IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

HUNTER DOSTER, et. al. : Case No. 22-3497

Plaintiffs/Appellees :

v. :

HON. FRANK KENDALL, et. al. :

Defendants/Appellants :

PLAINTIFFS/APPELLEES MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE APPEALS

Plaintiffs/Appellees, through Counsel, move this Court for an order consolidating two overlapping appeals, *Doster*, *et. al.* v. *Kendall*, *et. al.*, 22-3497 (hereinafter "*Doster II*"), and *Doster*, *et. al.* v. *Kendall*, *et. al.*, 22-3702 (hereinafter "*Doster II*"), and establishing a common briefing schedule.

Doster I involves an appeal by the Government Defendants of a preliminary injunction granted to the 18 named Plaintiffs on March 31, 2022, related to Defendants' discriminatory processing of religious exemptions to its vaccination mandate. The facts of the case are largely centered around the Government's granting of thousands of medical and administrative exemptions, and systemic denial of religious exemptions.

Doster II involves an appeal by the Government Defendants of a class-wide preliminary injunction entered July 27, 2022 (and modified August 19, 2022), arising out of the same District Court case, on virtually the same record, involving virtually the same facts, and involving a significant overlap of legal issues.

By way of example, and not limitation, in *Doster I*, the Government argues failure to exhaust administrative remedies; in *Doster II*, we anticipate the Government to take the position that class-wide relief was improper because all of the claimants should have been subject to an individualized assessment. In response to both arguments, we presented evidence of systemic discrimination, so as to trigger the futility exception to administrative exemption and to demonstrate the propriety of class-wide relief. The overlap of facts and evidence is wholly intertwined.

This court has long held that where an appeal involves common questions of law and fact, they may, and should, be joined. *Ne. Ohio Coal. for the Homeless v. Blackwell*, 467 F.3d 999 (6th Cir. 2006). That is unquestionably the case here. Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Christopher Wiest_

Christopher Wiest (OH 0077931) Chris Wiest, Atty at Law, PLLC 25 Town Center Blvd, Suite 104 Crestview Hills, KY 41017 513/257-1895 (v) 859/495-0803 (f) chris@cwiestlaw.com /s/Wendy Cox

Siri and Glimstad LLP 745 Fifth Ave, Suite 500 New York, NY 10151 212/532-1091 (v) 646/417-5967 (f) wcox@sirillp.com

/s/Thomas Bruns

Thomas Bruns (OH 0051212)
Bruns Connell Vollmar Armstrong LLC
4555 Lake Forest Drive, Suite 330
Cincinnati, OH 45242
tbruns@bcvalaw.com

513/312-9890 (v) Counsel for Plaintiffs/Appellees

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have served a copy of the foregoing upon all Counsel of record via

CM/ECF, this 7th day of September, 2022.

/s/Christopher Wiest Christopher Wiest (OH 077931)