.

42 U.S.C. § 18062(b), Ex. 04.

39. To determine whether a QHP in any year must pay into, or receive payments from, the Government under the risk corridors program, HHS compared allowable costs

(essentially, claims costs subject to adjustments for health care quality, health IT, annual risk adjustment payments and charges, and annual reinsurance payments) and the target amount – the difference between a QHP’s earned premiums and allowable administrative costs.

40. Pursuant to the Section 1342(b) formula, each year from CY 2014 through CY 2016, QHPs with allowable costs that were less than 97 percent of the QHP’s target amount were required to remit charges for a percentage of those cost savings to HHS, while QHPs with allowable costs greater than 103 percent of the QHP’s target amount were to receive payments from HHS to offset a percentage of those losses. None of these payments was contingent upon collections.

41. The risk corridors program did not require the Government to reimburse insurers for 100 percent of their losses in a calendar year, nor did the program require insurers to remit 100 percent of their gains to the Government in a calendar year.

42. Section 1342(b)(1) prescribes the specific payment formula from HHS to QHPs whose costs in a calendar year exceed their original target amounts by more than three percent.

43. Section 1342(b)(1)(A) requires that if a QHP’s allowable costs in a calendar year are more than 103 percent, but not more than 108 percent, of the target amount, then “the Secretary [of HHS] shall pay” to the QHP an amount equal to 50 percent of the target amount in excess of 103 percent of the target amount.

44. Section 1342(b)(1)(B) further requires that if a QHP’s allowable costs in a calendar year are more than 108 percent of the target amount, then “the Secretary [of HHS] shall pay” to the QHP an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of the allowable costs in excess of 108 percent of the target amount.

45. Alternatively, Section 1342(b)(2) sets forth the amount of the annual risk corridors charges that must be remitted to HHS by QHPs whose costs in a calendar year are more than three percent below their original target amounts.

46. Section 1342(b)(2)(A) requires that if a QHP's allowable costs in a calendar year are less than 97 percent, but not less than 92 percent, of the target amount, then "the plan shall pay to the Secretary [of HHS]" an amount equal to 50 percent of the excess of 97 percent of the target amount over the allowable costs.

47. Section 1342(b)(2)(B) requires that if a QHP's allowable costs in a calendar year are less than 92 percent of the target amount, then "the plan shall pay to the Secretary [of HHS]" an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of the excess of 92 percent of the target amount over the allowable costs.

48. Through this risk corridors payment methodology, QHPs keep all gains and bear all losses that they experience within three percent of their target amount for a calendar year, and the Government does not share in the risk. For example, a QHP that has a target amount of \$10 million in a given calendar year will not pay a risk corridors charge or receive a risk corridors payment if its allowable charges range between \$9.7 million and \$10.3 million for that calendar year.

49. HHS and CMS provided specific examples of risk corridors payment and charge calculations beyond the three percent threshold – published in the Federal Register dated July 15, 2011, at 76 FR 41929, 41943 – which illustrate risk corridors payments the Government must pay under different allowable cost, target amount, and gain and loss scenarios. *See* 76 FR 41929, 41943 (July 15, 2011), Ex. 02.

50. The American Academy of Actuaries provided an approximate illustration of the risk corridors payment methodology – excluding the charge or payment of 2.5 percent of the target amount for gains or losses greater than eight percent – as follows:

Illustration of ACA Risk Corridors					
Actual Spending Less Than Expected Spending			Actual Spending Greater Than Expected Spending		
Plan Keeps 20% of Gains	Plan Keeps 50% of Gains	Plan Keeps All Gains	Plan Bears Full Losses	Plan Bears 50% of Losses	Plan Bears 20% of Losses
Plan Pays Government 80% of Gains	Plan Pays Government 50% of Gains		Plan Bears Full Losses	Government Reimburses 50% of Losses	Government Reimburses 80% of Losses

-8%      -3%      0%      3%      8%

Source: American Academy of Actuaries, *Fact Sheet: ACA Risk-Sharing Mechanisms* (2013), available at [http://actuary.org/files/ACA\\_Risk\\_Share\\_Fact\\_Sheet\\_FINAL120413.pdf](http://actuary.org/files/ACA_Risk_Share_Fact_Sheet_FINAL120413.pdf), attached hereto at Exhibit 05.

#### **BCBSND Decides to Offer QHPs on the North Dakota ACA Exchange**

51. In deciding to apply to offer QHPs on the North Dakota ACA Exchange, BCBSND relied upon HHS' commitments to make full risk corridors payments annually to QHPs as required in Section 1342 of the ACA regardless of whether risk corridors payments to QHPs are actually greater than risk corridors charges collected from QHPs for a particular calendar year.

52. Despite HHS' commitments, the Government failed to make full annual risk corridors payments to BCBSND for CY 2014.

### **HHS' Risk Corridors Regulations**

53. Congress directed HHS to administer the risk corridors program enacted in Section 1342. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 18062(a), Ex. 04. The HHS Secretary formally delegated authority over the Section 1342 risk corridors program to the CMS Administrator on August 30, 2011. *See* 76 FR 53903, 53903-04 (Aug. 30, 2011), attached hereto at Exhibit 06. That delegation recognized that the ACA risk corridors program was statutorily required to be “based on” the Medicare Part D risk corridors program. *Id.* By authority of this delegation from the HHS Secretary, CMS issued implementing regulations for the risk corridors program at 45 C.F.R. Part 153.

54. In 45 C.F.R. § 153.510, CMS adopted a risk corridors calculation “for calendar years 2014, 2015, and 2016,” 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(a), that is mathematically identical to the statutory formulation in Section 1342 of the ACA, using the identical thresholds and risk-sharing levels specified in the statute. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 153.510, attached hereto at Exhibit 07.

55. Specifically, 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b) prescribes the method for determining risk corridors payment amounts that QHPs “will receive”:

(b) *HHS payments to health insurance issuers.* QHP issuers will receive payment from HHS in the following amounts, under the following circumstances:

(1) When a QHP’s allowable costs for any benefit year are more than 103 percent but not more than 108 percent of the target amount, HHS will pay the QHP issuer an amount equal to 50 percent of the allowable costs in excess of 103 percent of the target amount; and

(2) When a QHP’s allowable costs for any benefit year are more than 108 percent of the target amount, HHS will pay to the QHP issuer an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of allowable costs in excess of 108 percent of the target amount.

56. By this regulation, the Government intended that HHS “will pay” and QHPs “will receive” risk corridors payments in “an amount equal to” the risk corridors calculation “[w]hen”

it is determined that a QHP qualifies for risk corridors payments – not some fraction of that amount at some indeterminate future date, or never at all.

57. Furthermore, 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(c) prescribes the circumstances under which QHPs “must remit” charges to HHS, as well as the means by which HHS will determine those charge amounts:

(c) *Health insurance issuers’ remittance of charges.* QHP issuers must remit charges to HHS in the following amounts, under the following circumstances:

- (1) If a QHP’s allowable costs for any benefit year are less than 97 percent but not less than 92 percent of the target amount, the QHP issuer must remit charges to HHS in an amount equal to 50 percent of the difference between 97 percent of the target amount and the allowable costs; and
- (2) When a QHP’s allowable costs for any benefit year are less than 92 percent of the target amount, the QHP issuer must remit charges to HHS in an amount equal to the sum of 2.5 percent of the target amount plus 80 percent of the difference between 92 percent of the target amount and the allowable costs.

58. Nowhere does 45 C.F.R. § 153.510 make payments to QHPs contingent upon collections received.

59. The payment methodology provisions at 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(a) to (c) were adopted by HHS in final rulemaking on March 23, 2012, after a notice-and-comment period. *See* 77 FR 17219, 17251 (Mar. 23, 2012), Ex. 01.

60. In the preceding July 15, 2011 proposed rule, CMS and HHS stated regarding risk corridors payment deadlines that:

HHS would make payments to QHP issuers that are owed risk corridor amounts from HHS within a 30-day period after HHS determines that a payment should be made to the QHP issuer. We believe that QHP issuers who are owed these amounts will want prompt payment, and also believe that the payment deadlines should be the same for HHS and QHP issuers.

76 FR 41929, 41943 (July 15, 2011), Ex. 02.

61. In the final rulemaking of March 23, 2012, HHS responded to comments received supporting the 30-day payment deadline to QHPs, and stated that it “plan[ned] to address the risk corridors payment deadline in the HHS notice of benefit and payment parameters.” 77 FR 17219, 17239 (Mar. 23, 2012), Ex. 01. HHS reiterated, however, that:

While we did not propose deadlines in the proposed rule, we … suggested … that HHS would make payments to QHP issuers that are owed risk corridors amounts within a 30-day period after HHS determines that a payment should be made to the QHP issuer. *QHP issuers who are owed these amounts will want prompt payment, and payment deadlines should be the same for HHS and QHP issuers.*

*Id.* (emphasis added).

62. This was HHS’ final administrative construction and interpretation regarding the deadline for HHS’ risk corridors payments to QHPs; it never “address[ed] the risk corridors payment deadline in the HHS notice of benefit and payment parameters.” *Id.*

63. Following a notice-and-comment period, CMS published a final rule on March 11, 2013, adopting, among other things, the 30-day deadline for a QHP to remit risk corridors charges to the Government. 78 FR 15409, 15531 (Mar. 11, 2013), attached hereto at Exhibit 08. This resulted in 45 C.F.R. § 153.510 being amended by adding the following subsection:

(d) *Charge submission deadline.* A QHP issuer must remit charges to HHS within 30 days after notification of such charges.

64. HHS also adopted a final rule on March 11, 2013, amending 45 C.F.R. § 153.530 by adding subsection (d), imposing the annual requirement that “[f]or each benefit year, a QHP issuer must submit all information required under this section by July 31 of the year following the benefit year.” *Id.*

65. While CMS never imposed in the implementing regulations a specific deadline for HHS to tender full risk corridors payments to QHPs whose allowable costs in a calendar year are greater than 103 percent of the QHP’s target amount, the Government also never contravened

its earlier public statements that the deadline for the Government's payment of risk corridors payments to QHPs should be identical to the deadline for a QHP's remittance of charges to the Government. *See* 76 FR 41929, 41943 (July 15, 2011), Ex. 02; 77 FR 17219, 17238 (Mar. 23, 2012), Ex. 01.

66. BCBSND relied upon these statements by HHS and CMS in the Federal Register in deciding to agree to become, and continue to act as, a QHP issuer in North Dakota, and accept the obligations and responsibilities of a QHP issuer, believing that the Government would pay the full risk corridors payments owed to it within 30 days, or shortly thereafter, following a determination that BCBSND experienced losses sufficient to qualify for risk corridors payments under Section 1342 of the ACA and 45 C.F.R. § 153.510.

67. Nothing in Section 1342 or 45 C.F.R. Part 153 limits the Government's obligation to pay QHPs the full amount of risk corridors payments due based on appropriations, restrictions on the use of funds, or otherwise.

68. The United States should have paid BCBSND the full CY 2014 risk corridors payments due by the end of CY 2015 but failed or refused to do so as required under Section 1342 of the ACA and 45 C.F.R. § 153.510.

#### **Plaintiff's Plans Were Accepted and Approved as QHPs**

69. Based on Congress' statutory commitments set forth in the ACA, including, but not limited to, Section 1342 and the risk corridors program, as well as on the Government's statements and conduct regarding its risk corridors obligations, Plaintiff agreed to offer QHPs on the North Dakota ACA Exchange, and to enter into QHP Agreements with the Government, after Government had exercised its discretion to certify Plaintiff as a QHP in North Dakota.

70. BCBSND executed a QHP Agreement with CMS on September 23, 2013. *See* North Dakota ACA QHP Agreement, attached hereto at Exhibit 09. BCBSND's QHP Agreement with CMS was effective from September 23, 2013 until December 31, 2014.

71. Before BCBSND executed the CY 2014 QHP Agreement, BCBSND executed an attestation certifying its compliance with the obligations it was undertaking by continuing to act as a QHP on the ACA Exchange in North Dakota. *See* CY 2014 Attestation, attached hereto at Exhibit 10. BCBSND's plans participated as QHPs in North Dakota each year from CY 2014 to the present.

72. Congress mandated that "the Secretary shall pay" risk corridors payments to eligible QHPs like BCBSND's under 42 U.S.C. § 18062(b). Had BCBSND known that the Government would fail to fully and timely make the risk corridors payments owed to BCBSND – reneging on the Government's assurances that "[t]he risk corridors program ... will protect against uncertainty in rates for [QHPs] by limiting the extent of issuer losses and gains," 77 FR 73118, 73119 (Dec. 7, 2012), Ex. 03, then BCBSND's annual premiums on the North Dakota ACA Exchange on which it voluntarily participated would necessarily have been higher than actually charged, as a result of the increased risks in the Marketplace.

73. The Government's promised risk-sharing mandated through the risk corridors program was a significant factor in BCBSND's decision to agree to become a QHP issuer and undertake the many responsibilities and obligations required for BCBSND to participate in the ACA Exchanges.

**HHS' and CMS' Interpretation of The Government's**  
**Section 1342 Risk Corridors Payment Obligations**

74. Between Congress' enactment of the ACA in 2010 and the 2013 commitment of QHPs, including BCBSND's, to the ACA Exchanges, HHS and CMS repeatedly and publicly

acknowledged and confirmed to BCBSND and other QHPs the Government's statutory and regulatory obligations to make full and timely risk corridors payments to eligible QHPs.

75. HHS and CMS continued making statements recognizing the Government's full and annual risk corridors payment obligations through September 2016.

76. These repeated public statements by HHS and CMS were made or ratified by representatives of the Government who had actual authority to bind the United States, including, but not limited to, the HHS Secretary and Kevin J. Counihan, the CMS official designated as the Chief Executive Officer of the ACA Health Insurance Marketplaces and Director of CMS's Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight ("CCIIO"), which regulates health insurance at the federal level. *See CMS Leadership, Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight, Kevin Counihan, <https://www.cms.gov/About-CMS/Leadership/cciio/Kevin-Counihan.html>* (last visited Jan. 12, 2017), attached hereto at Exhibit 11 (Mr. Counihan's job description).

77. BCBSND relied on these repeated public statements by HHS and CMS to assume and continue its QHP issuer status, including its continued participation in the North Dakota ACA Exchange each year from CY 2014 through the present.

78. On July 11, 2011, HHS issued a fact sheet on HealthCare.gov stating that under the risk corridors program, "[f]rom 2014 through 2016" – "qualified health plan issuers with costs greater than three percent of cost projections will receive payments from HHS to offset a percentage of those losses." HealthCare.gov, *Affordable Insurance Exchanges: Standards Related to Reinsurance, Risk Corridors and Risk Adjustment* (July 11, 2011), attached hereto at Exhibit 12.

79. In the same July 11, 2011 fact sheet, HHS stated that “[r]isk corridors create a mechanism for sharing risk for allowable costs between the Federal government and qualified health plan issuers.” *Id.*

80. On July 15, 2011, in a proposed rule, HHS noted that although the proposed regulations did not contain any deadlines for QHPs to remit charges to HHS or for HHS to make risk corridors payments to QHPs, such deadlines were under consideration, with HHS stating that:

HHS would make payments to QHP issuers that are owed risk corridor amounts from HHS within a 30-day period after HHS determines that a payment should be made to the QHP issuer. We believe that QHP issuers who are owed these amounts will want prompt payment, and also believe that ***the payment deadlines should be the same*** for HHS and QHP issuers.

76 FR 41929, 41943 (July 15, 2011) (emphasis added), Ex. 02.

81. Also in the July 15, 2011 proposed rule, HHS confirmed that the risk corridors program was designed to share risk between the Government and QHPs, stating that “[r]isk corridors create a mechanism for sharing risk for allowable costs between the Federal government and QHP issuers.” *Id.* at 41942.

82. On March 23, 2012, HHS implemented a final rule regarding Standards Related to Reinsurance, Risk Corridors and Risk Adjustment (77 FR 17219). Although HHS recognized that it did not propose deadlines for making risk corridors payments, *HHS re-stated that “QHP issuers who are owed these amounts will want prompt payment, and payment deadlines should be the same for HHS and QHP issuers.”* 77 FR 17219, 17238 (Mar. 23, 2012) (emphasis added), Ex. 01.

83. In the same March 23, 2012 final rule, HHS also reconfirmed that the Government was sharing the risk with QHPs under the risk corridors program. *See id.*

84. In a March 2012 written presentation to health insurers regarding the final rule, CMS explained that risk corridors is a “Federal program under the statute,” and that the risk corridors program “[p]rotects against inaccurate rate-setting by sharing risk (gains and losses) on allowable costs between HHS and qualified health plans to help ensure stable health insurance premiums.” Presentation, CMS, “Reinsurance, Risk Corridors, and Risk Adjustment Final Rule,” at 11 (Mar. 2012), attached hereto at Exhibit 13.

85. In proposed rulemaking on December 7, 2012, HHS assured QHPs, like BCBSND’s, that “[t]he risk corridors program, which is a Federally administered program, will protect against uncertainty in rates for qualified health plans by limiting the extent of issuer losses and gains.” 77 FR 73118, 73119 (Dec. 7, 2012), Ex. 03.

86. Also in the December 7, 2012 proposed rule, HHS reconfirmed the Government-QHP risk-sharing aspect of risk corridors, stating that “[t]he temporary risk corridors program permits the Federal government and QHPs to share in the profits or losses resulting from inaccurate rate setting from 2014 to 2016.” *Id.* at 73121.

87. When HHS implemented a final rule on March 11, 2013, regarding HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2014 (78 FR 15409), HHS confirmed that

The risk corridors program is not statutorily required to be budget neutral. Regardless of the balance of payments and receipts, HHS will remit payments as required under section 1342 of the Affordable Care Act.

78 FR 15409, 15473 (Mar. 11, 2013) (emphasis added), Ex. 08.

88. The March 11, 2013 final rule also “specifie[d] the annual schedule for the risk corridors program.” *Id.* at 15520.

89. A March 2013 CMS written presentation regarding the final rule to health insurers – some of whom, including BCBSND, were preparing to apply to become certified as QHP issuers for the upcoming CY 2014 ACA Marketplace – contained the same affirmations of

Government-to-QHP risk-sharing as in the March 2012 presentation discussed above. *See* Presentation, CMS, *HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2014*, at 18 & 19 (Mar. 2013), attached hereto at Exhibit 14.

90. In September 2013, in reliance on the Government's statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations and inducements described above, Plaintiff executed its QHP Agreement and, upon approval and certification by CMS, became a QHP issuer in North Dakota. *See* Ex. 09.

91. On January 1, 2014, BCBSND began offering plans on the CY 2014 North Dakota ACA Exchange, pursuant to its commitments to the Government.

92. The Senate Finance Committee's "Chairman's Mark" of the "America's Healthy Future Act of 2009," a precursor bill to the ACA, included risk corridors language nearly identical to what became ACA Section 1342. *See* Sen. Comm. on Fin., Chairman's Mark, America's Healthy Future Act of 2009, at 9 (Sept. 16, 2009), attached hereto at Exhibit 15. The Chairman's Mark, including the risk corridors provision, was approved by the Committee. *See* S. 1796, 111th Cong. § 2214 (2009), attached hereto at Exhibit 16.

93. The CBO contemporaneously described the Chairman's Mark's risk-corridors proposal:

The risk corridors would be modeled on those specified in the 2003 Medicare Modernization Act and would be in effect for 3 years. In that period, if plans incur costs (net of their reinsurance payments) that differ from their premium bids by more than 3 percent, the federal government would bear an increasing share of any losses or be paid the same increasing share of any gains.

CBO, *A Summary of the Specifications for Health Insurance Coverage Provided by the Staff of the Senate Finance Committee*, at 5, attachment to Letter, CBO to Hon. Max Baucus (Sept. 16, 2009), attached hereto at Exhibit 17.

94. In a proposed rule of December 2, 2013, and a final rule of March 11, 2014, HHS reiterated that the risk corridors program creates “a mechanism for sharing risk for allowable costs between the Federal government and QHP issuers,” and that “[t]he risk corridors program will help protect against inaccurate rate setting in the early years of the Exchanges by limiting the extent of issuer losses and gains” 78 FR 72322, 72379 (Dec. 2, 2013), attached hereto at Exhibit 18; 79 FR 13743, 13829 (Mar. 11, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 19.

95. In the March 11, 2014 final rule, HHS confirmed that risk corridors payments would be made annually, stating that “we believe that the risk corridors program as a whole will be budget neutral or, will result in net revenue to the Federal government in FY 2015 for the 2014 benefit year.” 79 FR 13743, 13829 (Mar. 11, 2014), Ex. 19.

#### **The Government Breaches its Risk Corridors Payment Obligations**

96. Also in the March 11, 2014 final rule, HHS announced for the first time, without prior notice in the December 2, 2013 proposed rule or anywhere else that “HHS intends to implement this [risk corridors] program in a budget neutral manner.” *Id.*

97. This statement was contrary to HHS’ prior statement – made exactly one year earlier in the Federal Register, March 11, 2013 – which stated: “The risk corridors program is not statutorily required to be budget neutral. Regardless of the balance of payments and receipts, HHS will remit payments as required under section 1342 of the Affordable Care Act.” 78 FR 15409, 15473 (Mar. 11, 2013), Ex. 08.

98. The Government’s announcement that the United States would not honor its risk corridors obligations in the manner it had promised came after Plaintiff (which had executed the QHP Agreement in September 2013) already had begun to participate in the North Dakota ACA Exchange in reliance upon the Government’s risk corridors payment obligations.

99. The American Academy of Actuaries stated in April 2014 that the proposed “new budget neutrality policy … would change the basic nature of the risk corridor program retroactively” and “changes the nature of the risk corridor program from one that shares risk between issuers and CMS to one that shares risk between competing issuers.” Am. Acad. of Actuaries, Comment to HHS on Proposed Rule, Exchange and Insurance Market Standards for 2015 and Beyond at 3 (Apr. 21, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 20.

100. HHS’ “budget neutral” statement of March 11, 2014, was also contrary to Congress’ intent for the Government to share risk with insurers, and Congress’ direction to model the ACA risk corridors program on the Medicare Part D program, which is not required to be budget neutral. *See* 42 C.F.R. § 423.336, attached hereto at Exhibit 21; U.S. Gov’t Accountability Office Report, *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Despite Some Delays, CMS Has Made Progress Implementing Programs to Limit Health Insurer Risk*, GAO-15-447 (2015), attached hereto at Exhibit 22 (“For the Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D risk mitigation programs, the payments that CMS makes to issuers are not limited to issuer contributions.”); Am. Acad. of Actuaries, Comment to HHS on Proposed Rule, Exchange and Insurance Market Standards for 2015 and Beyond at 2 (Apr. 21, 2014), Ex. 20, (“The Part D risk corridor program is not budget neutral and has resulted in net payments to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Similarly, the design of the ACA risk corridor program does not guarantee budget neutrality.”).

101. HHS’ statement was also contrary to the CBO’s February 2014 published projections that the risk corridors program would net the Government \$8 billion in positive revenue. *See* CBO, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2014 to 2024* at 110 n. 6 (Feb. 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 23.

102. The December 2, 2013 proposed rule demonstrates the agencies' lack of reasoned decision-making regarding budget neutrality because the proposed rule did not contain any proposal by HHS or CMS to implement the risk corridors program in a budget neutral manner. *See generally* 78 FR 72322, 72379 (Dec. 2, 2013), Ex. 18. Therefore, the budget neutrality position adopted in the March 11, 2014 final rule was not the product of notice-and-comment rulemaking.

103. A month later, on April 11, 2014, HHS and CMS issued a bulletin entitled "Risk Corridors and Budget Neutrality," stating that:

We anticipate that risk corridors collections will be sufficient to pay for all risk corridors payments. However, if risk corridors collections are insufficient to make risk corridors payments for a year, all risk corridors payments for that year will be reduced pro rata to the extent of any shortfall. Risk corridors collections received for the next year will first be used to pay off the payment reductions issuers experienced in the previous year in a proportional manner, up to the point where issuers are reimbursed in full for the previous year, and will then be used to fund current year payments. If, after obligations for the previous year have been met, the total amount of collections available in the current year is insufficient to make payments in that year, the current year payments will be reduced pro rata to the extent of any shortfall. If any risk corridors funds remain after prior and current year payment obligations have been met, they will be held to offset potential insufficiencies in risk corridors collections in the next year.

Bulletin, CMS, *Risk Corridors and Budget Neutrality* (Apr. 11, 2014) (emphasis added), attached hereto at Exhibit 24.

104. The April 11, 2014 Bulletin was the first instance in which HHS and CMS publicly suggested that risk corridors charges collected from QHPs might be less than the Government's full mandatory risk corridors payment obligations owed to QHPs.

105. Only one month earlier, on March 11, 2014, HHS and CMS had publicly announced that "we believe that the risk corridors program as a whole will be budget neutral or,

[sic] will result in net revenue to the Federal government in FY 2015 for the 2014 benefit year.”

79 FR 13743, 13829 (Mar. 11, 2014), Ex. 19.

106. Indeed, in the April 11, 2014 Bulletin, HHS and CMS assured QHPs that “[w]e anticipate that risk corridors collections will be sufficient to pay for all risk corridors payments.” Bulletin, CMS, *Risk Corridors and Budget Neutrality* (Apr. 11, 2014), Ex. 24.

107. HHS’ and CMS’ change in position to call for “budget neutrality” in the risk corridors program caused the CBO to update its projections for risk corridors payments and charges in April 2014. *See* CBO, *Updated Estimates of the Effects of the Insurance Coverage Provisions of the Affordable Care Act, April 2014* (Apr. 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 25. CBO stated that it “believes that the Administration has sufficient flexibility to ensure that payments to insurers will approximately equal payments from insurers to the federal government, and thus that the program will have no net budgetary effect over the three years of its operation. (Previously, CBO had estimated that the risk corridor program would yield net budgetary savings of \$8 billion.)” *Id.* at 18.

108. In a final rule of May 27, 2014, HHS summarized its statements from the April 11, 2014 bulletin, providing that “we intend to administer risk corridors in a budget neutral way over the three-year life of the program” and that “if risk corridors collections in the first or second year are insufficient to make risk corridors payments as prescribed by the regulations, risk corridors collections received for the next year will first be used to pay off the payment reductions issuers experienced in the previous year in a proportional manner, up to the point where issuers are reimbursed in full for the previous year, and remaining funds will then be used to fund current year payments.” 79 FR 30239, 30260 (May 27, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 26.

109. In the May 27, 2014 final rule, HHS also repeated that “we anticipate that risk corridors collections will be sufficient to pay for all risk corridors payments,” and reassured QHPs that “a shortfall for the 2015 program year” would be an “unlikely event” – but should such an unlikely event occur, “HHS recognizes that the Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to make full payments to issuers. In that event, HHS will use other sources of funding for the risk corridors payments, subject to the availability of appropriations.” *Id.*

110. In HHS’ response letter to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”) dated May 20, 2014, HHS again admitted that “Section 1342(b)(1) … establishes … the formula to determine … the amounts the Secretary must pay to the QHPs if the risk corridors threshold is met.” Letter from William B. Schultz, General Counsel, HHS, to Julia C. Matta, Assistant General Counsel, GAO (May 20, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 27.

111. On June 18, 2014, HHS sent to U.S. Senator Sessions and U.S. Representative Upton identical letters stating that, “As established in statute, … [QHP] plans with allowable costs at least three percent higher than the plan’s target amount will receive payments from HHS to offset a percentage of those losses.” Letter from Sylvia M. Burwell, Secretary, HHS, to U.S. Senator Jeff Sessions (June 18, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 28.

112. In proposed rulemaking on November 26, 2014, HHS repeated to QHPs that “a shortfall in the 2016 benefit year” is an “unlikely event.” 79 FR 70673, 70676 (Nov. 26, 2014), attached hereto at Exhibit 29. HHS also repeated that “we anticipate that risk corridors collections will be sufficient to pay for all risk corridors payments,” and that “***HHS recognizes that the Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to make full payments to issuers.***” *Id.* at 70700 (emphasis added). So confident was HHS about the collections potential for the risk corridors program, that in its November 26, 2014 proposed rulemaking, HHS discussed its

“propos[al] that if, for the 2016 benefit year, cumulative risk corridors collections exceed cumulative risk corridors payment requests, we would [adjust certain parameters] to pay out all collections to QHP issuers.” *Id.* No detailed plan was expressed for a scenario in which collections were insufficient to satisfy all payment requests.

113. On December 16, 2014 –after the Government’s obligation for CY 2014 risk corridors payments had matured – Congress enacted the Cromnibus appropriations bill for fiscal year 2015, the “Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015” (the “2015 Appropriations Act”). Pub. L. 113-235.

114. In the 2015 Appropriations Act, Congress limited the source of appropriations for risk corridors payment obligations from three large funding sources by including the following text at Section 227 of the 2015 Appropriations Act:

None of the funds made available by this Act from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund or the Federal Supplemental Medical Insurance Trust Fund, or transferred from other accounts funded by this Act to the “Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services—Program Management” account, may be used for payments under section 1342(b)(1) of Public Law 111-148 (relating to risk corridors).

128 Stat. 2491, attached hereto at Exhibit 30.

115. Section 1342(b)(1) of Public Law 111-148 – referenced immediately above – is the ACA’s prescribed methodology for the Government’s mandatory risk corridors payments to QHPs.

116. Congress did not repeal, amend, suspend or otherwise abrogate the United States’ statutory obligation created by Section 1342 to make full and timely risk corridors payments to QHPs, including Plaintiff’s.

117. On February 27, 2015, HHS’ implementation of a final rule regarding HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2016 (80 FR 10749), finalized the proposed

policy that HHS planned to implement if cumulative risk corridors collections exceed cumulative payment obligations by CY 2016, and further confirmed that “HHS recognizes that the Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to make full payments to issuers. In the unlikely event that risk corridors collections, including any potential carryover from the prior years, are insufficient to make risk corridors payments for the 2016 program year, HHS will use other sources of funding for the risk corridors payments, subject to the availability of appropriations.” 80 FR 10749, 10779 (Feb. 27, 2015), attached hereto at Exhibit 31.

118. CMS’ letter to state insurance commissioners on July 21, 2015, stated in boldface text that “**CMS remains committed to the risk corridor program.**” Letter from Kevin J. Counihan, CEO of Health Insurance Marketplaces, CMS, to State Insurance Commissioners (July 21, 2015), attached hereto at Exhibit 32.

119. On or about July 31, 2015, Plaintiff submitted its CY 2014 risk corridors data to CMS per 45 C.F.R. § 153.530(d).

120. On October 1, 2015, after collecting risk corridors data from QHPs for CY 2014, HHS and CMS announced a severe shortfall in the CY 2014 risk corridors program and that they intended to prorate the risk corridors payments owed to QHPs, including Plaintiff’s, for CY 2014. HHS and CMS stated that:

Based on current data from QHP issuers’ risk corridors submissions, issuers will pay \$362 million in risk corridors charges, and have submitted for \$2.87 billion in risk corridors payments for 2014. **At this time, assuming full collections of risk corridors charges, this will result in a proration rate of 12.6 percent.**

Bulletin, CMS, *Risk Corridors Payment Proration Rate for 2014* (Oct. 1, 2015), attached hereto at Exhibit 33.

121. HHS and CMS further announced on October 1, 2015, that they would be collecting full risk corridors charges from QHPs in November 2015, and would begin making the prorated risk corridors payments to QHPs starting in December 2015. *See id.*

122. On or about October 2015 or November 2015, QHP issuers received a letter from CMS stating, “I wish to reiterate to you that the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) recognizes that the Affordable Care Act *requires* the Secretary to make *full payments* to issuers[.]” Letter from Kevin J. Counihan, CEO of Health Insurance Marketplaces, CMS (Oct./Nov. 2015) (emphasis added). The letter further stated that “HHS is recording those amounts that remain unpaid following our 12.6% payment this winter as fiscal year 2015 obligations of the United States Government for which full payment is required.” *Id.*

123. CMS also stated in an email transmitting Mr. Counihan’s letter to QHP issuers that the “letter from CMS reiterat[es] that risk corridors payments *are an obligation of the U.S. Government.*” Email from Counihan, CMS (Oct./Nov. 2015) (emphasis added).

124. HHS’ and CMS’ direct statements to BCBSND have unequivocally confirmed the agencies’ position and interpretation that full annual risk corridors payments were owed to QHPs and were a binding obligation of the United States.

125. On November 19, 2015, CMS issued a public announcement further confirming that “HHS recognizes that the Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to make *full payments* to issuers,” and adding that “HHS *is recording those amounts that remain unpaid* following our 12.6% payment this winter *as fiscal year 2015 obligation* [sic] of the United States Government for which *full payment is required.*” Bulletin, CMS, “Risk Corridors Payments for the 2014 Benefit Year” (Nov. 19, 2015) (emphasis added), attached hereto at Exhibit 34.

126. By stating that the remaining 87.4% of issuers' risk corridors payments for CY 2014 would be recorded "as fiscal year 2015 obligation[s] of the United States Government for which full payment is required," HHS and CMS admitted that full payment for CY 2014 was due and owing in 2015 – not at some future indeterminate date.

127. On December 18, 2015, after the Government's obligation for CY 2015 risk corridors payments had matured, Congress enacted the Omnibus appropriations bill for fiscal year 2016, the "Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016" (the "2016 Appropriations Act"). Pub. L. 114-113.

128. In the 2016 Appropriations Act, Congress again limited the source of appropriations for the risk corridors payment obligations from three large funding sources by including the following text at Section 225 of the 2016 Appropriations Act:

None of the funds made available by this Act from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund or the Federal Supplemental Medical Insurance Trust Fund, or transferred from other accounts funded by this Act to the "Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services—Program Management" account, may be used for payments under section 1342(b)(1) of Public Law 111-148 (relating to risk corridors).

129 Stat. 2624, attached hereto at Exhibit 35.

129. Again, Section 1342(b)(1) of Public Law 111-148 is the ACA's prescribed methodology for the Government's mandatory risk corridors payments to QHPs.

130. Congress did not repeal, amend, suspend or otherwise abrogate the United States' statutory obligation created by Section 1342 to make full and timely risk corridors payments to QHPs, including Plaintiff's.

131. On September 9, 2016 – after several lawsuits had been filed by other QHPs in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims that, like this lawsuit, seeking monetary relief from the United States for breaches of the Government's risk corridors payment obligations – CMS publicly

confirmed that “HHS recognizes that the Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to make full payments to issuers,” and that “HHS will record risk corridors payments due as an obligation of the United States Government for which full payment is required.” Bulletin, CMS, *Risk Corridors Payments for 2015* (Sept. 9, 2016), attached hereto at Exhibit 36. CMS confirmed its full risk corridors obligation to QHPs, despite revealing that “based on our preliminary analysis, HHS anticipates that all 2015 benefit year collections will be used towards remaining 2014 benefit year risk corridors payments, and no funds will be available at this time for 2015 benefit year risk corridors payments,” and that “[c]ollections from the 2016 benefit year will be used first for remaining 2014 benefit year risk corridors payments, then for 2015 benefit year risk corridors payments, then for 2016 benefit year risk corridors payments.” *Id.*

132. Congress, through Section 1342 of the ACA, did not either expressly or implicitly grant the Secretary of HHS any discretion to pay QHPs that qualified for risk corridors payments any amount less than the full risk corridors payment amount prescribed in Section 1342(b)(1) and (2).

133. Congress also did not limit in any way the Secretary of HHS’ obligation to make full risk corridors payments owed to QHPs, due to appropriations, restriction on the use of funds, or otherwise in Section 1342 or anywhere else in the ACA.

134. In *Maine Community Health Options*, the Supreme Court reversed the Federal Circuit’s ruling in *Moda Health Plan, Inc. v. United States*, 892 F. 3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2018) and held that: (1) “The Risk Corridors Statute created a government obligation to pay insurers the full amount set out in §1342’s [statutory] formula” based on the statute’s “express terms and context” (*Maine Cnty.*, 140 S. Ct. at 1319-20 ); (2) the “shall pay” mandate in §1342, on its “plain terms,” was a legally binding “obligation neither contingent on nor limited by the

availability of appropriations or other funds" (*id.* at 1321, 1323); (3) Congress did not impliedly repeal the statutory payment obligation through later-enacted appropriations riders (*id.* at 1323-27); and (4) this Court has jurisdiction under the Tucker Act to award monetary damages against the government based on the "money-mandating" nature of the "shall pay" statutory payment obligation in §1342 (*id.* at 1327-31).

135. Justice Sotomayor concluded that the Court's 8-1 holding in *Maine Community Health Options* in favor of the health insurers "reflect[s] a principle as old as the Nation itself: The Government should honor its obligations." *Id.* at 1331. The Court reversed the judgments of the Federal Circuit and remanded the four risk corridors cases before it for "further proceedings consistent with this opinion." *Id.* The Supreme Court's decision in *Maine Community Health Options* is dispositive of the legal issues in this case.

#### **BCBSND's Risk Corridors Payment and Charge Amounts for CY 2014**

136. In a report released on November 19, 2015, HHS and CMS publicly announced QHPs' risk corridors charges and payments for CY 2014, and emphasized that "**Risk corridors charges payable to HHS are not prorated, and the full risk corridors charge amounts are noted in the chart below. Only risk corridors payment amounts are prorated.**" Bulletin, CMS, *Risk Corridors Payment and Charge Amounts for Benefit Year 2014* (Nov. 19, 2015) ("CY 2014 Risk Corridors Report"), attached hereto at Exhibit 37.

137. BCBSND's losses in the ACA North Dakota Exchange for CY 2014 resulted in the Government being required to pay BCBSND a risk corridors payment of \$458,378.00. *See id.* at 9.

138. The Government, however, only paid BCBSND a prorated amount of \$76,010 for BCBSND losses in the ACA North Dakota Exchange for CY 2014.

139. The Government lacks the authority, under statute, regulation or contract, to unilaterally withhold full and timely CY 2014 risk corridors payments from QHPs such as BCBSND's.

140. In total, the Government owes Plaintiff \$382,368 in unpaid risk corridors payments for CY 2014, and has not paid any of this amount to Plaintiff. BCBSND is entitled to receive, and demands, full and immediate payment from the United States.

**COUNT I**  
**Violation of Federal Statute and Regulation**

141. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

142. Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA mandates compensation, expressly stating that the Secretary of HHS “shall pay” risk corridors payments to eligible QHPs based on their annual ACA exchange losses, in accordance with the payment formula set forth in the statute. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 18062(b), Ex. 04; 45 C.F.R. § 153.510, Ex. 07.

143. HHS’ and CMS’ implementing regulation at 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b) also mandates compensation, expressly stating that “when” QHPs’ allowable costs exceed the 3 percent risk corridors threshold, HHS “will pay” risk corridors payments to QHPs in accordance with the payment formula set forth in the regulation, which formula is mathematically identical to the formula in Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA.

144. Congress, through Section 1342 of the ACA, did not either expressly or implicitly grant the Secretary of HHS any discretion to pay QHPs that qualified for risk corridors payments any amount less than the full risk corridors payment amount prescribed by the statutory formula

in Section 1342(b)(1) and (2), or to pay the risk corridors amounts due pursuant to the statutory formula over the course of, or after the end of, the three-year risk corridors program.

145. HHS' and CMS' regulation at 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(d) requires a QHP to remit risk corridors charges it owes to HHS within 30 days after notification of such charges. In CY 2014, CY 2015, and CY 2016, BCBSND timely and fully complied with this requirement.

146. Plaintiff voluntarily applied to become, was certified as, committed itself to be, and in fact was, a QHP issuer on the North Dakota ACA Exchange in CY 2014, CY 2015 and CY 2016, *see Ex. 10*, and was qualified for and entitled to receive mandated risk corridors payments from the Government for CY 2014.

147. Plaintiff is entitled under Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA and 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b) to recover full and timely mandated risk corridors payments from the Government for CY 2014.

148. The United States has failed to make full and timely risk corridors payments to BCBSND for CY 2014, despite the Government repeatedly confirming in writing that Section 1342 mandates that the Government make full risk corridors payments.

149. Instead, the Government has not paid any of the total amounts due for CY 2014, asserting that full payment to BCBSND is limited by available appropriations, even though no such limits appear anywhere in the ACA, the money-mandating Section 1342, or the money-mandating implementing regulations.

150. Congress did not repeal, amend, suspend or otherwise abrogate the United States' statutory obligation created by Section 1342 to make full and timely risk corridors payments to QHPs, including Plaintiff's, that suffered annual losses on the ACA Exchanges in excess of their statutory targets.

151. The Government's failure to make full and timely risk corridors payments to BCBSND for CY 2014 constitutes a violation and breach of the Government's mandatory payment obligations under Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA and 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b).

152. The Supreme Court's decision in *Maine Community Health Options* is dispositive of the legal issues in this case as the Government breached the identical statutory risk corridors payment obligation to BCBSND in this case.

153. As a result of the United States' violation of Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA and 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b), BCBSND has been damaged in the amount of at least \$382,368 in unpaid risk corridors payments for CY 2014.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant, the United States of America, as follows:

- (1) For Count I, awarding monetary damages sustained by Plaintiff, in the amount of at least \$382,368 as a result of the Defendant's violation of Section 1342(b)(1) of the ACA and of 45 C.F.R. § 153.510(b) regarding the CY 2014 risk corridors payments;
- (2) Awarding all available attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiff; and
- (3) Awarding such other and further relief to Plaintiff as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: July 13, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Lawrence S. Sher  
Lawrence S. Sher (D.C. Bar No. 430469)  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
1301 K Street NW  
Suite 1000-East Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.414.9200

Facsimile: 202.414.9299  
Email: lsher@reedsmit.com

*Of Counsel:*

Gregory Vose (PA Bar No. 324912)  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Reed Smith Centre  
225 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1200  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Telephone: 412.288.3131  
Facsimile: 412.288.3063  
Email: gvose@reedsmit.com

*Counsel for Blue Cross Blue Shield of North  
Dakota*