1 2	MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL (Firm State Bar No. 14000)			
3				
4	Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838) Brunn (Beau) W. Roysden III (No. 28698) Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463)			
5	James K. Rogers (No. 27287) 2005 N. Central Ave			
6	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592			
7	Phone: (602) 542-8540 Joseph.Kanefield@azag.gov			
8	Beau.Roysden@azag.gov			
	<u>Drew.Ensign@azag.gov</u> James.Rogers@azag.gov			
9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich and the State of Arizona			
11	and ist State of 2 Indones			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
14	Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as	No. 2:21-cv-01568-MTL		
15	Attorney General of Arizona; et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR		
16	Plaintiffs, v.	LEAVE TO FILE REPLY TO		
17	Joseph R. Biden in his official capacity as	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO		
18	President of the United States; et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' AUGUST 12, 2022 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL		
19	Defendants.	AUTHORITY		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

MOTION FOR LEAVE

On August 25, 2022, this Court granted leave (Doc. 207) to Defendants (the "federal government") to file a Reply to Plaintiffs' (the "State") August 12, 2022 Notice of Supplemental Authority. (Doc. 203.) The State hereby requests leave to file the attached Reply to the federal government's Response. The State seeks leave for two reasons:

- 1) The federal government's 9-page Response significantly expands upon the scope and subject matter of the State's 4-page Notice. The attached Reply will thus afford the State the opportunity to address issues not covered in its Notice, including entirely new arguments by Defendants.
- 2) In its Response, the federal government hyperbolically contends (at 1) that "Plaintiff has not proffered one shred of evidence" (emphasis added) for its claims. That is incorrect, but additional evidence has come to light from Defendants' own employees that directly contradicts this assertion. In particular, discovery has proceeded in the parallel litigation of Florida. v. United States, No. 21-CV-1066 (N.D. Fla.), which has uncovered significant evidence supporting the State's claims here. The proposed reply summarizes that evidence, most of which was provided by U.S. Border Patrol Chief Raul Ortiz, the federal government's own Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) witness. Chief Ortiz's testimony establishes that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy exists, that much of it was promulgated by telephone or email, and that the Policy specifically harms Arizona.

Case 2:21-cv-01568-MTL Document 213 Filed 09/09/22 Page 3 of 4

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of September, 2022.
2	MARK BRNOVICH
3	ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	By: <u>/s/ James K. Rogers</u>
5	Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838)
6	Brunn W. Roysden III (No. 28698) Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463)
7	James K. Rogers (No. 27287)
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich and the State of Arizona
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of September, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona using the CM/ECF filing system. Counsel for all Defendants who have appeared are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the CM/ECF system pursuant to the notice of electronic filing.

/s/ James K. Rogers

Attorney for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Arizona; and the State of Arizona

1	MARK BRNOVICH	
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL (Firm State Bar No. 14000)	
3	Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838)	
4	Brunn (Beau) W. Roysden III (No. 28698) Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463)	
5	James K. Rogers (No. 27287)	
6	2005 N. Central Ave Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592	
7	Phone: (602) 542-8540 <u>Joseph.Kanefield@azag.gov</u>	
8	Beau.Roysden@azag.gov	
9	<u>Drew.Ensign@azag.gov</u> <u>James.Rogers@azag.gov</u>	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich	
11	and the State of Arizona	
12		DISTRICT COURT
13	DISTRICT	OF ARIZONA
14	Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as	No. 2:21-cv-01568-MTL
15	Attorney General of Arizona; et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO
16	Plaintiffs, v.	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO
17	Joseph R. Biden in his official capacity as	PLAINTIFFS' AUGUST 12, 2022 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
18	President of the United States; <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	AUTHORITY
19	Detendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

REPLY

Federal Defendants' recent filing (Doc. 208) advances arguments that fail as a matter of both fact and law. On the facts, the federal government erroneously contends that the State has not offered any evidence that the federal government is implementing a programmatic policy and further ignores that in the parallel litigation taking place in Florida, the federal governments' own witnesses have already provided such evidence. On the law, Federal Defendants' standing theories contravene Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit precedent.

I. The State Has Standing

The Supreme Court held in *Massachusetts v. EPA* that states are entitled to "special solicitude in [courts'] standing analysis." 549 U.S. 497, 520 (2007). In its Response, the federal government mischaracterizes the Supreme Court's holding, claiming that it is limited only to cases where "Congress had granted States a procedural right to challenge the EPA's denial of its petition for rulemaking." (at 2.) To the contrary, the Supreme Court held that states are entitled to special solicitude when Congress has conferred a *generalized* procedural right, not some right specifically unique to the States. Indeed, the procedural right at issue in *Massachusetts v. EPA* was not a statute conferring special procedural rights on the states, but rather generalized APA-type rights. *Massachusetts v. E.P.A.*, 549 U.S. at 500, 520 (citing procedural right afforded by 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), which confers procedural right on any party to challenge environmental regulations that are "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law," 42 U.S.C. § 7607(d)(9)(A)). Thus, under *Massachusetts v. EPA*, "special solicitude" inheres whenever two conditions are met: 1) the State has a "procedural right" and 2) the State has relevant "quasi-sovereign interests." *Id.*

The APA affords the State the procedural rights that it seeks to vindicate here. *See* Doc. 134, Third Amended Complaint, ("TAC") ¶¶ 216-232 (asserting four APA claims). The State also has "quasi-sovereign interest[s] in the health and well-being—both physical and economic—of its residents in general." *Alfred L. Snapp & Son, Inc. v. Puerto Rico*, 458 U.S. 592, 607 (1982). Those interests are harmed by Defendants' policies, which force the State to divert resources away from protecting its citizens' welfare. *See* TAC ¶¶ 144-49 (allegations about law

3

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

111213

1415

16

17 18

19 20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

enforcement and medical costs to the State caused by the Parole and Non-Detention Policy). Thus, the State is entitled to special solicitude here.

Indeed, the Ninth Circuit recently reiterated that "[i]n our dual sovereign system, Arizona enjoys 'special solicitude in our standing analysis." *Arizona v. Yellen*, 34 F.4th 841, 851 (9th Cir. 2022) (quoting *Massachusetts v. EPA*, 549 U.S. at 520). That follows many other similar holdings. *See, e.g., California v. Trump*, 963 F.3d 926, 936 (9th Cir. 2020), vacated on other grounds, *Biden v. Sierra Club*, 142 S. Ct. 46 (2021) (holding that, in challenge to federal immigration policy, "[s]tates are "entitled to special solicitude in our standing analysis." (quoting *Massachusetts v. EPA* 549 U.S. at 520)); *Sierra Club v. Trump*, 977 F.3d 853, 866 (9th Cir. 2020), vacated on other grounds. *Biden v. Sierra Club*, 142 S. Ct. 56 (2021) (same).

Similarly unavailing is Defendants' argument that the State lacks standing because the State's harms are allegedly "indirect" and "incidental effects of federal policies." (at 3). To make this argument, the federal government relies (at 3-4) on the Sixth Circuit's decision in Arizona v. Biden, 40 F.4th 375 (6th Cir. 2022) and the D.C. Circuit's decision in Arpaio v. Obama, 797 F.3d 11, 21 (D.C. Cir. 2015). That case law is both inapposite and, ultimately, irrelevant, as controlling Ninth Circuit precedent holds otherwise. In City & County of San Francisco v. USCIS ("San Francisco"), 944 F.3d 773 (9th Cir. 2019), a variety of plaintiffs, including a coalition of 18 States, sued to challenge a proposed new regulation implementing the Immigration and Nationality Act's ("INA") prohibition on the admission of aliens who might become public charges. See 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(4) (making inadmissible to the United States "[a]ny alien who ... is likely at any time to become a public charge"). As here, the federal government argued that the states lacked standing because their claimed harms of the "loss of federal funds and increase in operational costs related to individuals disenrolling from" public benefits were based on an "attenuated chain of possibilities that does not show certainly impending injury." San Francisco, 944 F.3d at 787 (cleaned up). The Ninth Circuit squarely rejected this argument, holding that, "the predicted result is premised on the actions of third parties, but this type of 'predictable effect of Government action on the decisions of third parties' is sufficient to establish injury in fact." Id. (quoting Dep't of Commerce v. New York, 139

S. Ct. 2551, 2566 (2019)).

4 5

3

6

7 8

9

10 11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 28

The Ninth Circuit found such "predictable effect[s]" based on two things 1) admissions made by the federal government, and 2) evidence supplied by the states. Both such types of evidence will be available in this case as well. As explained below, the federal government has admitted that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy harm the States, including Arizona specifically. And as also explained below, further discovery in this case will further show the specific financial harms that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy is causing to the State.

More generally, Department of Commerce rejects Defendants' "indirect" and "downstream" arguments. There, New York's injuries were indirect and downstream of not just illegal third-party conduct (i.e., predicted non-completion of census forms) but a multitude of other laws' operations and agency action (e.g., funding formulas based on census data). Dep't of Com., 139 S. Ct. at 2565-66. Despite the extended causal chain, the Supreme Court unanimously held that New York had standing (while dividing 5-4 on the merits). Id. at 2556 (noting unanimous parts of opinion, including part II, about jurisdiction and standing). Arizona does here too a fortiori.

Nor is the federal government correct that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy is unreviewable under *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). In the federal government's telling, the State has no standing to challenge the aggregate of DHS officer's grants of parole. That is not the law. The Ninth Circuit specifically has held that parties do have standing to challenge "programmatic shift[s]" in immigration enforcement even if they could not challenge "individual ... decisions." Regents of the Univ. of California v. DHS, 908 F.3d 476, 503 (9th Cir. 2018), rev'd in part on other grounds, 140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020). That is exactly this suit: a challenge to Defendants' programmatic shift in immigration enforcement.

More generally, the Heckler presumption of unreviewability applies to challenges to particular decisions not to initiate enforcement actions, not outright sweeping policies of nonenforcement such as programmatic grants of parole en masse to avoid enforcing immigration laws. See, e.g., ILWU v. Meese, 891 F.2d 1374, 1378 n.2 (9th Cir. 1989) (describing Heckler as applying to "an agency's refusal to prosecute or enforce a statute in a specific case"); Bresgal v.

Brock, 843 F.2d 1163, 1169 n.1 (9th Cir. 1987) (same); see also OSG Bulk Ships, Inc. v. United States, 132 F.3d 808, 812 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (explaining that "an agency's adoption of a general enforcement policy is subject to review," thereby distinguishing Heckler's presumption of unreviewability as applying only to individual cases of non-enforcement).

The federal government has never disputed the accuracy of the parole statistics cited by the State. (*E.g.* Doc. 167 at 1, 4, 23, 35; Doc. 203 at 1). The federal government's argument rests entirely on the fiction that, much like the spoon in *The Matrix*, there is no Parole and Non-Detention Policy. Thus, in its telling, for no apparent reason it can discern—and mysteriously starting in January 2021—grants of parole exploded by 300,000%, increasing from just a few dozen a month to tens of thousands every month. Even more astonishing, Defendants go so far as to contend that these skyrocketing numbers do not even constitute a "shred of evidence" of any new policy. (at 1.) To believe that would require this Court "exhibit a naiveté from which ordinary citizens are free." *Department of Commerce*, 139 S. Ct. at 2575.

The 300,000% increase is *itself* evidence of the policy. That is particularly true as "[i]t is the effect of the action and not its label that must be considered. To this end, finality is to be interpreted in a pragmatic way.... [A]n agency action may be final if it has a direct and immediate ... effect on the *day-to-day business* of the subject party." *See Oregon Nat. Desert Ass'n v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 465 F.3d 977, 985, 987 (9th Cir. 2006) (emphasis added) (cleaned up). The prospect that Defendants have not changed their "day-to-day business" in granting parole is fanciful. That is particularly so as DHS has offered no *other explanation* for this gargantuan shift. Ultimately, this "is precisely the sort of large-scale policy that's amenable to challenge using large-scale statistics and figures, rather than highly specific individualized documents. And [the State's] standing is robustly supported by just such big-picture evidence." *Texas v. Biden*, 20 F.4th 928, 971 (5th Cir. 2021), rev'd and remanded on other grounds, 142 S. Ct. 2528 (2022).

But it gets even stranger: as discussed next, in the *Florida* case, the federal government *itself* provided conclusive evidence that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy exists and is causing harm to the State. Indeed, a copy of the putatively non-existent DHS policy is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

3

1

4 5 6

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

II. Discovery in Florida v. United States Provides Evidence That the Parole and Non-Detention Policies Harm the State

Discovery has commenced in a case raising claims similar to those here, in Florida. v. United States, No. 21-CV-1066 (N.D. Fla. 2021). In that case, the federal government has produced information about aliens released into the United States who listed Florida addresses as their intended place of residence, including statistics about how many aliens had failed to check in with DHS for further processing. See Exhibit A, Excerpts from Deposition of Raul Ortiz, Chief of the U.S. Border Patrol at 146:9-148:21. DHS's own statistics show that the number of Florida-bound aliens who have absconded after being issued a Notice to Report or allowed into the United States under Parole+ATD currently stands at close to 50,000. During Florida's deposition of U.S. Border Patrol Chief Raul Ortiz, Chief Ortiz admitted that this was "a large number" that was "concerning." Id. at 148:11-14.

The State fully expects that further discovery in this case will show a similar—or larger—number for Arizona. (Federal Defendants would of course know now but are not forthcoming with that data.) Furthermore, under federal law, aliens who have been paroled into the United States become eligible for a variety of State benefits after five years. These State benefits, which impose significant costs on the State, include AHCCS/Medicaid²; Nutrition Assistance/SNAP (also known as "food stamps")³; and Cash Assistance/TANF

¹ See 8 U.S.C.A. § 1641(b)(4) (defining a "qualified alien" as "an alien who is paroled into the United States under [8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)] for a period of at least 1 year"); 8 U.S.C. § 1612 (2)(L) (making eligible for food stamps aliens who have been "qualified aliens' for a period of 5 years or more"); 8 U.S.C. § 1613(a) (making qualified aliens eligible for "any Federal meanstested public benefit ... 5 years" after "the date of the alien's entry into the United States").

² See also Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System ("AHCCCS"), Medical Assistance Eligibility Policy Manual §§ 524A and 524B (defining "qualified noncitizen" to include "[p]arolee[s] for at least one year" and making such parolees eligible for benefits after the alien "[h]as been a qualified noncitizen for at least five years") <a href="https://azahcccs.gov/Resources/guidesmanualspolicies/eligibilitypolicy/eligibilitypolicymanual/#t=Policy%2PChapter 500 Non-

ual/#t=Policy%2FChapter 500 Non-

Financial Conditions of Eligibility%2F524 NonCitizen Status%2FA Overview.htm https://azahcccs.gov/Resources/guidesmanualspolicies/eligibilitypolicy/eligibilitypolicyman ual/#t=Policy%2FChapter 500 Non-Financial Conditions of Eligibility%2F524 NonCitizen Status%2F524B.htm.

³ Ariz. Dep't of Econ. Sec., Cash and Nutrition Assistance Policy Manual, § FAA3.D(04)(B), https://dbmefaapolicy.azdes.gov/#page/FAA3/Qualified_Noncitizens.html potentially eligible for [Nutrition Assistance]" sliens are required" to have been in parole status "for at least one year" and have been granted parole under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)5(A)).

(also known as welfare payments).4

The number of parolees in Arizona will cause quantifiable financial harm to the State, and the exact magnitude of those harms will become clear in discovery, when the federal government produces statistics about the number of aliens settling in Arizona. For present purposes, however, that matters little as even "a dollar or two" of injury satisfies Article III. *Sprint Commc'ns Co., L.P. v. APCC Servs., Inc.*, 554 U.S. 269, 289 (2008).

Discovery from *Florida* provides additional evidence of the State's harm. At his deposition, Border Patrol Chief Ortiz admitted that "the southern border is currently in crisis" and that "the crisis that is currently ongoing at the southern border [is] making the border less safe for Americans and aliens alike." Ex. A at 40:18-21, 53:9-13. This decrease in safety causes harm to Arizona, including increased law enforcement costs.

Chief Ortiz also admitted that since President Biden's election, the number of aliens trying illegally to enter the United States has increased, and that internal Customs and Border Patrol documents state that "since President Biden was elected ... aliens illegally entering the United States perceive that they will be able to enter and remain in the United States." *Id.* at 59:12-60:5. Chief Ortiz agreed that "aliens who cite favorable immigration policy as a reason to come to the United States are perceiving what actually is happening in the United States." *Id.* at 67:22-68:5. Chief Ortiz also explained that it is important to detain and remove aliens who illegally enter the United States, because when there are no consequences, the number of illegal crossings increases; that "if migrant populations are told that there's a potential that they may be released, that yes, you can see increases [in illegal crossings]"; and that if DHS is not detaining and removing aliens who cross illegally, the flow of illegal crossers "will increase." *Id.* at 171:13-172:9, 173:7-12.

Chief Ortiz stated that the Biden Administration affirmatively decreased ICE's detention capacity and also eliminated other processing pathways for aliens. *Id.* at 233:9-17. He further agreed with the statement that "each one of those decisions [to degrade detention capacity and eliminate processing pathways] in the midst of a historic flood of aliens to the

⁴ Ariz. Admin. Code § R6-12-305 (making eligible for Cash Assistance (TANF) "a noncitizen legal alien who satisfies the requirements of [8 U.S.C. § 1641]").

southern border increased the pressure on Border Patrol and its limited capacity" and that "as that pressure buil[t], there's no other choice other than to release [aliens]." *Id.* at 233:18-234:5. Ortiz affirmed that the decrease in ICE's detention capacity impacts Border Patrol. *Id.* at 33:3-16. He also admitted that, if ICE had not degraded its detention capacity, it would have taken pressure off of Border Patrol, allowing it to transfer more aliens to ICE for detention, rather than paroling them into the United States. *Id.* at 231:17-232:19.

Chief Ortiz went on to admit that criminal trafficking organizations incentivized by the Parole and Non-Detention Policy "are putting ... border communities in danger," such as by locating "stash houses in neighborhoods" and causing "damage to property [of] ranchers and farmers," including damage to "fences" and "livestock that are lost when these smugglers drive through their property," and that they "have little regard for the safety of the community out there." *Id.* at 241:6-242:3. All of this constitutes injury to Arizona and to its quasi-sovereign interests.

Chief Ortiz further admitted that criminal trafficking organizations "continue to flood the border area with ... narcotics... We've had more agents assaulted this year than we ever have, and we continue to see increase in firearm seizures." *Id.*at 243:7-9, 15-17. Chief Ortiz specifically disclosed that these policies disproportionately harm Arizona specifically, expressly stating that the Tucson Border Patrol sector is one of the two sectors "where we see the criminal organizations, smuggling organizations operating at a higher level." Ex. A at 83:10-13. The Parole and Non-Detention Policy is thus causing increased crime in Arizona, and causing increased law-enforcement costs to the State.

Chief Ortiz was deposed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) as a representative of the federal government. E.g. Ex. A at 10:7-11. These admissions, therefore, were made by DHS. The harmful effects of the Non-Detention and Parole policies are predictable enough that even the federal government itself recognizes them. And the Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit have both made clear that standing may be based on the "predictable effect of Government action on the decisions of third parties." Department of Commerce, 139 S.Ct. at 2566; accord San Francisco, 944 F.3d at 787. That Federal Defendants themselves have made such predictions should

dispel any doubts about the State's standing.

III. The Parole and Non-Detention Policy Exists and Is Subject APA Review

The federal government also argues that "this Court cannot postulate the existence of a policy from individual parole decisions absent any guiding document or other evidence of the existence of such a policy." (at 7) Not so. As explained above, the inquiry is pragmatic—rather than formalistic as Defendants contend—and may be premised on changes to agency's "day-to-day" operations, which here are massive and undeniable. *Supra* at I.

The federal government also claims that the policy of issuing Notices to Report ("NTRs") does "not constitute final agency action because the policy did not compel anyone to do or refrain from doing anything" and that the slightly revised version of the NTR policy—the Parole and Non-Detention Policy—"is illusory, and is nothing more than the amalgamation of individual, discretionary, parole decisions." (at 7). Not so.

As the State previously explained (Doc. 167 ("MTD Response") at 1:17-2:9), unwritten policies are subject to APA review. See Brotherhood of Locomotive Eng'rs & Trainmen v. Fed. R.R. Admin., 972 F.3d 83, 100 (D.C. Cir. 2020) (collecting authorities establishing that unwritten policies are subject to APA review); Damus v. Nielsen, 313 F. Supp. 3d 317, 339–42 (D.D.C. 2018) (reasoning that a court may infer the existence of an immigration policy where the facts suggest that one exists). The federal government never attempted—not in its MTD Reply (Doc. 174) and neither in its Response here (Doc. 208)—to distinguish the case authorities that the State cited in its MTD Response about unwritten policies still being subject to APA review, nor has the federal government cited any authority for its (incorrect) argument that policies must be committed to writing to be subject to APA review.

Indeed, as discussed below, Chief Ortiz's deposition testimony conclusively proves that DHS has been trying to do exactly that, by communicating elements of the Parole and Non-Detention Policy by telephone and email, rather than by formal memo. The unrefuted case law cited by the State makes clear that such attempts to circumvent the APA through unwritten policies is unavailing. Instead, "agency action need not be in writing to be final and judicially reviewable pursuant to the APA. An unwritten policy can still satisfy the APA's

pragmatic final agency action requirement." *Al Otro Lado, Inc. v. McAleenan*, 394 F. Supp. 3d 1168, 1206–7 (S.D. Cal. 2019) (cleaned up) (collecting case citations).

In any event, important elements of the Parole and Non-Detention were committed to writing. The Parole+ATD policy has been the subject of at least two official DHS memos. Curiously, the federal government has not provided either memo to the Court. The State attached the first memo to its MTD Response (Doc. 167-1), and attaches here the second memo as Exhibit B. These memos mandate that DHS agents parole aliens when specific numerical border crossing thresholds are reached. As explained more below, Chief Ortiz confirmed that these requirements apply to all affected aliens—there is no discretion. The memos compel DHS officers to implement a programmatic en masse parole policy, removing their discretion and violating the INA's requirement that parole be granted "only on a case-by-case basis for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit," 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)(A). The Parole and Non-Detention Policy is thus final, reviewable agency action.

A. Discovery in *Florida* Provides Conclusive Evidence That the Parole and Non-Detention Policy Exists and that the Federal Government Promulgated It By Telephone and Email

Chief Ortiz made a number of admissions in his deposition that provide concrete evidence confirming the State's allegations about the existence of the Parole and Non-Detention Policy, and that the Policy was often not committed to formal memos, or even to writing at all.

Chief Ortiz confirmed that, under the Trump Administration, agents' ability to release aliens or to parole them was only allowed in "very exigent circumstances," such as for medical or humanitarian reasons. *Id.* at 173:13-174:7. He also confirmed that when the Biden Administration took office, the new Parole Policy was initially communicated "telephonically or through e-mail coordination between Border Patrol headquarters and the sector." *Id.* at 174:9-176:10. He went on to explain that when the Parole Policy was expanded beyond the first sector where it had been imposed, there were two ways it was communicated: "Every Tuesday we have a chiefs' call where we coordinate directly with the sector chiefs and the deputy chiefs. And then the operations directorate would also communicate with the sectors

if there were specific issues centered around coordination, operational coordination that had to happen." *Id.* at 176:20-177:8. He also admitted that, even after DHS had issued its formal Parole+ATD memo, changes to the policy were communicated by email. *Id.* at 224:22-225:11.

Under the first iteration of the Parole and Non-Detention Policy, when DHS was issuing Notices to Report ("NTRs"), Chief Ortiz testified that guidance about NTRs "was sent out and distributed through multiple platforms. Initially we had a telephonic call with all the associate chiefs. We also asked that the operations directorate send out a[n] e-mail to the sector points of contact, which would have been an assistant chief at those sectors, to include the sector chiefs." *Id.* at 198:5-15. He also claimed that he believed a memorandum had been issued as well, but admitted that he had been unable to find a copy of it, and counsel for Florida affirmed that no such memorandum had been produced to Florida. *Id.* at 198:15-20.

Most importantly, Chief Ortiz affirmed that the Parole+ATD policy was a programmatic policy such that, once numerical thresholds for illegal border crossings had been reached, the policy required categorical grant of parole to *all* aliens covered by the policy: "Q. Okay. So if the Rio Grande Valley or Del Rio hit the thresholds that are laid out in the second page of this document ... *all* family units would be paroled plus ATD, correct? ... A. *All* family units minus Central Americans and Mexican family units." *Id.* at 212:4-10 (emphasis added).

Chief Ortiz's deposition testimony confirms the central elements of the State's allegations in this case: the Parole and Non-Detention Policy exists; it was not necessarily memorialized in formal memos, or even in writing at all; and the Policy imposed mandatory requirements on DHS agents, removing their discretion to grant parole on a "only on a case-by-case basis for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit," 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)(A), and instead requiring that *all* aliens in certain categories be paroled.

CONCLUSION

Discovery in *Florida* has provided ample evidence that the Parole and Non-Detention Policy exists and that it harms the State. The State should be allowed to continue to prosecute its claims. Discovery should commence here, and Federal Defendants' motion to dismiss should be denied.

Case 2:21-cv-01568-MTL Document 213-1 Filed 09/09/22 Page 12 of 13

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of September, 2022.
2	
3	MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	
5	By: /s/ James K. Rogers
6	Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838) Brunn W. Roysden III (No. 28698)
7	Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463)
8	James K. Rogers (No. 27287)
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich and the State of Arizona
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of September, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona using the CM/ECF filing system. Counsel for all Defendants who have appeared are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the CM/ECF system pursuant to the notice of electronic filing.

/s/ James K. Rogers

Attorney for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Arizona; and the State of Arizona

Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENSACOLA DIVISION

- - - - - - - - - - x

STATE OF FLORIDA, :

Plaintiff, :

vs. : Case No.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 3:21-cv-1066

et al.,

Defendants. :

- - - - - - - - x

Arlington, Virginia

Thursday, July 28, 2022

Videotaped Deposition of RAUL L. ORTIZ, a witness herein, called for examination by counsel for Plaintiff in the above-entitled matter, pursuant to notice, taken at the offices of Henderson Legal Services, 2300 Wilson Boulevard, Seventh Floor, Arlington, Virginia, at 9:32 a.m. on Thursday, July 28, 2022, and the proceedings being taken down by stenotype by and transcribed by KAREN YOUNG.

```
APPEARANCES:
         On Behalf of the Plaintiff:
3
                JOHN GUARD, ESQ.
                JAMES H. PERCIVAL, ESQ.
                ANITA J. PATEL, ESQ.
                NATALIE CHRISTMAS, ESQ.
5
                Office of the Attorney General
                State of Florida
6
                PL-01 The Capitol
                Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050
7
                John.Guard@myfloridalegal.com
                James.Percival@myfloridalegal.com
8
                Anita.Patel@myfloridalegal.com
                Natalie.Christmas@myfloridalegal.com
9
                (850) 245-0147
10
         On Behalf of the Defendants:
11
                JOSEPH A. DARROW, ESQ.
12
                ERIN RYAN, ESQ.
                U.S. Department of Justice
13
                P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
                Washington, D.C. 20044
14
                joseph.a.darrow@usdoj.gov
                (202) 598-7537
15
16
          ALSO PRESENT:
17
                Michelle Tonelli, Esq., DHS
                Stephanie Muffett, Esq., CBP
18
                Krishna Sharma, Videographer
19
20
21
22
```

```
3
             CONTENTS
2
   THE WITNESS:
3
   RAUL L. ORTIZ
4
      By Mr. Guard.....
5
      6
      By Mr. Guard..... 248
7
9
10
11
12
      Portion of Transcript Marked by Mr. Guard.. 154
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

EXHIBITS ORTIZ EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO. Exhibit 1 Organizational Chart, Department of Homeland Security..... 33 Exhibit 2 Organizational Chart, U.S. 5 Customs and Border Protection..... 35 Exhibit 3 Ortiz Memo to All Chief Patrol Agents and Directorate Chiefs, 5/19/22.... 43 7 Exhibit 4 CBP Enforcement Statistics FY2018... 47 Exhibit 5 CBP Enforcement Statistics Fiscal Year 2022..... 9 49 U.S. Customs and Border Exhibit 6 Protection Overview of the Southwest 11 Border.... 54 12 Exhibit 7 Secretary Mayorkas Delivers Remarks in Del Rio, TX..... 60 13 Exhibit 8 The Biden Plan for Securing Our 14 Values as a Nation of Immigrants..... 69 Exhibit 9 Title 8, Section 1225..... 15 76 Exhibit 10 Title 8, Section 1182..... 96 17 Exhibit 11 USBP Processing Pathways..... 101 18 Exhibit 12 Department of Homeland Security FY 2021 Budget in Brief..... 123 19 Department of Homeland Security Exhibit 13 20 U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Budget Overview, Fiscal Year 2021..... 128 21 Exhibit 14 Department of Homeland Security 22 FY 2022 Budget in Brief...... 133

| | | 5 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | Exhibit 15 Department of Homeland Security, FY 2023 Budget in Brief | |
| 2 | Exhibit 16 ERO LESA Strategy and Operational Analysis Unit | |
| 4 | Exhibit 17 E-mail to Rodney Scott, 2/16/21 151 | |
| 5 | Exhibit 18 Barker e-mail, 5/21/21 159 | |
| 6 | Exhibit 19 Barker e-mail, 5/15/22 169 | |
| 7 | Exhibit 20 March 5, 2021 CE Juvenile Coordinator Report | |
| 9 | Exhibit 21 Custody and Transfer Statistics FY 2020 181 | |
| 10 | Exhibit 22 Custody and Transfer Statistics FY 2021 182 | |
| 11 | Exhibit 23 Memorandum, 12/16/14 | |
| 13 | Exhibit 24 Memorandum, 5/12/15 | |
| 14 | Exhibit 25 Muster | |
| 15 | Exhibit 26 Certified Administrative Record 194 | |
| 16 | Exhibit 27 Administrative Record 225 | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 22 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

```
6
                     PROCEEDINGS
2
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning everyone.
3
    This begins Media Number 1 in the videotaped
4
    deposition of Mr. Raul Ortiz, taken in the matter of
5
    the State of Florida versus the United States of
6
    America et al. This case is filed at the U.S.
7
    District Court, Northern District of Florida,
    Pensacola Division, Case Number 3:21-cv-1066.
9
    deposition is being held at 2300 Wilson Boulevard,
10
    Arlington, Virginia on July 28, 2022, and the time on
    the video monitor is 9:32 a.m.
11
12
              At this time attorneys please identify
    yourselves for the record, and after that, our court
13
    reporter from Henderson Legal Services will swear in
14
15
    the witness and we can begin.
16
              MR. GUARD: Good morning.
                                          John Guard,
17
    Chief Deputy Attorney General for the State of
    Florida.
18
19
              MR. PERCIVAL: James Percival for the State
    of Florida.
20
21
              MS. CHRISTMAS: Natalie Christmas for the
22
    State of Florida.
```

```
MS. PATEL: Anita Patel for the State of
    Florida.
               MR. DARROW: Joseph Darrow on behalf of the
4
    United States.
5
              MS. RYAN: Erin Ryan on behalf of the
    United States.
6
7
               MS. TONELLI: Michelle Tonelli on behalf of
    the United States.
9
              MS. MUFFETT: Stephanie Muffett on behalf
10
    of the United States.
11
              MR. GUARD: Good morning, Chief Ortiz.
12
    Whereupon,
13
                          RAUL ORTIZ,
14
              business address at U.S. Department of
15
              Homeland Security, 1300 Pennsylvania
16
              Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C., called
17
              for examination by counsel for
18
              Plaintiff and having been duly
19
              sworn by the Notary Public, was examined
20
              and testified as follows:
21
              EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
```

```
8
              BY MR. GUARD:
        Ο.
              Let me try that again. Good morning, Chief
3
    Ortiz.
            Can you state and spell your name for the
4
    record?
5
        A.
               Yeah, Raul Ortiz, R-A-U-L, Ortiz,
6
    O-R-T-I-Z.
7
               Thank you. Chief Ortiz, some ground rules
        Ο.
8
    for this deposition. While if you and I were at a
9
    restaurant talking, we would not always give audible
10
    responses. We have a court reporter sitting next to
11
    my right, your left, who's taking everything down,
    and she cannot take down shakes of the head and
12
13
    other, you know, things that -- winks, nods, all
14
    those kind of things. So if you could please just
15
    make sure that you -- you, when asked a question, you
16
    give an audible response so she can take that down,
    is that fair?
17
18
        Α.
               Yes.
19
               If you don't understand a question I ask, I
        0.
20
    would ask you to ask me to rephrase it, and I will
21
    try to make it so that you can understand the
22
    question. If you answer the question, I'm going to
```

9 assume that you understood it. Is that fair?

- 2 A. That's fair.
- Q. All right. And from time to time, Mr.
- 4 Darrow may object to the questions. Obviously we
- 5 don't have a judge here, so unless he instructs you
- 6 not to answer a question, if he objects, you go ahead
- 7 and answer the question, and we then take care of
- 8 that later in court. Is that fair?
- 9 A. Okay.
- 0. And this is not the Bataan death march or
- anything like that, so if you need a break, if you'll
- just answer the question pending, I'll give you any
- breaks or all breaks you want. Is that fair?
- 14 A. That's fair.
- Q. And the last thing is more my problem
- 16 probably than your problem. If we were in a
- 17 restaurant and we were talking, we'd probably
- interrupt each other and maybe at times talk over
- each other because that's how human beings behave,
- 20 but again, we have a court reporter, and if we're
- 21 both talking at the same time, she can't possibly
- take that down, so let's both try to avoid that. Is

10 that fair? 2 That's fair. Α. All right. Are you under the influence of 3 Ο. 4 any alcohol, medication or substances that would 5 impair your ability to testify today? 6 Α. No. 7 Ο. And do you understand that today you're 8 being deposed as both a corporate representative of 9 the Department of Homeland Security and in your 10 individual capacity as well? 11 Α. Yes. And to make sure the record is clear which Q. 13 capacity you're testifying to, the first part of this 14 deposition is going to be you testifying in your 15 individual capacity. We'll then take a break, and 16 then we'll do the corporate deposition. 17 Okay. Α. 18 Ο. All right? Have you ever been deposed 19 before? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. How many times? 22 Α. Less than ten.

11 Okay. And I'm not asking for the specific Ο. circumstances of each deposition, but generally what 3 were those -- what subject matter were those 4 depositions? 5 Α. Most of them involved labor employee 6 relations. 7 Ο. Okay. How did you prepare for this deposition? 8 9 I met several times with our counsel. Α. 10 Okay. Other than your counsel, did you 0. 11 speak to anyone else? 12 Α. No. 13 Okay. Did you review any materials to 0. prepare for this deposition? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 What materials did you review? Q. 17 E-mails and some memoranda and some policy Α. 18 documents. 19 0. Okay. And did you bring anything with you 20 to this deposition? 21 Α. No. 22 Okay. Have you read any of the court Ο.

12 filings in this action? 2 Α. No. 3 Ο. Okay. Have you reviewed any of the 4 deposition transcripts from anyone else that's been 5 deposed in this action? 6 Α. No. 7 Have you spoken to Mr. Barker about his Ο. deposition? 8 9 Α. I've spoken to Mr. Barker about many 10 things, but not specifically his deposition. 11 Q. Okay. Have you -- and I apologize if I 12 mispronounce his name. Did you speak with Mr. 13 Guadian? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Okay. Have you spoken with Mr. Davies? 16 Α. No. 17 Okay. Did you speak to your boss, Q. 18 Commissioner Magnus, about this deposition? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Have you spoken to any of your subordinates 21 about this deposition? 22 Other than the logistics, my adjutant. Α.

```
13
        Q.
               Okay.
               MR. DARROW: Adjutant I think is what he
3
    said.
4
        Α.
               Yes.
5
               Did you have any of your subordinates
        Q.
    gather or provide you any information with respect to
6
7
    this deposition?
8
               Other than printing and binding the
        Α.
9
    information that our counsel provided.
10
         Ο.
               Okay. In the course of your preparation
11
    for this deposition, did you review the Department of
    Homeland Security's responses to Florida's requests
12
13
    for production of documents?
14
        Α.
               I believe I saw some of those documents in
15
    what was printed for me, but I didn't spend an awful
16
    lot of time on that.
17
         Ο.
               Okay. Is it okay if I use DHS for --
18
        Α.
               Yes.
19
               -- Department of Homeland Security? All
        0.
20
    right.
           Well, we're going to have a bunch of those
21
    kind of abbreviations, and I'll ask you before I
22
    abbreviate anything. Have you reviewed DHS's
```

14 responses to Florida's interrogatories in preparation 2 for this deposition? 3 Α. No. All right. Have you reviewed DHS's Q. responses to Florida's requests for admissions in 5 preparation for this deposition? 6 7 Α. No. What is your understanding, Chief Ortiz, as Q. to what this lawsuit is about? 10 I believe it's a challenge of our Α. 11 utilization of ATD parole process as a processing 12 pathway. 13 Okay. Do you have a college degree? 0. 14 Α. No. 15 Q. And you've been employed with the Border 16 Patrol for 31 years, correct? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 0. And you've not worked for any other law 19 enforcement agency other than the Border Patrol, 20 right? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 All right, and you have not worked any Ο.

```
15
     other job except for the Border Patrol since May 13th
2
    of 1991, right?
3
               Well, I was assigned to the Department of
4
    Homeland Security for a year as their attache, and
    then I returned back to the Border Patrol in 2011.
5
               So you were detailed to DHS. Was that
6
         0.
7
     attache --
         Α.
               I was actually assigned --
         Q.
               Okay.
10
         Α.
               -- to DHS.
11
               Okay. And that was for an attache in
         Q.
    Kabul, Afghanistan?
12
13
               That's correct.
         Α.
14
         0.
               Okay, and you graduated from the Border
15
    Patrol Academy, right?
16
               That's correct.
         Α.
17
         Q.
               When did you graduate from the Border
    Patrol Academy?
18
19
               In September of 1919.
         Α.
20
         Q.
               And I believe you were part of academy
21
     class 247; is that right?
22
         Α.
               That's correct.
```

16 Just curious, how many Border Patrol agents Ο. are still active that were part of your class? 3 Α. One. Okay. My wife just retired from the FBI, Q. and she was down to two. 6 Α. Yeah. 7 So I appreciate that. So after you 8 graduated from the academy, you became an agent? 9 That's correct. Α. 10 And what capacity do you serve the Border 0. Patrol now? 11 12 Α. I am the chief of the United States Border 13 Patrol. 14 Ο. And when did you assume that office? 15 August 15th of last year. Α. 16 Okay, so roughly 11 months ago? Q. 17 That's correct. Α. Okay. Almost a year. What are your duties 18 0. 19 as chief of the U.S. Border Patrol? 20 Α. I oversee approximately 21,000 employees, a 21 budget of just under six billion dollars, and am

responsible for the operational oversight of the

22

17

- southwest border, the northern border and our coastal
- 2 regions, plus our OCONUS mission, which is our
- overseas deployments.
- 4 Q. And prior to being chief of the U.S. Border
- 5 Patrol, what job did you have with the Border Patrol?
- 6 A. I was the deputy chief of the United States
- 7 Border Patrol.
- 8 Q. Is there just a single deputy chief or --
- 9 A. That's -- there's only one deputy chief in
- 10 the United States Border Patrol.
- 11 Q. Okay, and how do the duties of deputy chief
- 12 compare to the duties of chief?
- A. Very similar. They're a partnership. The
- 14 -- certainly the chief is the ultimate authority
- within the United States Border Patrol, but as a
- deputy chief, I was considered, you know, his chief
- 17 operating officer and coordinated all efforts with
- 18 respect to the border security mission and some of
- 19 the other coordination we have within the inter-
- agency.
- 21 Q. Okay. And when did you become the deputy
- 22 chief of the U.S. Border Patrol?

18 A. 2019. 2019. Ο. 3 March of 2019. And so you served as deputy chief for more Q. or less 17 months? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Okay. Prior to being deputy chief, what Ο. 8 role did you serve in the Border Patrol? 9 I was the chief of the Del Rio sector, Α. which is in west Texas. 10 And that's actually where you're from 11 Q. originally, right? 12 13 That's correct. Α. 14 And how long did you serve as the chief of 15 the Del Rio sector? 16 For approximately a year. Α. 17 0. Okay. And starting there and kind of working backwards, can you just summarize your 18 19 experience in the top? 20 Yeah, so my first nine years in the United 21 States Border Patrol, I spent in San Diego, 22 California. I was both an agent, a senior agent and

- 1 a first-line supervisor. In the year 2000, I
- 2 transferred to Texas as a -- what would be considered
- a mid-level manager, assistant patrol agent in charge
- 4 of a Border Patrol station and then ultimately became
- 5 an agent in charge of two different stations in Del
- 6 Rio sector.
- After that, I became a staff officer, which
- 8 is an assistant chief, and then I was detailed to
- 9 Afghanistan for a year to run a task force as a
- 10 director in 2009. I returned and worked for the
- 11 State Department for a year as a DHS senior advisor,
- 12 and then I went back to Afghanistan as a DHS attache
- in 2010 to '11, and then subsequently, I was promoted
- 14 as the deputy chief of operational programs in
- 15 Washington, D.C.
- Q. Okay. What were your duties -- so when
- 17 approximately were you the deputy chief of operations
- in Washington, D.C.?
- 19 A. So I did that job for approximately two
- years, from 2011 to 2013, and I served as both the
- 21 deputy of operational programs and operations. I did
- 22 about a year of each. And then after that, I was

- 1 promoted to the deputy chief of Rio Grande Valley
- 2 sector in 2013.
- Q. Okay. What -- what were your duties as
- 4 either deputy chief of operations or deputy chief of
- 5 operational programs?
- 6 A. So in the Border Patrol, we have 20
- 7 sectors. We have an academy, and we also have a
- 8 special operations group. As the deputy chief of
- 9 operations, just in general, our job is to oversee
- 10 and coordinate with the other directorates. At the
- 11 time, there were three different directorates within
- 12 the Border Patrol. We had a policy shop, we had a
- 13 mission readiness shop, and we had an operations
- 14 directorate, and so we had roughly about four or five
- 15 hundred Border Patrol agents and professional staff
- 16 members assigned to our headquarters division.
- And on top of managing those personnel, we
- 18 also oversaw the operations in the field and
- 19 coordinated resourcing the sectors both from a
- 20 budgetary standpoint as well as ensuring that they
- were executing against the chief's operational
- 22 priorities and the commissioner's.

- Q. Okay. At what points in time in your 31
- years with Border Patrol have you been involved in
- 3 developing policies?
- 4 A. Probably most of the policy engagement that
- 5 I was responsible for happened in the three years
- 6 that I was assigned to our Afghanistan mission.
- 7 After that, most of the work as a deputy chief of ops
- 8 or ops programs centered around coordinating
- 9 resourcing. We were in the process of restructuring
- 10 the Border Patrol in 2012. I participated in
- 11 multiple working groups to ensure that we were able
- 12 to restructure appropriately. I worked closely with
- our partner agencies. At that time, there was an
- 14 awful lot of coordination between us, DOJ and the DHS
- 15 components to include ICE. And so most of my duties
- and responsibilities centered around that
- 17 coordination.
- 18 Q. The restructuring that you're mentioning --
- was that part of the restructure that actually
- 20 created the Department of Homeland Security?
- 21 A. No, actually, this was an internal Border
- 22 Patrol restructuring.

- Q. Prior to being chief of the Border Patrol,
- 2 at what points in time in your career have you been
- 3 involved in developing guidance?
- 4 A. Probably since I became a supervisor, which
- 5 was in 1997, either implementing guidance or
- 6 providing information to those who were formulating
- 7 the quidance.
- 8 Q. Okay. And how does -- as far as
- 9 implementing guidance, how does Border Patrol
- 10 typically I guess for lack of better words get the
- word out about a new guidance?
- 12 A. So it happens on several different
- 13 platforms. First, we issue memoranda to the field to
- 14 ensure that they understand policies, procedures. We
- also quite often develop either SOPs, standard
- operating procedures, or IOPs, internal operating
- 17 procedures, for our operational components. And then
- quite often sometimes in exigent circumstances, it
- could be via an e-mail, and then we also develop
- operational plans.
- Operational plans are a very formalized
- 22 approval process. If a sector or an operational

- 1 component makes -- has a recommendation on a
- 2 particular initiative, they may submit a operational
- 3 plan to headquarters, and it will typically be
- 4 approved by either the operations directorate chief
- or may even come to me for approval.
- 6 Q. Okay, so if a sector -- well, strike that.
- 7 In developing guidance, does information come from
- 8 the field to whoever's developing -- involved in
- 9 developing the guidance?
- 10 A. Yes, quite often it's very important to
- 11 involve field representation when developing guidance
- 12 because every sector's unique. Every sector has
- different challenges, and unfortunately, amongst the
- 14 20 sectors, you know, I have sectors that range from
- 15 36, 37 hundred employees all the way down to, you
- know, a couple of hundred. And so it can't be a
- 17 cookie cutter approach. We certainly make sure that
- 18 we involve the sector reps as we start to develop
- 19 guidance that may impact them.
- 20 Q. So the sector that's covering the state of
- 21 Vermont may have a different amount of employees than
- 22 the sector that's covering the Rio Grande Valley.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And to be clear, the sector that's covering
- 3 Vermont would have less employees.
- 4 A. Yes, most definitely.
- 5 Q. All right. Now, the operational plans, the
- 6 IOP, the SOP and the memorandum, would those go to
- 7 the chiefs and deputy chiefs of each executive sector
- 8 for further dissemination to the Border Patrol
- 9 agents?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And they would go up through -- up
- 12 and down the chain of command?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. If I were just an average line
- 15 Border Patrol agent and there was a change in policy
- or change in guidance, would it be common for me to
- get something in writing?
- 18 MR. DARROW: Objection as to form. You can
- 19 answer.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In your 31 years with Border Patrol, have
- you ever done anything that you viewed as inhumane to

- 1 an alien?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. In your 31 years with Border Patrol, have
- 4 you ever done anything that you viewed as cruel to an
- 5 alien?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. What would you do as chief of the Border
- 8 Patrol if you found out that an employee of Border
- 9 Patrol was doing something inhumane?
- MR. DARROW: Objection as to form. You can
- answer.
- 12 A. So certainly there's a disciplinary
- process. One of the things that I've been awfully
- 14 proud of in the 31 years is that, you know, the men
- and women of the Border Patrol have done a phenomenal
- 16 job under very stressful and dire circumstances, and
- they continue to demonstrate the utmost
- professionalism, but any time I or expectation
- 19 anybody within the Border Patrol witnesses or
- observes somebody mistreating or conducting
- 21 themselves in a manner that would not be to the
- 22 standard of the United States Border Patrol,

26 expectation is that that would be reported. 2 The expectation is that no one in Border 0. 3 Patrol's going to condone inhumane behavior. That's correct. Α. All right, and the expectation is the 5 Q. Border Patrol agents on the line or even the 6 7 supervisor, they're going to perform professionally. That's correct. Α. MR. DARROW: Objection as to form. 10 BY MR. GUARD: I know it's -- I know, again, if we were at 11 Q. a meal, it would be typical for us just to talk and 12 13 rattle off, but if you could just pause a second so that Mr. Darrow can get his objections in --14 15 I will. Α. 16 -- that'll just help the court reporter, Q. 17 and she won't yell at all of us. Do you believe that detaining an alien illegally present in the United 18 19 States until he can be processed and either removed or admitted is inhumane? 20 21 Α. Can you repeat the question? 22 Sure. Do you believe that detaining an Ο.

- alien illegally present in the United States until
- that alien can be processed and either removed or
- 3 admitted is inhumane?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you believe that detaining an alien
- 6 illegally present in the United States until he or
- ⁷ she can be processed and either removed or admitted
- 8 is cruel?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. In your career, would it be fair to say
- that millions of aliens that have illegally entered
- 12 the United States have been detained by the Border
- 13 Patrol?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The detention of family units by Border
- Patrol is not something that just happened under
- 17 President Trump, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection as to form.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. The Border Patrol has detained family units
- 21 for your entire 31-year career, correct?
- 22 A. Well, the Border Patrol does not detain.

- 1 We process. The detention operation is the
- 2 responsibility of ICE, enforcement removal
- operations. Typically we try and keep people in
- 4 custody for under 72 hours, and most of that time is
- 5 spent either processing or coordinating the
- 6 repatriation or the transfer to one of the other
- 7 agencies.
- 8 Q. All right. So what at least Border Patrol
- ⁹ tries to do is tries to detain temporarily for a
- period of time that you try not to exceed 72 hours.
- 11 Is that fair?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, and family units illegally present in
- 14 the United States were detained under President
- 15 Obama, right?
- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Family units illegally present in the
- 18 United States were detained under President Clinton,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Would it be fair to say that presidents of
- both parties have detained family units?

29 Α. Yes. Now, the detention of juveniles is not Ο. 3 something that just happened under President Trump, correct? 4 A. That's correct. 6 0. Juveniles have been detained since you 7 joined the Border Patrol. That's correct. Α. Okay. And are you familiar with something Q. known as the Flores consent decree? 11 Α. Yes. And do you know when the Flores consent Q. 13 decree was entered roughly? 14 Α. I know that in 2018 and '19, I traveled to 15 Los Angeles to participate in a hearing under the 16 Flores decree. I can't remember the exact month. 17 Ο. Okay, all right. Has the Flores decree existed for decades? 18 19 No, not that I know of. Α. 20 Q. Okay, so you're not aware that it was 21 roughly entered in 1997? 22 Α. No.

- Q. Okay. And the Flores consent decree deals
- with the detention and treatment of juveniles, does
- 3 it not?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree that the detention of
- 6 juveniles raises additional challenges for the Border
- 7 Patrol that are not present when you're dealing with
- 8 single adults?
- 9 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What are those additional challenges?
- 12 A. So one, the Border Patrol facilities were
- 13 never designed to support long-term detention of
- 14 juveniles. Juveniles require different care, to
- include, you know, in some cases infant and tender
- age children under the age of seven. Our Border
- Patrol agents first off didn't receive training at
- their academy to be able to support the wrap-around
- 19 services that unaccompanied children pose in our, and
- so just in general, when you -- when you're housing,
- you know, large numbers of unaccompanied children in
- 22 congregate settings with adults and family units, the

31 facilities do not have sufficient space to ensure 2 that their safety and security is -- can be 3 prioritized the way it should be in a family 4 residential center or facility that HHS may operate. 5 Okay. I want to kind of carve off Q. unaccompanied children from family units. 6 7 Α. Okay. Both of them have juveniles, correct? Q. That's correct. Α. 10 All right. Unaccompanied children, Border Ο. 11 Patrol doesn't turn those children over to ICE, 12 correct? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 0. Instead, it turns them over to Department 15 of Health and Human Services, right? 16 Α. HHS, yes. 17 0. All right. I'm going to call it HHS just to -- for ease and comfort. And does Border Patrol 18 19 segregate for a short time juveniles apart from 20 family units and single adults? 21 MR. DARROW: Objection.

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Okay, and then it coordinates with HHS to
- 2 remove them from Border Patrol facilities, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Family units. Do you segregate or separate
- 5 family units from single adults?
- 6 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 7 A. We try to.
- Q. And when you say Border Patrol tries to
- 9 separate family units from single adults, is that
- when there is capacity?
- 11 A. That's correct, it's dependent on space and
- 12 detention and the facility itself. They are
- 13 certainly separated within cells, but quite often in
- 14 the processing area, we have seen on occasion family
- units and single adults commingled.
- Q. Okay. Now, again, I'm going to carve off
- 17 unaccompanied children. I'm not talking about HHS.
- 18 I'm not trying to wander into that because I think
- the answer's going to vary. I just want to talk
- about family units. So that's the premise of this
- 21 question and probably a series of questions. Is
- 22 Border Patrol's capacity dependent upon how fast you

```
33
    can move out families to ICE?
2
        Α.
               Yes.
3
               So if ICE is either unwilling or unable to
4
    take family units, Border Patrol's facilities become
    more and more crowded?
5
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
6
        Α.
               Yes.
               So if ICE were to cut its detention
         Q.
9
    capacity in half, that would have an impact on Border
10
    Patrol.
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
        Α.
               Yes.
13
               And if ICE were to cease detaining family
         Ο.
14
    units, that could have an impact on Border Patrol.
15
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
16
        Α.
               Yes.
17
         Ο.
               I'm going to -- I'm going to show you what
    I'm going to mark for identification as Exhibit 1.
18
19
    actually got a copy for him. I'm giving you --
20
               MR. DARROW:
                            Okay.
21
               MR. GUARD:
                          -- your copy too.
22
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 1
```

```
34
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
3
               BY MR. GUARD:
        Q.
               Have you seen Exhibit 1 before?
        A.
               Yes.
               What is Exhibit 1?
        Ο.
7
               It is a table of organization for the
8
    Department of Homeland Security.
9
                      Is it a fair and accurate
        Q.
               Okay.
    representation of the organization of the Department
10
    of Homeland Security?
11
               It appears to be.
13
        Ο.
               All right. The United States Border Patrol
    is not listed on this chart, is it?
14
15
        Α.
               It is a subcomponent of the U.S. Customs
16
    and Border Protection, so no, by itself, it's not
17
    listed on this.
18
               Okay. And if I refer to the United States
        0.
19
    Customs and Border Protection as CBP, you'll know
20
    what I'm talking about?
21
        Α.
               Yes.
22
           Okay. And what does CBP do?
        Ο.
```

35 Α. Customs and Border Protection is a 2 component within the Department of Homeland Security 3 that is responsible for border security. They're 4 responsible for facilitating legal trade and travel 5 at our ports of entry, seaports and airports, and 6 then we also have -- are responsible for facilitating 7 trade. We have six operational components within 8 CBP, the Office of Trade, United States Border Patrol, Office of Field Operations, Executive 9 10 Services, and Operational Services I believe, yeah. 11 All right. I'm going to show you what I'm Q. 12 going to mark as Exhibit 2 to your deposition. 13 MR. DARROW: Thank you. 14 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 2 15 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 BY MR. GUARD: 19 0. Have you ever seen Exhibit 2 before? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 0. And what is Exhibit 2? 22 Exhibit 2 is the table of organization for Α.

36 Customs and Border Protection. 2 And that was what you were just -- the six Q. 3 departments that you were just describing? That's correct. And on this -- on Exhibit 2, there's 5 Q. actually a place for Border Patrol? 6 7 That's correct. Α. What does the Border Patrol do? Q. Border Patrol's responsible for securing Α. 10 the border in between the ports of entry. 11 Q. Okay. And would you agree that Border 12 Patrol's responsibilities are to act in concert with 13 the other components of CBP? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And to act with the other components of DHS 16 to secure the United States. 17 Yes. Α. All right. Would you agree that a large 18 Q. 19 part of what Border Patrol does is encounter and 20 determine who is entering the United States? 21 MR. DARROW: Objection. 22 Α. Yes.

```
37
         Ο.
               Okay. And you report to the deputy
     commissioner; is that correct?
3
         Α.
               That's correct.
               And Troy Miller is the current deputy
         Q.
     commissioner, right?
6
         Α.
               Yes.
7
         Ο.
               And do you understand the difference
8
    between career and political appointees?
9
               I do.
         Α.
10
               Is Mr. Miller a career or a political
11
     appointee?
12
         Α.
               Career.
13
               Okay, and who is the commissioner of Border
         Ο.
14
    Patrol -- or excuse me, of CBP?
15
         Α.
               Chris Magnus.
16
         Q.
              Okay, and is Mr. Magnus a career or
17
    political appointee?
18
         Α.
               Political appointee.
19
         Ο.
               All right. Below -- on Exhibit 2, below
20
    Chief of Border Patrol, do you see Office of Field
21
    Operations?
22
         Α.
               I do.
```

- Q. What are -- what is the Office of Field
- 2 Operations?
- 3 A. So Office of Field Operations are the
- 4 customs and agricultural inspectors that work at our
- 5 ports of entry, our airports and our seaports to
- 6 facilitate legal trade and travel, and certainly
- 7 those folks that are traveling and presenting
- 8 themselves for inspection.
- 9 Q. Okay, and how does Office of Field
- 10 Operations and the responsibilities they have differ
- 11 from the Border Patrol?
- 12 A. So at ports of entry, it is a certainly
- designated entry point. They work closely with our
- 14 Canadian, our Mexican partners to the south of us,
- and then even to some degree in foreign countries.
- We have preclearance facilities throughout the world.
- 17 Our Office of Field Operations officers roughly have
- about 25,000 employees, and they are responsible for
- 19 both goods, cargo that are traveling through our
- 20 ports of entry, but they're also responsible for the
- 21 inspection of individuals that are presenting
- themselves for inspection.

39 Okay. If you'll flip back to Exhibit 1 and Ο. look at Exhibit 1, does it -- Exhibit 1 contain a 3 component named United States Immigration and Customs 4 Enforcement? It's on the bottom row to the right? A. Yes. Okay, and is it all right if I refer to 6 7 them as ICE? A. Yes. 9 Okay, and ICE is a separate entity from Q. 10 CBP, right? 11 That's correct. Α. Q. All right, and it's definitely a separate 13 entity from Border Patrol. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. All right. Would it be fair to say that 16 ICE and Border Patrol have an ongoing relationship? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Okay. And would it be fair to say that Ο. 19 Border Patrol has multiple memorandums of 20 understanding with ICE? 21 Α. Yes. 22 All right. Among those memorandums of Ο.

40 understanding is there one that -- where ICE agrees 2 to provide transportation from the border? 3 Α. Yes. All right. Does ICE also agree to Q. transport aliens back to their country of origin as 5 part of the memorandum? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Okay. And another I guess relationship Q. that ICE and Border Patrol has is that ICE agrees to accept transfer aliens that need to be detained; is 10 that correct? 11 Α. That's correct. All right. And for -- strike that. 13 Ο. 14 it be fair to say that Border Patrol often detains

- aliens that it encountered at the southern border
- until they can be processed and turned over to ICE?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree, Chief Ortiz, that the
- 19 southern border is currently in crisis?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree, Chief Ortiz, that historic

41 numbers of aliens are illegally entering the United 2 States through the southern border? 3 MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. Yes. Would you agree, Chief Ortiz, that 5 Q. unprecedented numbers of aliens are illegally 6 7 entering the United States right now? MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. Yes. 10 Would you agree, Chief Ortiz, that more Ο. aliens are going through the southern border than we 11 have seen in the last 20 years? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Would you agree -- would you agree, Chief 15 Ortiz, the Border Patrol has never had as many 16 encounters with aliens in a physical year as it has 17 had in the last two years? 18 MR. DARROW: Objection. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Chief Ortiz, do you expect the historic 21 number of aliens illegally entering the United States 22 to increase in the near term?

- 1 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. Actually, we'd seen a decrease over the
- 3 last two months, and I expect that in July, we will
- 4 see a continuing decrease from the previous two
- 5 months.
- 6 Q. Is some of that the seasonality of the
- 7 border?
- 8 A. I haven't seen much of a seasonal trend
- over the last three or four years.
- 10 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with something
- 11 known as Title 42?
- 12 A. I am.
- Q. Do you expect that the number of aliens
- 14 trying to illegally enter the United States will
- increase if the Title 42 order is rescinded?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 17 A. I always prepare for scenarios that may
- impact the flow of the migrant population, and so we
- 19 have prepared for both higher and lower numbers.
- Q. I'm going to show you what I'm going to
- 21 mark for identification as Exhibit 3 to your
- deposition.

```
43
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 3
                                  was marked for
3
                                  identification.)
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Have you seen Exhibit 3 before, Chief
6
         0.
7
    Ortiz?
               I have.
        A.
         Q.
               What is Exhibit 3?
10
         Α.
               It's a memorandum that I signed on May
11
    19th, 2022, and it discusses the non-citizen releases
12
    from our custody.
13
         0.
               Okay. And so that's roughly two months
14
    ago?
15
        Α.
               That's correct.
                            In your experience 31 years
16
               All right.
         Q.
    with the Border Patrol, have you ever seen a document
     -- or have you ever seen a memorandum like Exhibit 3
18
19
    before?
20
        Α.
               No.
21
         Q.
               Why did you send out Exhibit 3?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

- 1 A. To ensure that our Border Patrol sectors
- were coordinating with our non-governmental
- 3 organizations and were also focused on perhaps the
- 4 impact to the communities and ensuring that we were
- 5 coordinating with our ICE partners.
- 6 Q. Would you agree with me, Chief Ortiz, that
- 7 Exhibit 3 deals with the possible rescission of Title
- 8 42?
- 9 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Would you agree with me, Chief Ortiz, that
- 12 Exhibit 3 provides directions to sectors in the
- 13 southwest border if the capacity becomes an issue?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what does this memorandum direct the
- sector chiefs to do if detention capacity becomes an
- 17 issue on the southwest border because of the repeal
- 18 of Title 42?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 20 A. It directs the sectors to ensure that prior
- to any releases, that we have worked closely with our
- 22 non-governmental organizations or our partners within

- our respective communities. It also reminds the
- 2 sectors to factor in the safety and security of the
- 3 migrant populations that we are processing. One of
- 4 the things that we did not want to see happen is
- 5 migrants released in the middle of the night where
- 6 transportation nodes were closed if they weren't able
- 7 to be turned over to a nongovernmental organization
- 8 because NGOs and their facilities were also facing
- 9 some constraints and challenges with respect to
- 10 space.
- 11 Q. Wasn't this guidance issued for situations
- where ICE was either unable or unwilling to accept
- 13 transfers of aliens?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And if ICE were either unwilling or unable
- 17 to accept transfer of aliens, this memo authorizes
- 18 the sectors to release aliens into the interior of
- 19 the United States.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. Well, it authorizes them to release them
- into the communities that they were apprehended in.

46 Okay. And does Exhibit 3 authorize the Ο. release of aliens that would otherwise be inadmissible into the United States? 3 MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. Yes. Ο. Have you ever heard the term "broken 7 arrow"? Α. Yes. What is a broken arrow? Ο. 10 Typically in the context of operations, it Α. is unsustainable operational circumstances. 11 Okay. Is Exhibit 3 meant to deal with 12 Q. situations where Border Patrol had what amounts to a broken arrow? 14 15 MR. DARROW: Objection. 16 Α. No. 17 Okay. Can you explain to me why not? Q. 18 Well, we still had processes in place, and 19 we still expected a majority of the encounters that 20 we were experiencing on the southwest border would be 21 facilitated from us to NGOs, but we also recognize 22 that in a couple locations, that we were going to

```
47
    experience some challenges.
         Q.
               Okay.
                      Sorry. Did I cut you off?
3
         Α.
               No, go ahead.
4
               I'm now going to show you what I've marked
         Q.
    for identification as Exhibit 4 to your deposition.
6
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 4
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
               MR. DARROW:
                             Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
10
               Exhibit 4 is CBP enforcement statistics for
11
         Q.
    the physical year of 2018, correct?
12
13
               Yes.
        Α.
14
         Ο.
               And these are statistics that are publicly
    available from CBP's web site, right?
15
16
        Α.
               It appears so.
17
         0.
               And these statistics include all ports of
    entries; is that correct?
18
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
         Q.
              All right. And it includes Border Patrol
21
    statistics as well, right?
22
        Α.
               Yes.
```

```
48
               All right. Is there currently or was there
         0.
    in 2018 a crisis at the northern border?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
         Α.
               No.
5
               Okay. Now, looking at Exhibit 4 on its
         Q.
    first page, this document contains data not just from
6
7
    physical year of 2018, right?
        Α.
               Yes.
9
               It also includes data from physical years
         Q.
10
    2016 and 2017, correct?
11
               That's correct.
        Α.
               The high number of apprehensions for Border
12
         Q.
13
    Patrol for those years was in 2016, right?
14
        Α.
               That's correct.
15
         Q.
               And that was 415,816?
16
        Α.
               Yes.
17
               Right? And if you look down at the -- for
         Ο.
    the total for enforcement actions for all of the CBP
18
19
    components, the high was again in 2016, right?
20
        Α.
               Yes.
21
         Q.
               And that was 690,637, correct?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
```

```
49
               All right. I'm going to show you what I've
         0.
2
    marked for identification as Exhibit 5 to your
3
    deposition.
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 5
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
               MR. DARROW:
                             Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
9
               Exhibit 5 is the CBP enforcement statistics
         Ο.
10
    for fiscal year 2022, right?
11
        Α.
               Yes.
12
         Q.
               And again, these are statistics that are
13
    publicly available on CBP's web site, right?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
         Q.
               And physical year 2022 is not yet
    concluded, correct?
16
17
               That's correct.
         Α.
               All right. Now, Exhibit 5 contains data
18
         Q.
19
    not just for fiscal year 2022, correct?
20
        Α.
               That's correct.
21
               It contains the data for physical years
22
    2017 through physical year 2021?
```

```
50
               MR. DARROW: Just for clarification, it
    sounds like you're saying physical. Fiscal, right?
2
3
               MR. GUARD: Yes.
               MR. DARROW: Okay, sorry.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Sorry. F-I-S-C-A-L, to be clear. Prior to
6
         Ο.
7
    the Biden administration being inaugurated, the
8
    highest number of Border Patrol encounters occurred
9
    in 2019, right?
10
         Α.
               Yes.
               And the highest number of enforcement
11
         Q.
    actions by CBP occurred in fiscal year 2019, correct?
12
13
               '21, it was higher.
        Α.
14
         Ο.
               Oh, sorry. All right. Prior to the Biden
15
    administration.
16
        Α.
               Yes.
17
               Okay, and in '19, the number of Border
         0.
    Patrol encounters was 859,501, right?
18
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
         Q.
               And the number of total enforcement actions
21
    was 1,148,024, correct?
22
        Α.
               Yes.
```

```
51
               All right. And Joseph R. Biden, Junior was
         0.
    inaugurated on January 20th of 2021, right?
3
         Α.
               Yes.
4
               Okay. And if you look at the physical year
         Q.
5
     '21 numbers, and Donald Trump would have been
    president for the first three months of that physical
6
7
    year?
        Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. And then Biden would have been
         Q.
10
    president after that point in time?
11
        Α.
               Yes.
               All right. And in that year, fiscal year
         Q.
13
     '21, the number of Border Patrol encounters doubled
    from 2019.
14
15
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
16
               BY MR. GUARD:
17
         Q.
               Correct?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
         0.
               And the number of Border Patrol encounters
20
    in fiscal year '21 is four times any other year other
21
    than fiscal year '19.
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Looking at fiscal year '22, year to
- date, we have still roughly three months of
- 4 encounters to occur, and the number of encounters by
- 5 Border Patrol in fiscal year '22 is almost at the
- 6 total year number for fiscal year '21, right?
- 7 A. We've already exceeded that number, yes.
- Q. Okay. So you obviously in your role see
- 9 data as it happens and not necessarily as it gets
- 10 reported on a public web site. You're already in
- excess sitting here right now with roughly three
- months to go, the total number of encounters that
- you've had in fiscal year '22 is in excess of
- 14 physical year '21.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, the numbers that we're experiencing in
- 18 2021 and 2022 are mainly encounters at the southern
- 19 border, correct?
- 20 A. And we have seen some increases in the
- 21 coastal region in Miami and in Puerto Rico.
- Q. Would you agree the primary driver of the

```
53
    numbers in '21 and '22 is the southern border?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
         Α.
               Yes.
4
               Okay. From your 31 years of experience,
        Q.
    has the Border Patrol in a year ever had the number
5
    of encounters that it's going to have in 2022?
6
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               No.
               Is the crisis that is currently ongoing at
        Q.
    the southern border making the border less safe for
10
    Americans and aliens alike?
11
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
        Ο.
               Before I move on, turning back for a minute
    to I think it was Exhibit 3, has to your knowledge
15
16
    Exhibit 3 ever been implemented?
17
        Α.
               No.
               Okay. Chief Ortiz, are you aware that more
18
        0.
19
    aliens have died trying to illegally enter the United
20
    States in the last two years than ever before?
21
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
22
        Α.
               Yes.
```

```
54
               Now, Chief Ortiz, does CBP components
         Ο.
2
     survey aliens that they encounter on why they were
3
     entering the United States?
         Α.
               Yes.
5
               I'm going to show you what I marked for
         Q.
     identification as Exhibit I think 6.
6
7
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 6
                                  was marked for
9
                                  identification.)
10
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
11
              Exhibit 6 is an overview of the southwest
12
         Q.
13
    border by CBP, correct?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
               Have you ever seen Exhibit 6 before?
15
         Α.
               I'm not sure what the date is on this, but
17
    yes, it does look familiar.
18
               All right. Well, let's try to figure out
         Ο.
19
    the date from -- from the document. If you look at
20
     the first paragraph of Exhibit 6, that first bullet
21
    point mentions spring of 2021, correct?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
```

55 And that's -- it is talking about Ο. unaccompanied children that arrived at the border 2 through spring of 2021; is that correct? 3 That's correct. 5 Q. So it would appear to be at some point in time after the spring of 2021? Is that fair to say? 6 7 That's fair to say. Α. 8 All right. And if you look at page 2 at Q. 9 the second bullet point, it talks about two 10 hurricanes, Ada and Iota, that made landfall in 11 November of 2020, so again, sometime after the late 12 2020 is what that paragraph seems to indicate? 13 Α. Yes. And so sometime late 2020 would be when 14 0. 15 this document -- hold on. Strike that. Going back 16 to that first bullet point, the first three months of 17 fiscal year 2021 -- never mind. Strike that. second page of Exhibit 6, at the first bullet 18 19 point --20 Α. On the second page you said? 21 Q. Yes, sir. 22 Α. Uh-huh.

```
56
               The overview lists seven factors that CBP
         Ο.
2
    designates as, quote, the primary push-pull factors
3
    cited by migrants?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Did I read that correct?
        Ο.
        Α.
               Yes.
8
               All right. And what are push-pull factors?
         Q.
9
               Those are factors that are considered
        Α.
10
    either drivers or opportunities that migrants may
11
    perceive as a reason to enter illegally into the U.S.
12
         Q.
               Okay. Looking at the first I guess
    sub-bullet point under -- under the primary push-pull
14
    factors that are cited by migrants, it says economic
15
    opportunities. Did I read that correct?
16
        Α.
               Yes.
               All right. Economic opportunities are --
17
         Ο.
    would you agree are a consistent driver of aliens
18
19
    entering the United States?
20
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
21
        Α.
               Yes.
22
               In your 31 years, economic opportunities
         Ο.
```

```
57
    has been a driver every year?
2
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
        Α.
               Yes.
               Okay, all right. Looking at the next
        Q.
    bullet points, next bullet point, regional and
5
    political instability, you see that?
6
7
               Yes.
        Α.
         Q.
               And that's regional and political
    instability in Central and Southern America?
               Well, Haiti. It's 158 different countries
10
        Α.
    that we encounter migrants from.
11
               Okay. Would it be fair to say that over
12
        Q.
    your 31 years, there's been regional -- regional and
14
    political instability somewhere in those 158
15
    countries that you've encountered?
16
        Α.
               Yes.
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
               BY MR. GUARD:
19
        Ο.
               And so that's a consistent driver --
20
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
21
               BY MR. GUARD:
22
               -- of illegal immigration into the United
        Ο.
```

58 States? 2 Α. Yes. Okay. Fear of violence is the next bullet 3 0. 4 Did I read that correct? point. 5 Α. Yes. All right. Fear of violence -- has that 6 Ο. 7 been a factor that has been present for at least part 8 of your 31 years as a Border Patrol agent driving 9 illegal immigrants into the United States? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. If you drop down a couple more, Q. perception -- perceptions of favorable U.S. 12 13 immigration policies, you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Do you know if prior to the Biden 16 administration being inaugurated, whether aliens had 17 a favorable view or favorable perception of U.S. 18 immigration policies? 19 MR. DARROW: Objection. 20 Α. Repeat the question please? 21 0. Let me -- I inartfully worded it 22 because I actually worded two questions together.

59 Prior to January 20th, 2021 when President Biden was 2 inaugurated, from your experience as a Border Patrol 3 agent, did aliens have a favorable view or 4 unfavorable view of Trump's immigration policies? MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. They had an unfavorable view. Okay. Would an unfavorable view of Trump's Ο. 8 immigration policies kept aliens from coming the 9 border? 10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 Α. Some. Okay. When President Biden was elected, 12 Q. did the number of aliens trying to illegally enter the United States increase or decrease? 14 15 MR. DARROW: Objection. 16 Α. Increase. 17 Okay. Did caravans restart to the border Ο. after President Biden was elected? 18 19 MR. DARROW: Objection. 20 Caravans are a recent phenomenon that we've 21 seen over the last couple of years. 22 Okay. Since President Biden was elected, Ο.

```
60
    does this document indicate that aliens illegally
2
    entering the United States perceive that they will be
    able to enter and remain in the United States?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        A.
               Yes.
               You can set that document aside. I think
7
    we're on 7.
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 7
9
                                  was marked for
10
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
11
               I'm going to show you what I marked for
12
13
    identification as Deposition Exhibit 7.
14
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
15
               BY MR. GUARD:
16
               Have you seen Deposition Exhibit 7 before?
        Q.
17
               Not this specific document.
        Α.
18
               Okay. Does Exhibit 7 have comments that
        0.
19
    Secretary Mayorkas, Commissioner -- Acting
20
    Commissioner Miller and you made?
21
        Α.
               Yes.
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

```
61
               BY MR. GUARD:
               What is the date of Exhibit 7?
         Ο.
3
         Α.
               September 20th.
         Q.
               Okay.
               '21, sorry.
         Α.
               What were the circumstances that caused you
6
         0.
7
    to -- you, Secretary Mayorkas and Acting Commissioner
8
    Miller to make comments on that day?
9
         Α.
               We had a mass migration event in Del Rio,
10
    Texas of between 16 and 19 thousand migrants from
11
    principally Haiti, but we also encountered
12
    individuals from Venezuela, Cuba, Nicaragua and a few
13
    other countries.
14
         Ο.
               And there was news coverage going on of
    migrants living under a bridge I think?
15
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
17
               BY MR. GUARD:
18
               Is that correct?
        Ο.
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
         Q.
               All right. And there was a lot of press
21
    coverage. Would that be fair?
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

62 Α. Yes. Okay. And you had to respond to that Q. 3 situation, right? 4 MR. DARROW: Objection. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. Okay. And what -- the comments that were 7 being made by the three of you were kind of detailing 8 that -- the Border Patrol's response to the situation 9 of the 16 to 19 thousand migrants that had entered the United States illegally, right? 10 11 MR. DARROW: Objection. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. I want to kind of focus on your Ο. 14 comments, and if you look at the third page of 15 Exhibit 7, and I'm not sure if it's -- since there's 16 no indents, I can't tell if it's a continuation of 17 the previous paragraph or it's a new paragraph, but 18 the paragraph that says, "I talked yesterday"? 19 you see where I am at the top of the page? 20 Α. Yes, okay. You said, "I talked yesterday about how so 21 0. 22 much of this migration is driven through social media

63

- and word of mouth, and smugglers are significant
- drivers of the misinformation that people that" --
- 3 "that gets to people to undertake these dangerous
- 4 journeys." What are you describing with that
- 5 sentence?
- 6 A. So prior to this event, we had scheduled
- 7 some repatriation flights back to Haiti. Those
- 8 flights were cancelled, and when those flights were
- 9 cancelled, those migrants were unable to be
- repatriated, and so what happens quite often is the
- 11 migrant population will use the social media
- 12 platforms to inform folks that were already making
- 13 the trek from South America and from some of the
- other countries, most -- or quite a few of the
- 15 Haitian migrants that we encountered underneath the
- bridge had already been domiciled in other countries
- in South America. So they had begun to make the
- trek, and when they found out that the flights had
- been turned off in Del Rio, we found that criminal
- organizations, smuggling organizations were
- 21 chartering buses and driving them to Ciudad Acuna,
- which is just south of Del Rio.

```
64
               Okay, and the migrants were being told that
         Ο.
2
    if you go to the Del Rio sector, you will not be
3
    repatriated, correct?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
5
               They were told a couple of things.
        Α.
6
    were told that it's safe in Acuna and in Del Rio.
7
    They were told that they would be processed
8
    relatively quickly, and then I'm sure they were told
9
    that there was a chance that they may be released.
               Okay. Were -- from those flights that were
10
         Ο.
11
    cancelled, were any of those Haitians actually
    released into the interior of the United States?
12
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
               Do you know approximately how many Haitians
    were released into the interior of the United States?
15
16
        Α.
               No.
17
         Q.
               Are we talking tens, hundreds or thousands
18
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
               BY MR. GUARD:
21
        Q.
               -- being released?
22
               I would assume it was in the hundreds
        Α.
```

65

- 1 initially.
- Q. Okay. Now, after the crowd of aliens under
- the bridge had gathered, how were they dispersed?
- 4 A. So we focused on the vulnerable populations
- 5 first. When I arrived, we had a rough estimate of
- 6 about 16,000 migrants underneath the bridge, and so
- ⁷ just in doing my initial assessment, I was able to
- 8 ascertain that many of them were family units and
- 9 quite a few of them were pregnant females, and so we
- worked with our partners to try and focus on those
- 11 individuals first.
- 12 Q. Okay. As to the family units and to the
- 13 pregnant females, when they would go through
- 14 processing, would -- would they have likely been
- 15 released into the interior of the United States or
- would they have been removed?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 18 A. So most of those family units and -- were
- 19 taken to our Office of Field Operations. We had to
- shut down the port of entry in Del Rio, and we began
- 21 processing them in a different facility, and then we
- 22 also bused some of those populations to some of the

66 other surrounding sectors, and we actually flew some 2 of them to other sectors. What their disposition 3 once they reached the processing centers is unknown 4 to me. Q. Okay. This was September of 2021? Α. That's correct. 7 All right. Do you know if by September of Ο. 8 2021, ICE was -- or had any detention beds for family 9 units? 10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 Α. No. No, you don't know that they had -- whether 12 Q. they had detention for family units, or no, they did 14 not have any detention for family units? 15 Α. It is my understanding that they did not 16 have any detention beds for family units. 17 So as to the family units in that group of 16 to 19 thousand people, they could not have been 18 19 detained, right? 20 MR. DARROW: Objection. 21 Α. That's correct. 22 All right. So the option would have been Ο.

67 to admit them or to repatriate them, right? MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 Α. Yes. Now, if you look at the next paragraph in Q. your statement -- first let me ask you this because I 5 forgot to ask it, and you can take a minute if you'd 6 7 like to take a minute, but are the paragraphs here that -- where it says transcript of U.S. Border Patrol Chief Ortiz, are they accurate? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. If you look at the last paragraph, Q. 12 the first sentence says, "The smugglers leverage 13 misinformation to mislead people. Some of that information is focused on TPS." First, what is TPS? 14 15 Α. Temporary protective status. Ο. All right. And what are smugglers doing with information on TPS? 17 18 Α. They are telling -- or they were telling 19 the migrant population that regardless of when you 20 entered or were processed, that you would be allowed 21 to stay in the United States. 22 Would you agree, Chief Ortiz, that the Ο.

```
68
    aliens who cite favorable immigration policy as a
    reason to come to the United States are perceiving
3
    what actually is happening in the United States?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
              Yes.
              Prior to President Biden being elected, did
6
        Ο.
7
    you review Candidate Biden's immigration proposals?
8
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: No.
10
               MR. GUARD: If this is a good time to take
    a break, we can take a break because I did not write
11
12
    down my tab number on --
13
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14
               MR. GUARD: -- this exhibit, and we've been
    going for a while.
15
16
               THE WITNESS: That'll work.
              MR. GUARD: Okay.
17
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the
18
    record at 10:48.
19
20
                    (Recessed at 10:48 a.m.)
21
                   (Reconvened at 10:57 a.m.)
22
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the
```

```
69
    record at 10:57.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               All right, Chief Ortiz, I think before we
3
4
    left and went on break, I was asking you if you'd
    reviewed Candidate Biden's immigration proposals.
5
    you remember that?
6
        Α.
               Yes.
         Q.
               All right, and you had not.
               I had not.
         Α.
10
               Okay. I'm going to show you what I marked
         Ο.
11
    for identification as Exhibit 8 to your deposition.
12
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 8
13
                                  was marked for
14
                                  identification.)
15
               BY MR. GUARD:
16
               If you look at the second sentence on the
         Q.
    first page of Exhibit 8, it reads, "When children are
17
    locked away in overcrowded detention centers and the
18
19
    government seeks them there indefinitely."
20
               MR. DARROW: Take your time to read it if
21
    you need it.
22
               BY MR. GUARD:
```

70 Ο. Did I read that sentence correctly? Yes. Α. 3 Ο. During the Trump administration, is that 4 sentence -- was that sentence true, to your 5 knowledge? MR. DARROW: Objection. 6 7 We had a unaccompanied child crisis in 8 2014. I was the deputy chief in Rio Grande Valley, 9 and we saw a significant spike in unaccompanied 10 children during that time. 11 And so 2014, that would have been the Obama Q. administration? 12 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. Was that still true under the Trump 15 administration? 16 MR. DARROW: Objection. 17 We had seen steady increases since 2014 of Α. 18 unaccompanied children that we hadn't experienced 19 prior to that. 20 Q. Okay. But were unaccompanied children 21 walked away in overcrowded detention facilities and 22 kept there indefinitely at that time?

```
71
        Α.
               No.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
4
               All right. If you'll turn to page 5 of
5
    Exhibit 8, the second bullet point says, "End
6
    prolonged detention and reinvest in a case management
7
    program." You see that?
        Α.
               Yes.
               In the two years that the Biden
        Q.
10
    administration has been in office, or roughly two
    years, have they ended prolonged detention?
11
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection, and take your time
13
    to read it if you need it.
14
        Α.
               We have continued to do a better job of
15
    minimizing the time in custody of the migrant
16
    population within the Border Patrol, and I would
17
    imagine that's probably holds true for our ICE/ERO
18
    partners.
19
         Ο.
               Are you aware of whether or not ICE has cut
20
    its detention capacity?
21
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
22
               I could not speak to that.
        Α.
```

72

- Q. So you as the chief of Border Patrol do not
- 2 know whether ICE has reduced the number of detention
- 3 beds that it has.
- 4 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 5 A. I do know that ICE has had some capacity
- issues with respect to detention beds and space, but
- 7 the number of reduced beds, I'm not familiar with the
- 8 exact number.
- 9 Q. And you are familiar, I believe, with the
- 10 fact that ICE has stopped detaining family units,
- 11 correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 13 A. I am familiar that they are no longer
- 14 manning residential facilities.
- 15 Q. Okay. If you'll look down in the
- paragraph, one, two, three, four, five sentences in,
- the sentence reads, "Biden will codify protections to
- 18 safeguard children to make sure their treatment is
- 19 consistent with their best interest and invest in
- 20 community-based" -- "community-based case management
- 21 programs, including those supported by faith-based
- organizations, such as Lutheran Immigration and

```
73
    Refugee Services, to move migrants into safe
2
    environments as quickly as possible." Did I read
3
    that correctly?
        Α.
               Yes.
               Now, that sentence uses the word "codify."
         Q.
6
    Do you see that?
7
               I do.
        Α.
               What does the word "codify" mean to you?
         Q.
               It means that they're going to establish a
        Α.
    process and develop a policy that focuses on
10
11
    protecting unaccompanied children and migrants.
12
               Does it also mean for family units?
         Q.
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
         Α.
               It doesn't indicate that it's for family
15
    units.
16
               Okay, but family units have children,
         Q.
17
    right?
18
         Α.
               Typically.
19
         Ο.
               Okay. And the word "codify" -- does that
20
    indicate that they need to go to Congress to pass
21
    something?
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

74

- 1 A. That I'm not going to assume.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. We've seen executive orders issued for the
- 4 last few years that we hadn't seen previously, and I
- 5 say the last, I'm talking about the last five or six
- 6 years.
- 7 Q. Sure. Now, Border Patrol itself under your
- 8 direction -- strike that. For a moment, Chief Ortiz,
- 9 I want you to ignore the existence of the Title 42 CD
- order and assume Title 8 was the only -- Title 8
- 11 flows are the only flows for aliens encountered at
- the southern border, okay? When a Border Patrol
- agent finds an individual on or near the southwest
- 14 border that he or she believes to be an alien, does
- the agent seek a warrant to detain the alien?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 17 A. Typically when we encounter migrants along
- the southwest border, we process them via Title 8,
- which is our authority to issue a notice to appear
- and a warrant of arrest, and then if they are from
- 21 Mexico, we can voluntarily return that migrant
- 22 population with a repatriation agreement we have with

75 the government of Mexico. 2 0. Okay, but as far as the actual seizing of 3 the alien, is it a warrantless or a warrant seizure of -- of -- of the --4 Α. Warrant. So okay. All right, so the border agent 6 Ο. 7 seizes the alien and conducts an inspection, right? 8 That's correct. Α. 9 Okay. With that inspection, the Border Q. Patrol agent is trying to determine whether the alien 10 11 is admissible or inadmissible, right? MR. DARROW: Objection. 13 That's correct. 14 Ο. Okay. And you know, the Border Patrol 15 agent is trying to discern the appropriate pathway to 16 process an alien, correct? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Ο. Okay. And you're familiar with the 19 immigration and naturalization act of 1965? 20 Α. I am. 21 Ο. Okay. And Congress and I'm going to call 22 it the INA established pathways, correct?

```
76
        Α.
               That's correct.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
4
         Q.
               And Congress set out the process for
5
    inspections to happen.
6
                            Objection.
               MR. DARROW:
               BY MR. GUARD:
        Q.
               Correct?
         Α.
               Yes.
               And I believe it's section 235 of the
10
         Ο.
11
    Immigration and Nationality Act, or also known as 8
    USC section 1225; is that correct?
12
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
               All right, let's -- let's look at that.
15
    keep on losing my pen. You'll have eight or ten pens
16
    in this -- we're on 9?
17
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 9
18
                                  was marked for
19
                                  identification.)
20
               BY MR. GUARD:
               I show you what I marked for identification
21
    as Exhibit Number 9. Now, Exhibit Number 9 is the --
22
```

```
77
    is section 235 of the Immigration and Nationality
2
    Act, is it not?
3
         Α.
               Yes.
4
               Okay, and if you look at section (a)(1) of
         Q.
5
    that statute, it provides -- or that section, it
    provides aliens who are present in the United States
6
7
    and not admitted shall be detained, correct?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
         Α.
               Where does it say not --
10
               If you look at --
         0.
11
               You said (a)(1)?
         Α.
12
         Q.
               Yes.
13
               I don't see anything that talks about
        Α.
14
    detention.
15
               Sorry. Excuse me. (b)(1). Strike that.
         Q.
16
    All right, let's move on. Section 1225(a)(1) is the
17
    authority that Border Patrol agents use every day to
18
    conduct inspections, right?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Yes.
21
         Q.
               Now, looking at 1225(a)(3), that's the
22
    section of the statute that provides for an
```

```
78
     inspection.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
         Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. And looking down to the next
        Q.
    section, it has a section entitled -- or -- so that's
5
    1225(a)(4), it says, "Withdrawal of an application
6
7
    for admission." Do you see that?
               I do.
        Α.
               And withdrawal of an application, that is a
        Q.
     -- one of the processing pathways, is it not?
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
               Not that the Border Patrol uses.
13
               Okay. So an alien, if they just want to be
         Ο.
14
    removed, they cannot withdraw their application and
15
     just be repatriated?
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
17
               We do have a voluntary return.
        A.
18
        Q.
               Okay.
19
               Yeah.
        Α.
20
        Q.
               All right. Looking down at (b)(1), so
21
    that's on the second page of Exhibit Number 9,
22
     (b)(1)(A) sub 1 -- I guess it's actually on the first
```

```
79
    page. I apologize. So (b)(1), big A little I is --
2
    it describes the inspection that is being conducted
3
    generally, correct?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               That's correct.
               Okay. And it makes reference to two
6
        Ο.
7
    statutes, 1182(a) subsection A, 6 big C, and
8
    1182(a)(7). Do you see that?
               I do.
        Α.
               Okay. And so the Border Patrol, when it's
10
        Ο.
11
    doing this inspection in general, is inquiring of an
12
    alien about his citizenship, correct?
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
        Α.
               Yes.
15
        Q.
               Okay. And if that alien misrepresents his
16
    citizenship, he can be removed?
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
        Α.
               Yes.
19
        Ο.
               And if he does not have a visa and a
20
    passport, he can be removed.
21
        Α.
               Yes.
22
               Okay. And so the initial screening, you're
        Ο.
```

80 gathering biographical information and citizenship 2 documents. Is that fair to say? 3 MR. DARROW: Objection. 4 If they possess travel documents or some 5 sort of immigration documents, yes, we will --And all or almost all of the aliens 6 0. Okay. 7 that Border Patrol encounters at the southern border don't have a visa to enter the United States. that fair? 10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 Α. Yes. Okay, and the vast majority of aliens 12 Q. 13 encountered at the southern border don't have a 14 passport, right? 15 MR. DARROW: Objection. Typically they discard them before they enter the U.S. Quite often our officers will find 17 them discarded in or around the border area. 18 19 Ο. Okay, and sometimes they'll have I think 20 they call it pocket trash? Are you familiar with 21 that term? 22 Α. I am.

81 0. What is pocket trash? It could be anything from a map to phone Α. 3 numbers to smugglers or, you know, information on who 4 to contact when they make it to the U.S. 5 Do sometimes pocket trash include Q. information indicating that whatever they've told the 6 7 Border Patrol agent is not true? MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. Yes. 10 Okay. Under 1225, if an alien doesn't have 0. a visa and a valid passport, what is supposed to 11 12 happen to that alien? 13 MR. DARROW: Objection. 14 We take them into custody. 15 Q. Okay. Are they supposed to be detained? 16 MR. DARROW: Objection. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. Okay. And -- and they're to be removed 19 unless they request asylum? 20 MR. DARROW: Objection. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. Okay.

```
82
        Α.
               If we have a repatriation agreement with
    the country that they are traveling from or that they
3
    are citizens of.
4
               Okay. And the removal, assuming that
        0.
5
    there's a repatriation agreement, is supposed to be
     immediate, correct?
6
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               Well, that's very dependent on logistics.
        Q.
               Okay.
10
               Flights occur, you know, at different
        Α.
    times, and so a lot of coordination and logistics
11
12
    have to happen.
13
               Okay. And that would be coordination and
        Ο.
14
    logistics with ICE.
15
        Α.
               With ICE and the host country.
16
               Okay, all right. And the exception -- and
        Q.
17
    again, the exception to removal is if the alien
    claims asylum?
18
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
              BY MR. GUARD:
21
        Q.
             Correct?
22
        Α.
               Well, there's a couple of exceptions.
```

83 is asylum. The second may be if they're a part of a 2 smuggling investigation or event, they may be held as 3 a material witness. 0. Any other exceptions? 5 Typically those are the ones that we Α. 6 encounter the most. 7 Okay. And how frequently do you hold or Ο. 8 process people as material witnesses? 9 MR. DARROW: Objection. 10 Α. It's very dependent on the sector. 11 you think about, you know, Laredo and Tucson sector 12 where we see the criminal organizations, smuggling 13 organizations operating at a higher level, I think 14 you'll find that we'll have more material witnesses 15 kept within ICE's custody in those locations. 16 Okay. Would the number of exceptions for Q. 17 material witnesses be greater than the number of 18 people claiming asylum? 19 MR. DARROW: Objection. 20 Α. No. 21 Ο. Would the number of people climb claiming

asylum dwarf the number of material witnesses?

22

```
84
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               Once again, it's going to be dependent on
        Α.
3
    location.
4
               Okay, all right. Is it typical for aliens
        Q.
    encountered at the border to claim asylum?
5
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
6
7
               Initially when they are taken into custody
    by the Border Patrol, quite often the asylum claim
8
9
    does not happen until after the processing begins --
10
        Ο.
               Okay.
11
               -- or they are turned over to ICE.
12
        Q.
               Okay. And while I'm sure it varies,
    sometimes they're processed on the scene.
14
    fair to say?
15
               MR. DARROW:
                            Objection.
16
               We have instituted some mobile processing
        Α.
17
18
        0.
               Okay.
19
               -- in certain locations due to COVID, but
        Α.
    more often than not, most of them are processed at a
21
    facility or a centralized processing center.
22
         Ο.
               Okay, all right. So it's not -- they don't
```

```
85
    make an asylum claim when the initial encounter
2
    happens. They make the claim when they get
    transferred back to a Border Patrol processing
3
4
    center. Is that fair?
              MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               Typically that's when that happens, yes.
               Okay. And it's not unusual for aliens to
        Ο.
8
    make an asylum claim?
9
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
10
               It's not unusual.
        Α.
11
              Okay. If an alien claims asylum, the agent
        Q.
    or some other immigration officer has to perform a
12
13
    further interview, correct?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
15
        Α.
              Yes.
              All right, at that interview, is it
        Q.
    determined if the alien has a credible fear of
17
    persecution?
18
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
              BY MR. GUARD:
21
        Q.
           Correct?
22
              Persecution or torture.
        Α.
```

```
86
               Would you agree with me, Chief Ortiz, that
         Ο.
2
    the vast majority of asylum claims, there is not a
    credible fear of persecution or torture?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
5
               I do not have the disposition of all the
        Α.
6
    credible fear claims, and it would be unfair for me
7
    to --
               Okay. And -- but that interview is
8
         Q.
9
    supposed to take place before the alien is processed
10
    further, correct?
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
         Α.
               Yes.
13
               Okay. Are Border Patrol agents currently
         Ο.
    performing credible fear interviews before they
14
15
    either parole or release aliens on their own
16
    recognizance?
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
         Α.
               No.
19
         Ο.
               Is Border Patrol transferring aliens who
20
    have made asylum claims to ICE custody without
21
    performing credible fear interviews?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
```

```
87
               Looking -- looking back at -- at Exhibit
         Ο.
    Number 9 at I think it's (b)(1) large B sub -- you
3
    got to love these statutes.
                                  Three little I -- or no,
4
    excuse me, two little I, it says "Referral of Certain
    Aliens." You see that?
6
        Α.
               I do.
7
               All right. What does that section indicate
        Ο.
8
    that is supposed to happen if the asylum officer
    determines that an alien has a credible fear of
9
10
    persecution?
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
               The alien shall be detained for further
13
    consideration of the application for asylum.
14
        Ο.
               If you look at the next subsection, so
    that's 1225(b)(2) big B sub 3 little I big I, what is
15
16
    supposed to happen if the asylum officer finds that
    an alien does not have a credible fear of
17
18
    persecution?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Removal without further review if no
21
    credible fear of persecution.
22
               Is the alien supposed to be detained until
         Ο.
```

```
88
    removal?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
        Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. Now, that's not necessarily the end
        Q.
5
    of the process, correct?
6
        Α.
               That's correct.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
9
               An alien who's made an asylum claim can ask
        Ο.
    for review; is that right?
10
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
        Α.
               Yes.
13
               If the alien asks for review of a finding
        Ο.
14
    of no credible fear, if you look at 1225(b)(2), sub
    B, I think it's three little I, sub 4, there's a
15
16
    section that says "Mandatory Detention." Excuse me.
              Sorry. (b)(1) sub B three little I sub 4.
    It's on 2, second column, second heading in, it says
18
19
     "Mandatory Detention." Do you see that?
20
        Α.
               I do.
21
        Ο.
               All right. So if an alien who got a
22
    finding of no credible fear on their asylum claim
```

```
89
    wants a hearing, what is supposed to happen to that
2
    alien pending the hearing?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               Should be detained.
               And it actually uses the word "mandatory,"
         Q.
6
    correct?
7
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
8
9
               Now, Chief Ortiz, when you tell your Border
         Q.
    Patrol agents that they shall do something, do you
10
11
    expect them to follow your command?
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
         0.
               Okay. When you tell your Border Patrol
15
    agents that they shall do something, do you expect
16
    that they will try and figure out ways around your
17
    command?
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
        Α.
               No.
20
         Q.
               Okay. For example, did the Border Patrol
21
    agent require its agents to obtain the COVID-19
22
    vaccine?
```

```
90
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               Yes.
        Α.
               Were any Border Patrol agents fired for
3
         Q.
4
    refusing to get vaccinated?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               No.
               What happened to the Border Patrol agents
8
    that refused to get vaccinated?
9
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               Ninety-eight percent of the employees that
10
         Α.
11
    failed to be vaccinated submitted a request for
12
    exception.
13
         Ο.
               Okay. They filed for an exemption based on
14
    some ground.
15
        A.
               Yes.
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
17
18
        Ο.
               What about that two percent?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               The two percent of the population continued
21
     -- either retired or opted to resign.
22
               Chief Ortiz, and if you need to take a
         Ο.
```

```
91
    moment, please take a moment. Is there anywhere in
2
    section 1225, Exhibit 9 that's in front of you, where
3
    the statutory language indicates that the alien shall
4
    be released?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               I'd have to read the entire document.
              Do you know from your experience utilizing
8
    this section in your job, do you know that from just
9
    your use?
10
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
11
              We -- when you talk about releases, you
12
    know, in the Border Patrol context, I consider
13
    paroles, I consider, you know, other means of
14
    releasing somebody out of our custody as an option
15
    for humanitarian reasons. We've had situations where
16
    we may encounter somebody who is, you know, eight,
17
    eight and a half months pregnant, or there may be a
18
    medical or humanitarian reason for us to release
19
    somebody into the community or to a sponsor or to --
20
    so there are other --
21
        Q.
               Sure.
22
               -- conditions that exist.
        Α.
```

92 And we're going to look at I think the Ο. 2 statute that allows for that in a little bit, but 3 those situations that you just described are 4 individual one-off kind of situations, correct? MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. That's correct. Okay. Is there anything within section Ο. 8 1225 that allows for the processing of family units 9 to be different --10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 BY MR. GUARD: -- than single adults? 12 Q. 13 I don't believe so. 14 Ο. Okay. Chief Ortiz, if trafficking 15 organizations know that family units will be 16 released, aren't they likely to disseminate that 17 information to migrants? 18 MR. DARROW: Objection. 19 Α. Yes. Okay. Does differentiating detention 20 Q. 21 policy based on whether an alien is part of a family 22 or not encourage some forms of irregular immigration

93 to the United States? MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 I would imagine so. Okay. Does differentiating detention Q. 5 policy based on the nationality of an alien encourage irregular immigration? 6 MR. DARROW: Objection. Yeah, so you think about, you know, what 9 we're experiencing right now with Cuban, Venezuelans 10 and Nicaraguans and to some degree Peruvians now, the 11 fact that we do not have the ability to repatriate 12 those populations has certainly increased the 13 migration flows from those countries, and so to 14 answer your question, yes. 15 Q. And do you know if ICE is detaining 16 individuals from those four countries that you just 17 listed? 18 So we have instituted a initiative in the Α. 19 last week where we are trying to detain as many of 20 that population within ICE custody in certain areas. 21 We -- when you look at the nine southwest border 22 sectors over the last 12 months, three of them have

94 been problematic for us as an organization, Yuma, Del 2 Rio, Rio Grande Valley. We have been able to do a 3 very good job of managing the security in San Diego, 4 El Centro, El Paso, Big Bend, Laredo. We don't see 5 the same migration flow patterns in those sectors, 6 and a lot of that is driven by the criminal 7 organizations. 8 And so when you think about instituting a 9 -- and having the ability to detain certain 10 populations, you really want to focus that in areas 11 where you see the highest flows, and that continues 12 to be those three sectors, Yuma, Del Rio and Rio 13 Grande Valley. And so you want -- is the purpose of kind 14 Ο. 15 of targeted detention of specific populations to 16 either dissuade immigration coming to the southern 17 border, or is it to cause the flows to go to those 18 other sectors and spread out? 19 MR. DARROW: Objection. 20 BY MR. GUARD: 21 Q. Or both? 22 Α. It's actually even more than that. It's an

```
95
    opportunity, one, to institute a consequence against
2
    the migrant populations, and then certainly as a
3
    deterrent.
4
               Okay. Going back for a moment to Exhibit
        Ο.
5
    Number 9, if you look at I think it's (b)(2)(C), big
    C, so that's on page 3, first column, bottom of it,
6
7
    it says, "Treatment of aliens arriving from
    contiguous territory." It's on the bottom of the
    third page, first column.
10
        Α.
               Okay.
11
               Are you familiar with a policy called
12
    remain in Mexico?
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
        Α.
               Yes.
15
        Q.
               Okay. And that policy explicitly required
16
    migrants to remain in Mexico until their asylum
17
    hearing; is that correct?
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
        Q.
               Okay, and the Biden administration ended
21
    that policy, correct?
22
        Α.
               Initially, yes.
```

```
96
               Okay. Has that policy restarted?
        Ο.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
               Well, the migrant protection protocols have
4
    been operational for, you know, a majority of the
5
    calendar year at some degree in certain locations.
6
               Is it -- the migrant protection protocols
        Ο.
7
    or MPP, are they operating at the same extent as they
8
    did under the Trump administration?
9
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
10
        Α.
               No.
               Okay. If you -- if someone didn't want
11
        Q.
12
    children detained because they were traveling to the
13
    border with their family, would remain in Mexico
14
    accomplish allowing them not to be retained in a
15
    custodial setting?
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
17
               It would allow them to be detained in a
        Α.
18
    migrant camp in Mexico.
19
        0.
               Okay. We're on 10 I think.
20
        Α.
               Yeah.
21
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 10
22
                                  was marked for
```

```
97
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
               I'm going to show you what I marked for
4
    identification as Exhibit 10 to your deposition. Are
    you familiar with section 212 of the INA?
5
6
        Α.
               I am.
               Okay, and Exhibit Number 10 in front of you
    is 8 United States code 1182, which is section 212 of
    the INA, correct?
10
        Α.
               That's correct.
11
              All right. And this section does actually
        Q.
    a couple things. First, this section makes certain
12
13
    groups of aliens inadmissible into the United States,
    right?
14
15
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
16
        Α.
               Yes.
17
        0.
               It makes certain criminals inadmissible,
18
    correct?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Yes.
21
        Ο.
               It makes terrorists unsurprisingly
22
    inadmissible, right?
```

```
98
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               Yes.
        Α.
3
         Ο.
               All right. And it also makes, another
4
    example that seems not surprising, people who commit
5
    genocide, right?
6
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               Yes.
8
               All right. If you'll turn about 13 pages
         Q.
9
    into Exhibit 10, there's a section that's 1182
    section -- subsection F?
10
11
        Α.
               What page is it?
12
        Q.
               It's 13. It says "Suspension of Entry or
13
    Imposition of Restrictions by President," is what the
    heading is.
14
15
               MR. DARROW: For the record, it looks like
16
    it's on page 145 of the --
17
               BY MR. GUARD:
18
        Ο.
               Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
19
               Okay.
        Α.
20
        Q.
               I didn't realize there were page numbers on
21
    top. All right, section 1192(f) allows the president
22
    to bar admission of any class of aliens, right?
```

```
99
               MR. DARROW: Objection, and read it if you
2
    need to.
3
         Α.
               Yes.
4
               Okay. Section 1192(f) allows for the
         Q.
    exclusion of an entire group of aliens, right?
5
                            Objection.
6
               MR. DARROW:
7
         Α.
               Yes.
8
               All right. And Congress utilized the word
         Q.
9
     "class" when it wanted to give the authority for the
    administration to take an action against a group,
10
    right?
11
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
               I would imagine that's what that means,
14
    yes.
15
         Q.
               Okay. Now if you turn -- go back one page,
    so now that I know there are page numbers on it, 144,
16
    it's the 12th page of Exhibit 10, and there's a --
    it's -- technically it's 1182(d) sub 5 large A,
18
19
    you're familiar with this subsection?
20
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
21
         Α.
               Yes.
22
               All right, and you've actually utilized
         Ο.
```

```
100
    this subsection in memos, correct?
        Α.
               That's correct.
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Looking at section 1182, I believe it's D
5
        Q.
    sub 5 sub A, the authority that's granted by Congress
6
7
    in this section is for relief on a case-by-case
    basis, correct?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
10
        Α.
               Yes.
               And Congress chose in that subsection not
11
        Q.
    to utilize the word "class," right?
12
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
               Yeah, I don't see class in here.
15
                      1182(b) sub 5 sub A requires an
         Q.
               Okay.
16
    individualized determination can be utilized, right?
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
               It says by case-by-case basis, yes.
19
               Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier on that
        0.
20
    you were now detaining aliens from certain countries
21
    in certain sectors. You recall that?
22
        Α.
               Yes.
```

```
101
         Ο.
               Is the new initiative that you just
    mentioned related to the July 18th memo that just
3
    came out?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               No.
               Okay. I want to show you what I'm going to
         Q.
7
    mark as Exhibit 11 --
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 11
9
                                  was marked for
10
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
11
12
               -- to your deposition. I think we're on
         Q.
13
    11.
14
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
15
16
               Have you seen Exhibit 11 before?
        Q.
17
        Α.
               I have.
18
               What is Exhibit 11?
         Ο.
19
               It's a document that displays the
        Α.
20
    processing pathways that Border Patrol has at their
21
    disposal.
22
         Ο.
               Okay. Is -- okay. There are -- on the
```

```
102
    left-hand side, there are three diamond shapes that
2
    have been blacked out. What are the difference
3
    between those three diamond shapes?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
5
              From my recollection, it is single adults,
        Α.
6
    family units and unaccompanied children.
7
        Ο.
               Okay. Is unaccompanied children, would
8
    that be the top group?
9
              MR. DARROW: Objection. It's blacked out
10
    because it's privileged. Don't answer that.
11
               MR. GUARD: Okay, well, the document
12
    doesn't say it's privileged, so there are claims of
13
    privilege. So that's why I was asking. We got these
    documents in FOIA. There are a whole bunch of
14
15
    exceptions for FOIA.
16
              MR. DARROW: This was --
17
              MR. GUARD: Oh.
18
              MR. DARROW: -- from this case.
19
              BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
              Okay, I apologize. Okay, so -- all right,
21
    so regardless of which diamond shaped is chosen,
22
    which pathway, going across the page, the diamonds
```

103 are encountering aliens, correct? 2 MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 Α. Yes. All right. And then there are lines going Q. 5 across the page. It says biographical, biometrics and records check. Do you see that? And that is an 6 7 inspection? Α. That's part of the processing, yes. And then there's a box at the bottom Q. Okay. saying 14-year-old and up for biometrics. You have 10 11 for children that are under 14, you do not gather biometrics; is that correct? 12 13 That's correct. 14 Ο. Okay, all right. And then the next kind of 15 bar going down the page, it says, "Review history, 16 criminal and immigration." I would assume that is 17 after you gather that biographical data, you do database checks and then you get returns of those 18 19 database checks that the officer reviews? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. Okay. And for single adults and family

units, the next diamond is Title 42, correct?

```
104
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
         Q.
               Are unaccompanied minors subject to Title
4
    42 removal?
        A.
               No.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Okay. And so there's a CDC order that is
8
         Q.
9
    currently in effect. Is that fair to say?
10
         Α.
               Yes.
               And the vast majority of folks that
11
         Q.
    illegally enter the United States are being expelled
12
13
    pursuant to that order?
14
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
15
        Α.
               No.
16
                     What percentage approximately is
         Q.
               Okay.
17
    being expelled --
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
               BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
               -- pursuant to Title 42?
21
               The last report I reviewed, it hovered
22
    between 35 and 40 percent of the population was being
```

105 processed via Title 42 and expelled under those 2 authorities, and the remaining were processed via 3 Title 8. 4 Okay. Was there a point in time when that Q. percentage was higher than 35 or 40 percent? 5 MR. DARROW: Objection. 6 Α. Yes. In the recent term, was the percentage Q. higher than 35 to 40 percent? 10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 Α. At the beginning of the year, it was about 12 a 50/50 split. 13 Okay. At the diamond, there's a decision Ο. for the -- or a decision that the Border Patrol agent 14 has to make, and this is whether it -- they're Title 15 16 42 amenable. Do you see that? 17 I do. Α. Okay, and if the answer is yes, they're --18 Ο. 19 the alien is expelled; is that correct? 20 MR. DARROW: Objection. 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And if it's no, you go down the Title 8 Ο.

- processing lanes, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, there's a box at the bottom
- 4 that it says that certain countries present unique
- 5 challenges to U.S. Border Patrol by not accepting
- 6 repatriations. Are those countries -- well, strike
- 7 that. We've talked about several countries that
- 8 don't accept repatriations. Are they among the
- 9 countries that aren't also receiving repatriations to
- 10 Title 42?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, all right. Now looking at the Title
- 8 path, are -- there are one, two, three, four, five,
- 14 six, seven different processing pathways in this
- diagram from Title 42 to Title 8 and going out; is
- 16 that correct?
- A. Well, there's nine if you include the top
- 18 two, the voluntary return and the WA/NTA.
- 19 Q. Okay. Those are actually repeated in the
- 20 bottom seven, correct?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay, all right. All right, we've already

```
107
    talked I believe about the MPP pathway, correct?
2
        Α.
               Yes.
               All right. We haven't yet talked about the
3
         Ο.
4
    expedited removal pathway, have we?
5
        A.
               No.
                            Objection.
               MR. DARROW:
               BY MR. GUARD:
8
        Q.
               Okay. What is expedited removal?
9
        Α.
               It's a Title 8 processing pathway for
    migrants that do not have a credible fear or asylum
10
    claim.
11
12
        Q.
               Okay, and what happens to aliens who are
13
    subject to expedited removal?
14
        Α.
               They are processed and then turned over to
15
    ICE for detention and then repatriated back.
16
        Q.
               Okay. Do you know if there are any pending
17
    rules or pending proposed rules regarding expedited
18
    removal?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection. That's subject to
20
    the deliberative process privilege. Don't answer
21
    that.
22
               MR. GUARD: I believe it's actually been
```

- published in the Federal Register, so I was not
- 2 seeking deliberative privilege material. If you'll
- 3 let me get the proposed rule out, I'm happy to do
- 4 that.
- 5 MR. DARROW: No, I just clarified that
- 6 you're asking for public matter.
- 7 BY MR. GUARD:
- Q. Yes. Are you aware that there's public
- 9 information about a change to expedited removal?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what is your understanding of the
- 12 proposed rule on expedited removal? What is the
- 13 change?
- 14 A. I'd have to -- I'd have to go back and
- 15 review it.
- Q. Well, does it expand -- do you know sitting
- here right now as the chief of the Border Patrol
- whether it expands or contracts expedited removal?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 20 A. I believe it contracts it.
- Q. Okay. So you're having a historic surge of
- 22 aliens crossing the southern border, and the Biden

```
109
    administration is trying to tie Border Patrol's hands
2
    and restrict a processing pathway; is that correct?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
4
               I can't assume what the policy officials
5
    are proposing. I can tell you that as Border Patrol
6
    agents, you know, we try and leverage as many of the
7
    pathways that we have at our disposal, and ER is one
8
    of them.
9
               Okay. And the contraction of the
        Ο.
    availability of ER, as you called it, means that the
10
11
    aliens that were going through expedited removal have
12
    to go through a different pathway, right?
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
        Α.
               Potentially, yes.
               Okay. And so we talked about MPP earlier,
15
         Q.
16
    and that -- MPP is not being utilized to the extent
17
    it was previously under the Trump administration,
18
    correct?
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Yes.
21
        Q.
               So MPP has been contracted, right?
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

110 Α. Yes. Q. Expedited removal has been contracted. 3 MR. DARROW: Objection. We're still leveraging ERs, not -- not to 5 the same degree that -- that we have in the past. 6 Okay, and so two pathways that were 0. 7 previously available are being limited or contracted, 8 right? MR. DARROW: Objection. 10 Α. Yes. 11 There are -- on the seven -- or the --Q. looking at the bottom, the seven different 12 categories, we talked about expedited removal and 14 There are two that have the -- well, yeah, have -- one has NTA and the other has notice to appear. 15 16 Do you see that? 17 Yes. A. All right. The warrant of arrest in NTA, 18 Q. 19 that's warrant of arrest slash notice to appear, 20 correct? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Ο. All right. And the one that's I guess two

111 spots down below on Exhibit 11 is notice to appear 2 slash own recognizance. Do you see that? 3 Α. I do. 4 Okay. What is the difference between those Q. 5 two processing pathways? 6 Α. Warrant of arrest, NTA, the individual will 7 be kept in custody. Notice to appear O.R., the 8 individual potentially would be released. 9 Would the warrant of arrest slash Q. Okay. NTA pathway include aliens that you encountered that 10 11 were going to be indicted criminally for some violation of law? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. DARROW: Objection. 15 BY MR. GUARD: 16 Okay. In addition to Title 8, Border Q. Patrol agents also from time to time deal with Title 17 18 and Title 21? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 MR. DARROW: Objection. 21 BY MR. GUARD: 22 Okay. Title 18 is federal crimes, and Ο.

112 Title 21 is controlled substance offenses; is that 2 correct? 3 MR. DARROW: Objection. Yes. Okay. The first one, reinstatement of Q. prior order removal, bag and baggage, what is that? 6 7 That is somebody who's already been ordered 8 removed from the country, their immigration hearing was either heard and they were ordered removed, and so when the agent or the officer, immigration officer 10 11 encounters them in the field, the processing has 12 already been completed and a disposition has already 13 been rendered, and so they are taken into custody, 14 turned over to ICE and removed. 15 Q. Okay. What does bag and baggage refer to? It just refers to the processing of those Α. 17 individuals. Okay, all right. Looking down to the third 18 0. 19 of the seven that are listed on the bottom of Exhibit 20 11, it says voluntary return slash withdrawal of 21 application of admission. What does that pathway 22 include?

113

Α. Those are typically centered around the 2 Mexican nationals, that population group, and allows 3 us to process them and allows them to be removed at 4 the nearest port of entry. 5 Q. Okay, okay. Looking at the notice to appear slash own recognizance released, I believe you 6 7 described that those folks are released. Is that fair? MR. DARROW: Objection.

Α.

10

- 11 Q. Okay. Are aliens that are in that process
- 12 flow aliens that may be inadmissible?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 14 A. They may be, yes.

Yes.

- 15 Q. Okay. And if we were to compare that
- 16 process way with the parole plus ATD process
- through-put or whatever -- pathway, would the
- difference between the two pathways largely be
- whether a notice to appear is actually issued?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is there a consequence to an alien

- if they fail to appear when they have a notice to
- 2 appear?
- A. At some point, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Can they have an order of removal
- 5 entered against them in absentia? You can't nod your
- 6 head. I need you to say yes or no.
- 7 A. Just pausing for --
- Q. Oh, okay.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Sorry. It's the first time you
- 11 actually nodded your head, and I just wanted to make
- 12 sure we weren't going to fall into a bad habit with
- the court reporter, or she hates all three of us.
- 14 Now, we're going to talk about parole plus ATD more a
- 15 little bit later, but the bubble below the parole
- 16 plus ATD pathway reads, "Used as a last resort in
- 17 select sectors when certain triggers are met, single
- 18 adults is only approved by USB chief and CBP
- 19 commissioner, " right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Originally was parole plus ATD
- meant only for family units?

115 MR. DARROW: Objection. Α. Yes. 3 0. Okay. Do you know when parole plus ATD was 4 first utilized with single adults? I'd have to go back and check. A. 0. Okay. Was it in the last fiscal year? Α. Yes. Okay. Was it since January? Q. Α. Yes. 10 Okay. For single adults where parole plus Ο. ATD is being utilized, are there any common 11 characteristics like national origin that are defined 12 13 when it is used and when it is not used? 14 Α. Yes. 15 All right. What are those common 16 characteristics? 17 Quite often it's going to be from Α. 18 populations where we do not have a return mechanism, 19 and then also it would be, you know, for those 20 populations with no criminal history, they are deemed 21 not a security risk, and more often than not, they 22 would be considered vulnerable population.

116 0. When you said -- so we're talking about 2 single adults, right? 3 Could be a female. Okay. That's -- you said vulnerable Q. 5 population, so I wanted to -- what do you mean by that? 6 Α. Yes. Q. Females? Α. Females that may be pregnant in their third 10 trimester. 11 Okay. Any other common characteristics Q. other than vulnerable adults, people from countries 12 13 not subject to repatriation, are there any other kind 14 of common characteristics or groups that are parole 15 plus ATDs being utilized as far as single adults? 16 Not that I can think of. Α. 17 Okay, all right. And the countries that Ο. we're talking about regarding not being able to 18 19 repatriate, are the most common ones Cuba, Nicaragua 20 and Venezuela? 21 MR. DARROW: Objection. 22 Α. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did you approve of the expansion of
- 2 parole plus ATD to single adults?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you approved its utilization in
- 5 specific sectors?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What sectors have you approved its
- 8 utilization in?
- 9 A. Yuma, Del Rio, and Rio Grande Valley.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, we kind of moved past it pretty
- 11 quickly because of the bubble there, but as far as
- 12 family units, have you approved parole plus ATD being
- utilized in any sectors?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. What sectors have you approved parole plus
- 16 ATD to be utilized in?
- 17 A. Those sectors that meet certain criteria,
- to include detention capacity and our inability to
- decompress to other locations. So we have seen
- 20 spikes in other sectors on occasion, and so when we
- 21 do not have the ability to transfer the migrant
- 22 population to ICE, we do not have a mechanism to

- 1 return them, and they have been in our custody longer
- than a certain period of time, I have authorized the
- 3 use of ATD plus parole in certain circumstances.
- 4 Q. Okay. Would it be in the three sectors
- 5 that you mentioned earlier?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then a couple sectors on occasion
- 8 outside those three sectors.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, moving back to single adults,
- 11 how was the expansion of parole plus ATD communicated
- 12 to the associates of the southwest border?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 14 A. A couple of ways. One, we issued a
- memoranda, and then we also issued e-mail guidance to
- 16 the sectors.
- 17 Q. Counsel, we've not received a copy of any
- memoranda expanding it to single adults, and I think
- we've requested that in discovery, so I would ask for
- 20 it again, and I'll reserve having to recall this
- 21 witness once we get that memo. Do you have any idea
- of approximately how many single adults have been

```
119
    released utilizing parole plus ATD?
        Α.
               No.
3
               MR. GUARD: Okay, all right. It is noon.
4
    Do you want to take a break for lunch because I'm
    about to start a whole new subject that's going to
5
    take about an hour.
6
               MR. DARROW: Take a break for lunch?
               THE WITNESS: Sure.
                    (Recessed at 11:59 a.m.)
10
                   (Reconvened at 1:08 p.m.)
11
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the
    record at 13:08.
12
13
              BY MR. GUARD:
14
        Ο.
               All right, when we broke, we were talking
15
    about immigration processing pathways that Border
16
    Patrol utilizes. I want to change the subject and
    talk about detention and detention capacity. Now, in
    the last several physical years, Border Patrol under
18
19
    your direction has taken proactive steps to deal with
20
    the border crisis as far as its detention capacity,
21
    correct?
22
        Α.
               Yes.
```

- Q. All right. You've actually increased
- Border Patrol's detention capacity, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You put up I think one or two soft-sided
- 5 facilities; is that correct?
- 6 A. We put up multiple soft-sided facilities,
- and we also completed our centralized processing
- 8 center in Rio Grande Valley, which is our Ursula
- 9 facility. We stood that up under the previous
- administration after the -- in 2014, and continued to
- 11 operate that for the next four years, but it needed
- some engineer's modifications to bring it up to code,
- and so we in essence had to shut it down, but over
- 14 the last -- I believe we opened it back up in
- 15 December.
- Q. Okay. And increasing detention capacity
- 17 for any organization, but for a federal government
- 18 agency, takes time, right?
- 19 A. Yeah, most definitely. You need both, in
- this case, the contracting ability to be able to do
- it, you have to have the funding to be able to do it,
- and then you have to have the manpower to support

- those facilities.
- Q. And standing up additional facilities is
- 3 something that takes time, right? Takes multiple
- 4 years, correct?
- 5 A. Typically -- I mean, we have stood up some
- 6 soft-sided facilities in relatively quick periods of
- 7 time depending on the conditions, but more often than
- 8 not, it takes months if not a year to plan.
- 9 Q. Okay, and today, you can now handle a
- higher volume of aliens as far as processing than you
- could before January of 2021, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 13 A. So depending on what you are sort of -- so
- 14 we were already dealing with this COVID environment
- in January 2021, and so our ability to safely care
- 16 for, detain, process the migrant population as well
- 17 as care -- ensure safety and security of our
- 18 personnel was already being challenged. When you
- 19 think about, you know, having the ability to --
- 20 normally without it being in a pandemic or in a COVID
- environment, a whole 17,000 people at max capacity,
- that's not optimal. When you talk about detention,

- 1 space as law enforcement officials, you don't want to
- fill every seat, every bed, every corner of those
- processing centers. I mean, that's -- when you get
- 4 to those -- or you exceed or reach those maximum
- 5 capacity levels, you are really stretching the
- 6 logistics and the capacity of the sectors and the
- 7 stations.
- 8 Q. I appreciate that, Chief Ortiz, but I guess
- 9 from -- just from a mathematical perspective, the
- 10 number of available beds has increased as far as
- 11 Border Patrol from January 2021 till the present.
- 12 A. Well, we have really no beds. We were
- 13 never -- processing --
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. -- centers.
- 16 Q. Okay, I was --
- 17 A. Occupancy level of our facilities has
- increased, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay, that's all I was trying to get at.
- 20 **A.** Okay.
- 21 Q. And I appreciate that during COVID, you
- were at 75 percent occupancy level; is that right?

123 Α. No, in some levels it was very dependent on 2 the community, the facility itself, some of the -- as 3 I mentioned, the engineering controls that existed in 4 some of those facilities, and in some facilities, we 5 had to process outside because we did not have enough 6 space to process the amount of migrants we were 7 encountering. 8 Okay. I'm going to show you what I've Q. 9 marked for identification as Exhibit 12 to your 10 deposition. 11 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 12 12 was marked for 13 identification.) 14 BY MR. GUARD: 15 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 12 before, Chief 16 Ortiz? 17 I have. Α. Okay. And Exhibit 12 is Homeland 18 0. 19 Security's FY 2021 budget and brief, correct? 20 Α. Yeah, it appears to be the '21 budget. 21 Q. Okay, and what this is is a -- kind of a

summary that Homeland Security publishes of its

- budget for a physical year?
- A. For fiscal year '21, yes.
- Q. Okay, and on -- if you want to look, on
- 4 page 22 and 23 of Exhibit 12, it has CBP, some pages
- 5 about CBP, and it has in -- on pages 22 and 23
- 6 information about Border Patrol too, correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. All right, if you'll flip to
- 9 page 32, if you look on page -- so we're not hiding
- anything, if you look back at page 28, 28 through
- page 33 deals with ICE, correct?
- 12 A. Yeah, it appears to.
- Q. Okay, and so this is a summary that is
- 14 prepared for DHS about ICE's budget highlights,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That's what it appears to demonstrate,
- yeah.
- 18 Q. Okay, and physical year 2021, that budget
- would have been put together by the Trump
- 20 administration; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. It would have been the last budget

125 that the Trump administration personnel would have 2 put together, right? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 All right. If you'll look over on page 32, Q. 5 towards the bottom of the page, it has increase to 60,000 ADP. Do you see that? 6 7 Α. Yes. And so the budget in FY 2021 for ICE was Q. proposing an increase to 60,000 ADP. Do you know what ADP is? 10 11 You know, I've never seen that acronym Α. 12 before. 13 Okay. If -- if you look at the second Ο. 14 sentence underneath the increase to 60,000 ADP, it --15 well, look at the first sentence. It says of the 16 60,000, 55,000 are adults and 5,000 for family. Do 17 you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay, and then it talks of the requested 0. 20 adult beds, does ADP have to do -- deal with 21 something with detention beds? 22 MR. DARROW: Objection.

126 A. Yeah, I'm going to assume it stands for authorized detention. I'm not sure what the P stands 3 for, but --Okay. Let me see if I can find -- if you 4 Q. look on page 29, if you look at service to the public 5 6 7 Average daily population. I wasn't even Α. 8 close. 9 So it's their average detention capacity is Ο. what ADP stands for? 10 11 MR. DARROW: Objection. 12 Α. Or daily population, yeah, that's what it 13 stands for. 14 0. Okay. And it's talking about how many 15 people are housed by ICE, right? 16 MR. DARROW: Objection. 17 Α. On average, yes. 18 0. Okay. And that's ERO? ERO would be the 19 component housing for ICE? 20 A. Enforcement removal operations, yes. 21 Q. Okay. I probably -- I forgot that is not 22 one we've yet touched on.

127 Α. Yeah. So ERO stands for enforcement removal Ο. 3 operations; is that right? Α. That's correct. Okay, and that's an ICE component, right? Q. Α. It's one of two ICE component. And it's the ICE component that you deal Ο. 8 with when you're going to transfer aliens, right? 9 That's correct. Α. 10 Okay. When Exhibit 12 was being created, Ο. 11 would you have had any reason or had any reason for anyone on your staff to kind of peruse what the other 12 13 components of DHS are doing or not doing? 14 MR. DARROW: Objection. Are you asking 15 when the budget proposal was being created? Because 16 that's deliberative process protected. BY MR. GUARD: 17 18 No, I'm asking Exhibit 12 was -- it was Ο. 19 created, so after it's released, would he have had 20 anyone on his staff review it. 21 Α. Yes, I would.

Okay. Would they report changes or -- or

Ο.

```
128
    additions or subtractions to the budget of entities
    that Border Patrol deals with?
3
         Α.
               Yes.
               Okay, and you were not Border Patrol chief
         Q.
    in -- when the physical year 2021 budget process was
5
    going on, were you?
6
               No, I was the deputy chief.
               Okay. As deputy chief, would you have had
         Q.
9
    someone reporting to you on what was being published
10
    as far as ICE's budget and the changes thereto as is
    relevant to Border Patrol?
11
         Α.
               Yes.
13
               I'm going to mark for identification as
         Ο.
14
    Exhibit 13 to your deposition the following document.
15
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 13
16
                                  was marked for
17
                                  identification.)
18
               MR. GUARD:
                            I couldn't get a stapler to
19
    staple it.
20
               MR. DARROW: Too big.
21
               BY MR. GUARD:
22
               So that was my way of dealing with that
         Ο.
```

```
129
    problem. Exhibit 13 is a Congressional justification
2
    created by the Department of Homeland Security, U.S.
3
    Immigration and Customs Enforcement budget overview,
4
    correct?
        Α.
               That's what it appears to be, yes.
              And -- and there are similar documents for
6
        Ο.
7
    each component of the Department of Homeland
    Security, right?
8
        Α.
              Yes.
10
               And you're involved probably in the
        Ο.
    creation of the CBP version of this document?
11
12
        Α.
               I am.
13
               Okay. Now, looking at this document,
        Ο.
14
    Exhibit 13, you first will turn to the page on the
15
    bottom, it's labeled ICE 3, it's in the strategic
16
    context portion of the document?
17
               MR. DARROW: You're talking this ICE-3?
18
               MR. GUARD: It's under the strategic
19
    context component overview. I'm not responsible for
20
21
               MR. DARROW: There might be a couple ICE 3s
22
    in here.
```

130 BY MR. GUARD: 0. I don't know why -- that would make no 3 sense, but -- hold on. Let me look at it. There is. Α. Hold on. Strike that. Let's go back. 5 0. Let's go to instead ICE-O&S-18, or 17 is where it 6 7 Program change number 1 is listed as an adult bed increase to 55,000 ADP. That's the same as that budget overview line item that we were looking 10 at a few minutes ago in Exhibit 12, correct? 11 Α. Yeah, it appears to be the same. Q. And if you look on page 18, it has a 13 justification for why the increase is necessary, 14 correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 All right. And -- and the reason at least Ο. 17 the Department of Homeland Security, or what the Department of Homeland Security was telling Congress, 18 19 who appropriates money for it, is that the increase 20 provides ICE greater flexibility and capacity to 21 detain a larger number of recent border crossers, 22 alien populations ineligible for a quick turn,

- 1 apprehensions and removals and criminal aliens. Did
- I read that justification correctly?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And then it -- it provides some ADP numbers
- for previous years, correct?
- 6 A. Yeah, it appears like it goes back all the
- yay to 2017, and there's been a 33 percent increase,
- 8 22 percent increase over those years consecutively.
- 9 Q. And so for the 2019 number that is included
- in this document, the number of an average daily
- population at an ICE facility was 48,850, so almost
- 12 50,000 individuals.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. You'll turn to ICE dash O&S27,
- it details a program change, it's program change
- number 9 towards the bottom of the page, family bed
- increase to 5,000 ADP. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so again, this is the other part of --
- 20 so the line item in the budget in brief that DHS
- published was 60,000, the adult bed was 55,000, and
- 5,000 was family beds, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And so this is just a
- justification for two -- to Congress by DHS of what
- 4 they need, right?
- 5 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. It appears that that's what that is, yes.
- 7 Q. All right. And if you turn, or you look at
- 8 the bottom of the page, the justification that ICE --
- 9 or that HHS is making to Congress, its appropriators,
- 10 is that in recent years, family unit arrivals have
- 11 outpaced ICE -- ICE's capacity for processing and
- detaining families. That's the justification for the
- 13 increase?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 15 BY MR. GUARD:
- 16 Q. Or what the document says the justification
- 17 for the increase is?
- 18 A. That, and the fact that they've seen a 341
- 19 percent increase from 2018 to 2019 was part of the
- justification, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. All right, if you'll put that
- document aside, and you're going to have to put it --

```
133
    I'm going to show you what I'm going to mark for
2
    identification as Exhibit 14 to your deposition.
3
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 14
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
6
               THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
8
               BY MR. GUARD:
9
               Exhibit 14 is the FY 2022 budget in brief
         Q.
    for the Department of Homeland Security, correct?
10
11
         Α.
               Yes.
12
         Q.
               And again, this is a document that you
    would have -- as either deputy chief or chief would
14
    have been involved in at some point in time.
15
        Α.
               Yes.
               And it's a document that you would have had
         Q.
17
    someone on your staff review after it was published.
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
         0.
               And that staff member would have been
20
    looking to see changes for other DHS components that
21
    could affect Border Patrol's operations, right?
22
               Both the Border Patrol and CBP, and then
         Α.
```

```
134
    also our partner agencies.
2
         Q.
               Okay.
                     And again, this document contains a
3
    section for CBP on page 23.
        Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. And if you'll then flip to page 29,
5
         Q.
    there's a section for ICE, correct?
7
               Yeah.
        Α.
8
               All right, and if you'll look to page 35,
         Q.
    the only proposed major decrease to ICE's budget was
10
    to decrease the number -- decrease to 30,000 adult
11
    ADP.
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
               BY MR. GUARD:
14
        Ο.
               Did I read that correctly?
15
        Α.
               Yes.
16
               No other decrease that is disclosed in this
         Q.
    document for ICE?
17
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
         Α.
               I haven't reviewed the entire document, so
20
21
         Q.
               Well, on page 35, is there any other
22
    decrease?
```

- 1 A. That's the only one I see.
- Q. Okay. And if you look on -- do you see, if
- you start on page 32 going through page 34, there are
- 4 a number of programs that are being increased, right?
- 5 A. Well, I believe the management efficiency's
- 6 being reduced.
- 7 O. By five million dollars.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. Other than the management
- 10 efficiencies and the adult ADP, there are no other
- decreases disclosed in this DHS document, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection. He can't speak to
- the whole document unless you give him a chance to
- 14 read the whole thing.
- 15 BY MR. GUARD:
- Q. Counsel, you're allowed to object to form.
- 17 You're not allowed to coach witnesses. I would
- appreciate if you would just please keep your
- objections to object to form. In the ICE section of
- this document, so I'm talking pages 32 halfway down
- 21 through 35, little over three pages, there are only
- two decreases proposed by DHS to ICE's budget, right?

136 A. Well, it actually starts on page 29. me -- those seem to be the only two decreases. 3 Okay, and if you look at the -- under -- on 4 page 35 under the major decreases section, it indicates that their ADP level is going to 32,500, 5 paren, 30,000 adult and 2,500 family, correct? 6 7 That's correct. Α. 8 And in the previous budget, 55,000 adults Q. and 5,000 family beds were being requested. 10 MR. DARROW: Objection. 11 BY MR. GUARD: 12 Q. Right?

Well, they were being requested, but that

Q. Okay, again, but --

was not what was authorized --

- A. -- by Congress.
- Q. What was being requested was up to 55 and
- 18 five, correct?

13

- 19 A. What was being requested in the '21 budget
- was 60,000. What was being requested in this budget
- was 30 -- 30,000 -- or 3 -- 32,000 -- or 33,000, but
- what was actually enacted was much lower than both

```
137
    those numbers.
2
        0.
               And was that because DHS was operating on a
    continuing resolution?
3
4
               I believe that's because that's what
5
    Congress authorized, or appropriated.
6
               Okay, all right. And so this is a decrease
        0.
7
    -- so this is 2021 is when this -- when this document
8
    is being prepared. We're at a historic unprecedented
    flood of aliens across the border, and Department of
10
    Homeland Security is decreasing the number of
    detention beds?
11
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
               BY MR. GUARD:
14
        Ο.
           Is that right?
15
        A.
               1,500, yes.
16
               You'll -- I'm going to mark for
        Q.
    identification as Exhibit 14, 15 --
17
18
        Α.
               Yeah.
19
               MR. DARROW:
                            Fifteen, yeah.
20
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 15
21
                                  was marked for
22
                                  identification.)
```

```
138
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
         Q.
               No problem. Exhibit Number 15 is the FY
4
     2023 budget in brief by the Department of Homeland
    Security, correct?
5
6
        Α.
               Yes.
7
               Okay, and this again, you were chief of the
8
    Border Patrol when this document was being created,
9
    right?
10
         Α.
               That's correct.
11
               All right. And would you have had some
         Q.
    involvement in at least either providing information
12
13
    or in the preparation of this document?
14
         Α.
               On the CBP portion, yes.
               Okay. And there is a, like in the previous
15
         Q.
16
    one, starting on page 26, there is a section for
17
    Customs and Border Patrol, right?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
               All right. And if you look on page 33,
20
    there -- like in the previous budget in brief, the
21
    two that we looked at, there is an ICE portion,
22
    right?
```

139 A. Yes. And if you turn to page 40, there's again a Q. 3 section for FY 2023 major decreases, right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And DHS was proposed to -- a budget that decreased ADP to 25,000, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And so that's 5,000 adult ADP from the Q. previous budget. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. And in addition to the 5,000 less Q. 12 adult ADP from the previous budget, so going from 30,000 to 25,000, this major decrease also eliminated the funding for family detention beds, correct? 14 15 MR. DARROW: Objection. 16 Α. That's what it states, yes. 17 Okay. So again, in the middle of a Ο. historic unprecedented flow of illegal immigrants 18 19 across the southern border, the Department of 20 Homeland Security's budget decreases the detention 21 capacity of ICE? 22 MR. DARROW: Objection.

- 1 A. It looks like it decreases the detention
- capacity but increases the ATD program by \$527
- 3 million.
- Q. And so you brought up ATD, and so instead
- of detaining aliens, this administration has decided
- 6 to release aliens, including inadmissible aliens into
- 7 the interior of the country, correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 9 A. It decided to put them in another program
- of detention, which is alternative to detention with
- 11 either electronic monitoring devices or another
- 12 technical means.
- 13 Q. Or having them call in or report
- occasionally to an ICE facility, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. I would assume, since you're
- 17 not an ICE person, you have no idea how many people
- 18 they're actually electronically monitoring right now,
- 19 do you?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. You do not know how many people

```
141
     they're just having go by and occasionally check in
2
    with an ICE office, right?
3
         Α.
               No.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               I don't have access to that --
         Α.
         0.
               Okay.
               -- information.
8
               Again, I assumed you wouldn't because
9
    you're Border Patrol. You're not ICE. Now, have you
     ever heard the phrase "catch and release"?
10
11
        Α.
               Yes.
               And the phrase "catch and release" is that
         Q.
    you -- at least in the context that you work in, is
14
     that you catch an illegal alien and then you release
15
     them, right?
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
17
               Typically that's how it's been used.
         Α.
18
         0.
               And so the budgets that we've looked at
19
    have increased funding for releasing aliens,
20
     including inadmissible aliens, right?
21
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
22
               It's using an alternate means of detention,
```

```
142
1
    yes.
2
        Q.
               And that alternate means involves release,
3
    right?
        Α.
               Ultimately --
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
6
        Α.
               -- they are released into the community,
7
    yes.
8
               Okay. Do you have any idea how many people
         Q.
9
    on alternative detention have absconded from ICE's
10
    custody?
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
12
        Α.
               I do not.
13
               In formulating policies for the Border
        Ο.
14
    Patrol, did you consider the rate of -- that aliens
    in the past had absconded in determining whether to
15
16
    have a proposal pathway?
               MR. DARROW: Objection. The formulation of
17
18
    policies is deliberative process protected.
19
               BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
               Okay. In the memo which we're about to get
21
    to, there is no mention in that memo of the number of
22
    aliens that absconded from parole, correct?
```

143 Α. That's correct. Q. Okay. For an organization that is to 3 provide security for the border, do you believe that the number of aliens that abscond from control of ICE 4 would be a consideration that should be made? 5 MR. DARROW: Objection. 6 7 The number of aliens that the Border Patrol 8 releases under the ATD parole pathway is typically family units or single adults that are considered a 9 10 low threat population. So there could be many 11 factors associated with their failure to report to an 12 ICE facility, anywhere from the address or their final destination ended up being somewhere different 14 to, you know, their inability to actually get to an 15 ICE location close to where their final destination 16 was. 17 Ο. Okay. I'm going to show you what I marked for identification to your deposition as Exhibit 16. 18 19 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 16 20 was marked for 21 identification.) 22 MR. DARROW: Thank you.

- BY MR. GUARD:
- Q. Have you seen Exhibit 16 before?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Okay. Exhibit 16 was information that the
- 5 Department of Homeland Security provided us in
- 6 connection with this case. Now, looking at the top
- query, there's a program called notice to report.
- 8 What was notice to report?
- 9 A. Notice to report was a processing pathway
- 10 that the previous chief of the Border Patrol, Rodney
- 11 Scott, developed and instituted because our
- 12 facilities were overcrowded and we needed to ensure
- that we had a mechanism to process a low-threat
- 14 population and ease the overcrowded conditions in
- some of our facilities.
- 16 Q. Okay. And the aliens that were released to
- 17 the notice -- on the notice to report policy were
- given a document that it was not a notice to appear,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And they were basically told to go to the
- nearest ICE location to the address they provided to

145 Border Patrol, right? 2 MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 That's correct. Okay. And does Border Patrol -- when it is Q. doing its biographical gathering during the 5 inspection, does it request addresses where the alien 6 7 is going to? It depends on what type of process we're 9 doing, but normally when we issue a notice to appear, 10 yes. 11 Okay. And it's not unusual for them to Q. 12 request -- request an address if they're thinking 13 about going through one of those processing avenues, 14 right? 15 Α. That's correct. 16 And it has the ability -- does the Border Q. 17 Patrol have the ability to query and find out how many aliens are going to a particular area or 18 19 particular state? 20 I don't know if our systems allow us to 21 query those data points. 22 Okay. Have you ever seen any reports that Ο.

- 1 report where people are going that are coming through
- the border and being released?
- 3 A. Not any of the CBP or Border Patrol reports
- 4 that I've seen, no.
- 5 Q. Okay, all right. That's why I'm asking
- 6 you. I get a chance to find out what you all track
- 7 and what you don't track.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. All right, the first data point indicates
- that between, you know, 1/2021 to 11/1/21,
- 11 non-citizens released with a notice to report during
- 12 those dates and who failed to check in but provided a
- 13 Florida address, and it's more than -- it's 1,127
- 14 people, correct?
- 15 A. That's what the report says, yes.
- Q. Okay, and then looking down at the second
- 17 query, that's parole plus ATD, which we were just
- 18 talking about, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And same -- it's a little broader query,
- 21 non-citizens released with parole plus ATD between
- $\frac{11}{12021}$ to $\frac{7}{4}$

- 1 provided a Florida address, and that is as of July
- 4th of 2022 47,984 non-citizens, correct?
- 3 A. That's what the report says, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay, all right. Now, would that -- and
- 5 you may not be able to answer that. I -- I get
- 6 you're not the guy who's running queries on a
- 7 database, and if you are, we're in a world of
- 8 trouble, not because you can't, but just because you
- 9 have better things to do. Would that 47,984 number
- 10 -- when you're doing parole plus ATD, my
- understanding is that you're only giving the -- a
- document to one member of the family unit. You're
- not giving a document to all four -- you're doing an
- 14 alien file for the lead, for lack of a better term,
- 15 alien, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 17 A. So the A number's issued to the head of
- household when we process a family unit for parole
- 19 plus ATD, but I would imagine that the children are
- also going to be included as family members in this
- 21 data set.
- Q. Okay, all right. That's what I was trying

```
1 to get at.
```

- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. But you didn't run the query, and you don't
- 4 know for sure, but you think based on your 31 years'
- 5 experience inside Border Patrol that to be true.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. 50,000 people, or nearly 50,000
- 8 people is a lot of people to not know where they are.
- 9 Would you agree with that?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 11 A. 50,000 people is a large number.
- 12 Comparatively speaking, when you're apprehending, you
- know, 1.7 million people in eight months of a fiscal
- 14 year, it is certainly a number that is concerning,
- but I'd be curious as to in this number, does it --
- is it also taking in consideration the folks that are
- 17 already on a electronic monitoring device. So
- there's a lot of unknowns with respect to that 47,000
- 19 number that I -- I would, as a leader in the Border
- 20 Patrol organization, I'd ask additional questions
- 21 about.
- Q. Okay. Obviously I'm not the database guy

```
149
    either, so I'm not going to be able to --
        Α.
               Yeah.
         Ο.
               -- to answer that question.
               I got you.
               And -- and that 47,000 number, that's just
        Q.
    for Florida addresses, right?
6
7
               That's what it indicates, yeah, but for
8
    Florida addresses, yeah.
9
               Okay. Do you know if -- if just by
        Ο.
    anecdotal evidence, whether Florida's a common
10
11
    destination for aliens entering at the southwest
12
    border?
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
        Α.
               Well, we've seen increases in migration
    from Cuba and some of the other countries within the
15
16
    Caribbean, and obviously their final destination
17
    tends to be Florida, so Florida is a destination that
18
    we see quite often --
19
        Q.
               Okay.
               -- from some of the demographics.
               Have you ever seen any statistics or data
21
22
    that shows that Florida's in the top six for
```

- destination for -- for aliens entering this country?
- 2 A. I haven't seen --
- Q. Okay.
- A. -- a report that demonstrates that. I can
- 5 tell you that, you know, for some reason, Haitian
- 6 migrants like to go to Maine, and that's one of those
- 7 things that -- Portland, Maine would never been a
- § final destination that I would have assumed Haitian
- 9 migrants would want to travel to.
- 10 Q. I think you'd need to have some agents ask
- some questions about why they're going to Portland,
- 12 Maine.
- 13 A. Actually, Portland welcomed them.
- Q. Okay. That's -- you couldn't get any
- 15 further from Haiti than Portland, Maine.
- A. I would agree.
- 17 Q. Having been there, it's a wonderful place.
- 18 I'm not saying anything negative about it. Just
- 19 would not be what I pictured for -- for Haitian
- immigrants. And they're wonderful people too, and we
- 21 have lots of them in Florida. I'm going to show you
- what I'm going to mark as 17.

```
151
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 17
                                  was marked for
3
                                  identification.)
               MR. DARROW:
                             Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Have you seen Exhibit Number 17 before?
6
        0.
               Yes.
8
               You're actually copied on Exhibit 17,
         Q.
9
    correct?
10
         Α.
               That's correct.
               Before I get into Exhibit 17, do you recall
11
         Q.
12
    when you learned that ICE was no longer going to
13
    accept transfer for detention family units?
14
               I don't have the specific date, no.
               Okay. Now, looking at Exhibit -- Exhibit
15
         Q.
    17, it looks like someone who it is not disclosed who
16
17
    was e-mailing Rodney Scott, who was your chief at the
    time, correct?
18
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
         Q.
               And forwarding him or I guess -- I can't
21
    tell for sure if they're going back and forth because
22
    the froms and tos are blocked out with PII, but
```

152 they're either going back and forth or the chief is 2 getting an e-mail forwarded to him. Is that -- is 3 that fair? Α. Yes. 5 Okay, and I think you're copied on both --Q. both of the top two e-mails, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. Okay. And the subject line, first, this Q. e-mail is on February 16th of 2021, right? 10 A. Yes. 11 That's 26 days after Joe Biden has been Q. inaugurated, right? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. Okay, all right. The subject matter of 15 this e-mail is ERO changes, correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And ERO again is the ICE component that Ο. handles detention and/or transfers from Border 18 19 Patrol. 20 Α. That's correct. 21 Q. All right. If you look down at the third 22 e-mail, or what I think is the third e-mail in the

- $^{
 m 1}$ chain, there is a paragraph that has been I guess --
- 2 I guess it was sent because of Chief Scott being in
- 3 this chain, I guess it was sent to at least at some
- 4 point to Chief Scott, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay, and if you look at the second page of
- 7 Exhibit 17, again, it is blacked out as per PII
- 8 reasons. It says Chief, Law Enforcement Operations
- 9 Directorate, United States Border Patrol
- 10 headquarters. Do you recall who served in that role
- in February 2021?
- MR. DARROW: Objection. You're trying
- again to identify what's blocked out by the PII.
- MR. GUARD: Yes. I'm asking who sent this
- 15 e-mail.
- MR. DARROW: That's privileged information,
- hence, the redaction.
- MR. GUARD: So you're claiming what
- 19 privilege, Counsel?
- MR. DARROW: Law enforcement sensitive
- 21 privilege.
- 22 MR. GUARD: For a senior-level official

- 1 reporting a conversation.
- MR. DARROW: One second. Thank you. I had
- 3 some clarification. Yeah, the reason we consider it
- 4 law enforcement sensitive is that these officers are
- 5 regularly doxed when this information is released and
- 6 people get their PII.
- 7 BY MR. GUARD:
- Q. Can you please mark this, and I'll take
- 9 this up with the court, and we may have to come back.
- 10 So whoever was chief of law enforcement operations
- directorate sent an e-mail to -- well, before I get
- 12 there, is -- if I -- if I were to Google chief of law
- enforcement operations directorate, U.S. Border
- 14 Patrol, could I probably figure out who the chief of
- 15 law enforcement operations Border Patrol was at the
- 16 time?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 18 A. You may be challenged because that has been
- 19 a acting capacity for probably 90 percent of the time
- that I've been either the deputy chief or the chief.
- 21 It has -- we've struggled with filling the position
- on a couple of occasions, and then at one point, the

```
155
    person who occupied the position permanently that
2
    Chief Scott transferred in there was detailed out.
3
         0.
               Okay. Do Border Patrol agents, including
4
    folks in the upper management of the Border Patrol,
    use social media like LinkedIn?
5
6
        Α.
              Yes.
7
               Okay. All right, we'll deal with that in
8
    front of the court later. Oh, Counsel, you're
9
    instructing the witness not to answer. I want to
    make that sure that it's clear that you're
10
11
    instructing him to.
12
               MR. DARROW:
                           Yes.
13
              MR. GUARD:
                           Okay.
14
              MR. DARROW: Not to answer, that's correct.
15
               MR. GUARD: Okay, I just -- you just --
16
    never say that.
17
               MR. DARROW: Right, right, no.
18
               BY MR. GUARD:
19
        0.
               So I just wanted -- I didn't want that to
20
    be the technical defense, that I forgot to get you to
21
    say that. All right, looking at the actual e-mail on
22
    the first page, and sorry that you had to listen to
```

- all that lawyer talk. It just happens from time to
- time. All right, this person who was the chief of
- 3 that group at Border Patrol had a conversation with
- 4 someone at ERO, right, and they advised that the
- 5 three FRCs -- what are FRCs?
- 6 A. Family residential centers.
- 7 Q. Okay, and then it lists out the three
- 8 family residential centers, were transitioning to
- 9 reception centers, correct?
- 10 A. That's what it indicates, yes.
- 11 Q. And basically those three facilities were
- 12 now going forward only going to be used to house
- 13 families for 72 hours.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Okay, all right. Do you know -- do you
- 16 recall receiving this e-mail?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you recall thinking that this
- 19 e-mail was going to become an issue?
- 20 A. Well, during this time, it was the height
- of COVID.
- 22 O. Okay.

- 1 A. So this wasn't the only issue that we were
- dealing with at the time, but it was certainly going
- 3 to be impactful, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay, it was going to constrict or
- 5 constrain the available processing pathways for
- 6 family units, right?
- 7 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 8 A. Well, it's going to mean that we are going
- 9 to have to transfer the family units to those
- 10 facilities as quickly as possible out of our custody
- so they could be tested and then ultimately released.
- Q. Okay. But there's not going to be -- this
- 13 e-mail's disclosing that ICE is no longer going to
- 14 retain family units, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. That's what it appears to be indicating,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. All right, so as a policy member, ICE was
- 19 letting Border Patrol know we're not going to detain
- any more family units, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 22 A. Well, they were advising that they were

- 1 changing the operational posture of the three FRCs in
- 2 central Texas.
- Q. Okay, but that change, that operational
- 4 change, as you referred to it, meant that family
- 5 units would no longer be able to be detained.
- 6 A. For longer than 72 hours. Looks like they
- 7 were going to release them within 72 hours.
- Q. Okay. So if someone is from a -- a country
- 9 that -- where you cannot repatriate them to or even
- 10 if someone has some other indication that would make
- them detainable, if they're with a family unit, there
- 12 no longer is going to be bed space for that
- 13 individual, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 15 A. Yeah, it appears that there was no longer
- going to be an opportunity to detain them.
- 17 Q. So at that point, all family units were
- going to be released after the 72 hours at the,
- 19 quote, reception center, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you know if this e-mail was

```
159
    the first notice that you got about this operational
2
    change?
3
         Α.
               I can't recall.
4
               Okay, but as like a kind of -- like by
         Q.
    February 16th, 26 days into the Biden administration,
5
    you knew this was going to be what was going to
6
7
    happen going forward, right?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
         Α.
               Yeah, based upon the e-mail, yes.
10
               We're on 18? No, that's not going to work.
         Ο.
11
    I'll come back to that. I'm going to need a sticker.
12
    I finally found one where I didn't put a sticker on
13
    it.
14
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 18
15
                                  was marked for
16
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
17
18
               Mark for identification USA 002081 as
19
    Exhibit 18 for your deposition.
20
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
21
               BY MR. GUARD:
22
               All right, now, this is an e-mail involving
         Ο.
```

```
160
    a Tony Barker, who's an employee -- or is the -- I
2
    guess he's the deputy chief of operations for Border
3
    Patrol, right, at the time?
              At this time, he was, yes.
              Okay. Is he still with Border Patrol?
        Q.
6
        Α.
              Yeah, he's -- he's the acting operations
7
    chief.
8
               Okay, all right. Would Mr. Barker reported
        Q.
9
    to the mystery man on the previous page, the previous
    e-mail, Exhibit 17?
10
11
               MR. DARROW: Objection. Again, that calls
    for disclosure of --
12
13
               MR. GUARD:
                           I'm not asking his identity.
14
    I'm asking the organizational structure, who reports
15
    to who just by title.
16
               MR. DARROW: With that clarification, you
17
    can answer.
18
               THE WITNESS: So the deputy chief reports
    to the chief of operations, the deputy chief of the
19
20
    Border Patrol and the chief of the United States
21
    Border Patrol.
22
              BY MR. GUARD:
```

- Q. Okay, so would that be a different person
- than the person who was serving in chief, law
- enforcement operations directorate, or is that the --
- 4 A. That's the same.
- 5 Q. Same, okay. So Mr. Barker, whose name is
- 6 being disclosed, but whoever was the chief at the
- 7 time, was not. All right, and I can't tell you who
- 8 this e-mail was sent to and who it was from. My
- 9 initial question to you is do you know if you ever
- 10 received this e-mail?
- 11 A. I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Okay. That's fair enough. What does this
- e-mail seem to report?
- 14 A. It seems to report how many family units we
- were apprehending, how many we were turning over to
- 16 ICE/ERO, and then how many of those family units are
- 17 NTA/OR'd.
- Q. Okay, let's start with the -- I think it's
- 19 the -- probably the -- so the first e-mail in the
- string is someone sending e-mails -- an e-mail to Mr.
- 21 Barker reporting the numbers at the different
- southern border sectors of family units, right?

- 1 A. Yeah, both the seven day and 21 day
- 2 average, yeah.
- Q. Okay, and then the next e-mail in the chain
- 4 is Mr. Barker sending to it looks like it's probably
- 5 more than one person since there are multiple lines
- 6 blocked off, and it says this is the flow that ICE
- 7 will have to accept if we do a hundred percent
- 8 referral. What does hundred percent referral refer
- 9 to?
- 10 A. That's a reference to the amount of family
- units that we would want to dispo over to ICE/ERO.
- 12 Dispo, disposition, I'm sorry, or transfer.
- 13 Q. Then there's an e-mail from someone back to
- 14 Mr. Barker asking a question, "Is that number we are
- currently releasing on NTA, right?" And that's
- 16 releasing with notice to appear, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. All right, and then Mr. Barker responds,
- 19 and all this is happening on May 21st of 2021, NTA
- and PD, right? What is PD?
- 21 A. Prosecutorial discretion.
- 0. Okay. We haven't talked about

163 prosecutorial discretion today. What -- as a 2 processing pathway. Is -- is PD or prosecutorial 3 discretion still being utilized by Border Patrol? Α. No. Okay. When did it cease being utilized Q. approximately? 6 7 I couldn't tell you. Q. Okay. And what was prosecutorial 9 discretion? 10 Α. That was the Border Patrol's or CBP, not just the Border Patrol, CBP's authorization to not 11 12 issue a notice to appear for an alien in their 13 custody based upon certain conditions. 14 Ο. Do you recall what those conditions were? 15 Α. They obviously couldn't be a criminal 16 alien, you know, a threat to national security, 17 flight risk. There was several factors.

- Ο.
- Was it after the Biden administration 21

prosecutorial discretion category began?

I couldn't tell you.

22 started?

Ο.

Α.

18

19

20

Okay. Do you know approximately when the

- A. We've leveraged PD in the past, so I would
- imagine that it wasn't just under this
- 3 administration, but once again, I'm unsure.
- Q. Okay, all right. And I don't want you to
- 5 speculate, but if you can approximate, I'd appreciate
- 6 it. All right, was prosecutorial discretion in 2021
- being utilized with any specific group of alien?
- 8 A. I'm not going to speculate on that.
- 9 Q. Okay, so you don't recall?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. Was it -- if I were to look at a
- 12 report of releases by Border Patrol, would it be
- under a -- do you know what category prosecutorial
- 14 discretion would be included in?
- 15 A. Typically I think they were categorized
- under O.R., but I'd have to confirm that.
- Q. Okay, all right.
- 18 A. Our reports have changed quite a bit over
- 19 the last couple of years. They continue to evolve,
- and some of the data sets that we look at have
- 21 changed.
- Q. Okay. I just have never seen PD on a

```
165
    report, so --
2
         Α.
               Yeah, no.
3
               I was just asking for my edification so I
    can go back and see how frequently -- was PD the same
4
5
    as the NTR program that we were talking about a
    little bit earlier?
6
7
         Α.
               No.
               Okay. How did PD differ from NTR?
         Q.
               So NTRs were only for family units.
         Α.
10
               Okay. And PD, could it be single adults?
         0.
11
               Could have been.
         Α.
               Okay. Any other -- the result of either
12
         Q.
    process at least as you explained it is that the
14
    alien is not getting an NTA, right?
15
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
16
         Α.
               That's correct.
17
               All right, so as far as that is concerned,
         Ο.
    that they were similar, right?
18
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Well, the forms used were different.
21
         Q.
               Okay, but they didn't get a notice to
22
    appear and a date and time to show up at an ICE
```

- facility, right?
- A. I think with the notice to report, they had
- 3 X amount of days to present themselves at an ICE
- 4 facility, and most of that was on the I-385, and I
- 5 believe they had 60 days.
- 6 Q. Okay. And I've tried to really avoid using
- 7 the -- all the different forms you utilize because
- 8 I'd just likely mess it up worse than I mess up --
- 9 A. I got you.
- 10 O. -- mess up the statutes, but for -- for --
- 11 for PD, or for people that were released on
- prosecutorial discretion, was an I-385 issued?
- A. That I do not know. I do know for the ITR,
- 14 the I-385 was issued.
- Okay. Do you not -- do you recall if a
- 16 different form was utilized?
- 17 A. It's been a while since I processed, so I
- 18 couldn't tell you.
- 19 Q. Okay, all right. But if something was
- 20 going to be rolled out to the rank and file during
- 21 May of 2021, you were the deputy chief, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.

- Q. And for -- did you typically at that time
- look at what was being rolled out to the rank and
- 3 file?
- 4 A. I tried to review most of the forms that
- 5 were included, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. If it was already an existing program, I
- 8 wouldn't go back and review that, but if it was a new
- 9 program, I would have, yes.
- 0. Okay, all right. Fair enough. Looking
- back at Exhibit 18, it's the second page of the
- exhibit, we're moving -- it's all again within a
- couple hours on May 21st of 2021, Barker is
- 14 responding to someone -- or a group of people cc-ing
- a bunch of people, and with last sector data, right?
- 16 All right. What is LRT?
- 17 A. Laredo, Texas.
- 18 Q. Okay, I thought so, but I did not want to
- 19 assume. And so is this just separate data for Laredo
- 20 being reported here?
- A. No, it's going to include RGV, and it may
- 22 also include Del Rio.

- Q. Okay, so at the time, you were transferring
- 2 along the border it looks like family units from --
- 3 from sectors that were busier.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And that's what this is kind of reporting,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Looking back to the first page of
- 9 the e-mail, this is minutes after that last exchange,
- and there's an e-mail from someone again that we
- don't know who to Mr. Barker, and it says the fact
- 12 that RGV is only able to refer a hundred families a
- day is absurd. We should start with that. What is
- 14 that statement referring to?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. I'm assuming it's somebody's opinion that
- 17 the fact that only a hundred families can be referred
- 18 to ICE/ERO is unacceptable.
- 19 Q. Okay. If ICE were only willing to accept a
- 20 hundred family units from the Rio Grande Valley,
- 21 would that cause a capacity issue at -- for the Rio
- 22 Grande?

```
169
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               Yes.
3
         0.
               For -- okay, all right. You can put that
4
    exhibit aside. I'll mark this as Exhibit 19 --
5
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 19
                                  was marked for
6
7
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
        Q.
               -- to your deposition.
10
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
11
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Have you seen Exhibit 19 before?
12
        Q.
13
               It doesn't look familiar, but chances are I
    may have seen it.
14
15
         Q.
               Okay, all right. And the only reason I'm
    asking you again is that the to and the cc are
16
17
    blocked out, so I don't know if you have or haven't,
    but it's an e-mail from Mr. Barker, who now is chief,
18
    right, of the law enforcement operations directorate,
19
20
    so he's now promoted by this point in time, right?
21
        Α.
               He's acting chief, yes.
22
               Okay. And that's the same role in Exhibit
        Ο.
```

```
170
    17 that was blocked out. And you know, this e-mail's
2
     -- right? Let me --
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
5
               So on Exhibit 17, the chief of the law
        Q.
    enforcement operations directorate name was blocked
6
7
    out, but here Mr. Barker's mail is being disclosed,
8
    right?
9
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
10
        Α.
               Yes.
11
               Okay, all right. And this is an e-mail
        Q.
12
    from May 15th, 2022, right?
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
        0.
               Okay, and my question for you is there's a
15
    quote, "We must ensure that we are detaining and
    removing the demographics that are amenable or else
16
    the flows will only compound more." Do you see that
17
18
    quote?
19
               I do.
        Α.
20
        Q.
               Do you know what Mr. Barker's referring to
21
    with that quote?
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

- 1 A. So it appears that this was part of a
- 2 tabletop exercise that was executed on that Saturday,
- 3 and part of the exercise -- the tabletop exercise
- 4 requires injects to determine what CBP, ICE and the
- 5 department are going to do if there were to be a mass
- 6 migration, if Title 42 were to come down and we were
- 7 to begin to see some increases in flow. So it sounds
- 8 like Tony is describing to the FEMA exercise
- 9 operators that that should be a priority, removing
- those demographics, but this is all I believe
- 11 centered around a tabletop exercise. This wasn't the
- operational environment on that particular day.
- Q. Okay. Why is it important to detain and
- 14 remove demographics that are amenable to the Border
- 15 Patrol?
- 16 A. One, you want to make sure you have
- 17 consequences.
- 18 Q. Okay. And if you don't have consequences,
- what is likely going to happen?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 21 A. In my experiences -- in my experience, we
- have seen increases when there are no consequences.

172 Okay. So if migrant populations believe Ο. 2 that they're going -- there are not going to be 3 consequences, more of them will come to the border? 4 Is that what you're saying? 5 MR. DARROW: Objection. 6 Α. There is an assumption if migrant 7 populations are told that there's a potential that 8 they may be released, that yes, you can see 9 increases. 10 Okay. And if you see -- and so if you do Ο. not -- you said number one, consequences. Are there 11 12 any other things that -- other than just that one, 13 consequences? Is there a two or a three? 14 Α. Two or three what? 15 Well, you said number one, consequences. didn't know if there were -- if that was the complete 17 list or there were other things that --18 A. Affect the flow? 19 0. Yeah. 20 Of course, there's many things. There's, 21 you know, what our partners to the south do, our 22 ability to communicate the dangers, our ability to

- impact the criminal organizations, smuggling
- organizations that are trafficking the migrant
- 3 populations, our ability to deploy technology and
- 4 manpower in areas where we're starting to see greater
- 5 flows. All of those factor into the flow and how
- 6 it's managed.
- 7 Q. Okay, and if you're not detaining and
- 8 removing demographics that are amenable and the flow
- 9 will compound, so it will increase at an exponential
- 10 rate? Is that what's being suggested here?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 12 A. Well, I do think it will increase, yeah.
- 13 Q. Now, during the Trump administration, were
- 14 you able -- we've talked a little today about
- 15 releasing aliens on their own recognizance. During
- the Trump administration, were you able to release
- aliens on their own recognizance?
- 18 A. It would have to be on very exigent
- 19 circumstances.
- Q. Some humanitarian reason?
- 21 A. Medical or humanitarian reason, yes.
- Q. Okay. As far as using parole under the

```
174
    Trump administration, was that as well limited?
        Α.
               Yes.
3
               Okay. Was it limited to aliens who agents
4
    found were going to be admissible?
5
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
6
              Parole was used on a limited basis based
7
    upon humanitarian reasons also.
               Okay. When the Biden administration took
8
        Q.
    over from the Trump administration, how were the
10
    changes to parole and releasing aliens on own
11
    recognizance communicated to Border Patrol and to the
12
    line agents?
13
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
14
               THE WITNESS: Can I confer?
15
               MR. GUARD: We'll take a break. We've been
16
    going for a while anyway.
17
               MR. PERCIVAL: With an open question?
               MR. GUARD: I'll let him do it.
18
19
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the record
20
    at 14:27.
21
                    (Recessed at 2:27 p.m.)
22
                   (Reconvened at 2:46 p.m.)
```

```
175
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record
    at 14:46.
3
               MR. GUARD: If the court reporter can
4
    please read the last question back.
5
               THE REPORTER: Question: "When the Biden
    administration took over from the Trump
6
7
    administration, how were the changes to parole and
    releasing aliens on own recognizance communicated to
    Border Patrol and to the line agents?"
               MR. DARROW: And I remind the witness not
10
    to reveal anything privileged in his answer.
11
12
               THE WITNESS: So during this time frame,
13
    one of the things that we were encountering is Rio
14
    Grande Valley, which is in South Texas, was really
15
    the only area that was problematic for us at the
16
    time, and so most of the coordination centered
17
    between the headquarters operations directorate and
    the sector personnel, and then some decompression
18
19
    into Laredo, but at the time, it wasn't an issue for
20
    all nine southwest border sectors.
21
              BY MR. GUARD:
22
        Q. Okay, I thought the question was how was
```

- 1 the changes to own recognizance and parole by the
- 2 Biden administration communicated. I understand it
- may have only been the Rio Grande Valley. Were there
- 4 memorandum or e-mails or was it by telephone or radio
- or however? I mean, I'm not trying to be cute or
- 6 smart, but I mean, I'm asking you how was it
- 7 communicated.
- 8 A. So most of the coordination occurred either
- 9 telephonically or through e-mail coordination between
- 10 Border Patrol headquarters and the sector.
- 11 Q. Okay. While the problem or the issue at
- 12 the time may have been the Rio Grande Valley, would
- changes to Border Patrol's policies with respect to
- 14 parole or own recognizance that occurred after the
- 15 Biden administration taken -- had taken over
- eventually trickled out to the other sectors of the
- 17 southern border?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And how would those changes have
- 21 been communicated to those other sectors when they --
- 22 later?

177 Α. So at the time, we had a couple ways of 2 communicating with the field components. 3 Tuesday we have a chiefs' call where we coordinate 4 directly with the sector chiefs and the deputy 5 chiefs. And then the operations directorate would 6 also communicate with the sectors if there were 7 specific issues centered around coordination, 8 operational coordination that had to happen. 9 Okay, all right. I want to go back if we Q. can just briefly, I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 10 11 20 to your deposition. 12 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 20 13 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 BY MR. GUARD: 16 You mentioned earlier at some point in time Q. 17 going and testifying regarding Flores, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. Now, this document in particular is Ο. 20 dated March 5th, 2021, so that's after the time 21 period that you think you went to testify, right? 22 Α. Definitely.

```
178
               Okay. If you'll look at -- all right, so
         Ο.
2
    the date of the document's March 5th, 2021, correct?
3
        Α.
               Yes.
4
               All right, and if you look at the signature
        Q.
5
    page, and it has Deane Dougherty, who's an ICE
    employee?
6
7
               MR. DARROW:
                           Is that on page 8?
8
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Page 8. Sorry. I should have said that.
9
        Q.
10
    Actually, it's page 9 if you look at the top.
11
               MR. DARROW: Oh.
12
               BY MR. GUARD:
13
               Page 8 if you're looking at the bottom
         Ο.
14
    numbers.
              Page 9 if you're looking at the top.
15
        Α.
               Okay.
16
                    If you'll look at page 2, the first
        Q.
               Okay.
17
    line on top of the page, it indicates that, "ICE
    would like to note that it's revising its current
18
19
    family detention posture at the FRCs to allow a
20
    broader repurposing of the physical facilities to
21
    better meet operational needs." Did I read that
22
    correctly?
```

```
f 1 A. Yes.
```

- Q. All right, and so on March 16th, we looked
- 3 at the e-mail, I believe it's Exhibit 17, that had
- 4 ICE telling you all that they were no longer going to
- 5 be detaining families, and this is a document being
- filed in court indicating that it's revising its
- 7 posture, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 10 BY MR. GUARD:
- 11 Q. All right. Turn to page 4. If you look at
- 12 the second sentence on top of page 4, it says a chart
- updated on March 4th, 2020 shows only 13 families
- 14 remained in custody. I think that actually is a
- typographical error, because if you actually look at
- the chart, it says March 4th, 2021, right, and the
- document itself is filed on March 5th of 2021, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay, and it says that there were only 13
- 20 families remained in custody as of that date, March
- 21 4th, 2021, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.

```
180
               So in a little over -- little less than two
         Ο.
    months of being in office, the Biden administration
3
    has only -- has 13 families in ICE custody, right?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Excuse me, 13 juveniles in custody, 13
6
        Ο.
7
    families. Sorry. Strike that.
8
               To be honest with you, I don't really
        Α.
9
    understand this whole report.
10
         Ο.
               Okay, but ICE is representing to a court
11
    that there are 13 families in custody as of March
12
     4th, 2021, right?
13
               That's what the report states, yes.
        Α.
14
        Q.
               Okay, all right. Well, you can put that
15
    aside. Now, when immigration law changes, like the
    family detention rule, newspaper articles and -- and
16
17
    press cover it, correct?
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
        Α.
               Yes.
20
         Q.
               And smugglers and others see those
21
    newspaper articles, and that can affect perception,
22
    right?
```

```
181
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               I can assume they read it also, yes.
        Α.
3
        Q.
               Okay, all right. Well, folks that are here
4
    legally or illegally can read those news sources,
5
    right, if nothing else.
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               Yes.
               Okay, and I believe in one of your speeches
        Q.
    in Del Rio, you talked about word of mouth, right?
10
               I did.
        Α.
11
               Okay. So changes like to the family
        Q.
    detention policy get communicated to folks outside
12
    the United States.
13
14
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
               MR. GUARD: Okay. I'm going to mark this
    as 21?
17
18
               MR. DARROW: Yeah.
19
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 21
20
                                  was marked for
21
                                  identification.)
22
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
```

```
182
               MR. GUARD: And I'll go ahead and mark the
    second document as 22, because it may be just easier
3
    to do.
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 22
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
6
7
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you again.
               MR. GUARD: No problem.
               THE WITNESS: I think you gave me two
10
    copies.
               BY MR. GUARD:
11
               Okay, don't want to do that. All right,
12
13
    Exhibit 21 are custody and transfer statistics for
    CBP for physical year 2020?
14
15
        Α.
               Yes.
16
               And 22 are custody and transfer statistics
        Q.
    for CBP for fiscal year 2021, right?
17
18
        Α.
               That's correct.
19
               All right. If you look at the -- I think
        Ο.
    it's one, two, three, the fourth page in the exhibit
21
22
               MR. DARROW: Exhibit 21?
```

183 BY MR. GUARD: 2 0. Twenty-one. I think it's the fourth page 3 in both, but let's look at 21 first. Okay, there are 4 two reports from Border Patrol, correct? A. Yes. And these are monthly reports, right? 0. Α. Yes. 8 Okay. Of -- and this is for monthly Q. 9 southwest border apprehensions by processing 10 disposition, correct? 11 Α. That's correct. All right, and so you have the different 12 Q. 13 pathways and how many aliens were processed through 14 each pathway, right? 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Q. Okay. And so you have -- we've talked 17 about expedited removal, right? You have to say yes. 18 Α. Yes. 19 It's okay. It's been a long day. 0. 20 appreciate it, you know, but I've got to try to 21 protect the record, and again, at some point the 22 court reporter will start yelling at both of us. The

- 1 next line is PACR, HARP, and ACA. Now, we've not
- 2 talked about any of those acronyms yet today. What
- 3 are those acronyms?
- 4 A. So PACR stands for prompt asylum claim
- 5 review. HARP is humanitarian -- humanitarian asylum
- 6 review process, and ACA is the asylum cooperative
- 7 agreements that we have with third countries.
- 8 Q. Okay, all right. And it looks like --
- 9 A. I think I got it right.
- 10 Q. It sounds pretty good to me. And there's a
- 11 footnote, and they have those three plus MPP, which
- we've talked about all in the kind of same -- it's
- the subjects enrolled in multiple rooms only counted
- once based on the following order. So it's at least
- trying to make it so the math doesn't -- the math
- adds up I guess. And so you have -- those three
- 17 programs only have January 2020, February 2020 and
- 18 March 2020, right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do those programs end in March 2020?
- 21 A. It appears that they ended in March --
- 22 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. -- of 2020.
- Q. All right. We talked about notice to
- 3 appear and order of recognizance, released. We've
- 4 talked about reinstatement of prior removal, talked
- 5 about voluntary -- well, we've talked about all these
- other than the other category, which seems to be any
- 7 alien that was processed, but it's -- whatever the
- 8 code is hadn't been entered into the system, so it
- 9 was just what was ever kind of left because of the
- end of a month or end of a -- of a time period,
- 11 right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Okay, all right. The bottom -- so that was
- 15 processing dispositions. The bottom table is for
- 16 Border Patrol southwest border apprehensions by
- 17 transfer destination. And so that's telling where
- they were sent or what entity dealt with them, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You have humanitarian release. What
- 21 type of aliens would be within the humanitarian
- release category, to your knowledge?

- 1 A. Folks that were dispositioned via O.R. due
- to medical or some other exigent circumstances.
- Q. Okay. You've got federal, and that has a
- 4 -- you can look at -- if you want to look at the
- 5 footnote, that's anyone who was transferred to ICE or
- 6 HHS or marshals or whatever.
- 7 A. That's correct, those are prosecutions,
- 8 misdemeanor, felony prosecutions, will also include
- 9 the folks that are turned over ORR, the unaccompanied
- 10 children, and then the migrant population that would
- 11 be turned over to ICE for repatriation.
- 12 Q. Okay, and then there are two other
- 13 repatriation pathways that are marked federal. You
- 14 had northern triangle, which is Guatemala, Honduras
- 15 and El Salvador.
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And Mexican repatriation, right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And then you had folks that you turned over
- to a port of entry that were not under the MPP
- 21 program, so that would be OFO would be who they would
- have been turned over to, correct?

- 1 A. Actually, I believe the non-MPP population
- would be Mexican nationals that were VR'd.
- Okay, all right. And then VR means
- 4 voluntary return --
- 5 A. Voluntary return, yes, sir.
- 6 Q. -- to Mexico. And then the next category,
- 7 port of entry, MPP, that would be -- they would have
- been -- I believe you described them, immigration
- 9 camp, Mexican immigration camp, or immigration camp
- located in Mexico, and they would go back to the port
- of entry for their asylum hearing?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. So when the migrants are processed via the
- 14 migrant protection protocols, they are returned to
- 15 Mexico, and most of them remain in those border
- communities housed in not government-run facilities,
- 17 but non-governmental organization-run facilities.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. So they're called migrant camps.
- Q. All right, and there's a category for state
- 21 and local law enforcement agencies, right?
- 22 A. Those are folks that are turned over to our

- state and local partners because they had warrants or
- 2 some other prosecutorial charge.
- Q. Okay. It's fair to say that looks back at
- 4 the processing disposition, notice to appear, order
- of recognizance in FY 2020 ranged from a low of one
- 6 to 91, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All less than a hundred.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Turning over to Exhibit 22, look
- 11 again at the -- well, I think now it starts on the
- third page and goes to the fourth page, you have the
- same two charts that we just looked at, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. It looks like PACR, PARP and ACA
- have started up again at least at some point in time
- 17 in 2021?
- 18 A. Yeah, it appears that in May, we had a
- 19 couple that were processed under the PACR program.
- Q. Okay. Do you know in 2022 whether that is
- 21 continuing?
- 22 A. I believe we have a small number.

- Q. Okay, all right. If you look at notice to
- appear, order of recognizance, there's now a third
- 3 category which says I-385 dash released. What is
- 4 included within I-385 dash released?
- 5 A. That is the notice to report.
- 6 Q. And if you look at the last month, last
- 7 full month of the Trump administration, December of
- 8 2020, there were 17 aliens released on a notice to
- 9 appear order of recognizance, right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. All right, and by July of 2021, that
- 12 number's over 60,000, correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. If you look, in July 2021 at -- and compare
- the notice to appear order of recognizance I-385
- 17 released with the warrant slash notice to appear dash
- detained, the number of aliens being detained under
- 19 the Biden administration is half of that which is
- 20 being released, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 22 A. Yeah, it appears that it would be less than

```
190
    30,000, so less than half.
2
        0.
               Okay. Put 21 and 22 aside. I'm going to
3
    mark this as Exhibit -- I did it again -- 23 to your
4
    deposition.
5
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 23
                                  was marked for
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Have you seen Exhibit 23 before?
        Q.
10
               I don't believe so.
        Α.
11
               Okay. This purports to be a memorandum
        Q.
12
    dated December 16th, 2014, correct?
13
               That's correct.
        Α.
14
        Ο.
               And it is for Directors, Field Operation
15
    Director Preclearance Operations, Office of Field
16
    Operations. Is this an OFO program and not a Border
17
    Patrol program?
18
        Α.
               Yeah, this seems to be focused on Office of
19
    Field Operations.
20
        Q.
               Okay, all right. Was this -- was this --
21
    this parole program or a parole program like this
22
    ever created for Border Patrol?
```

- 1 A. I wasn't at headquarters in December of
- 2 2014. I was in the field, so typically we didn't
- 3 create policy in the field, so --
- 4 Q. But you would have implemented a policy if
- 5 it had been created.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay, and you don't recall implementing
- 8 this policy.
- 9 A. No.
- 0. Okay. So looking at the -- kind of the
- last paragraph of this -- well, first, looking at --
- under the second paragraph, it says, "Effective
- immediately, any parole under a section 212(d)(5) of
- 14 the Immigration and Nationality Act for nonimmigrant
- aliens, alien, parens, closed paren, "that meet the
- following criteria." Now, section 212(d)(5), that's
- the statute we looked at earlier, 8 USC 1182 to 85,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then looking down at the last paragraph
- of this one-page memo, it reads, "Lack of detention
- space, requests from other law enforcement agencies,"

192 paren, "unless accompanied by a valid unexpired I-512 2 issued by HSI, " closed paren, "or other purposes not 3 considered essential for law enforcement are not 4 appropriate reasons to parole an inadmissible alien." 5 Does it say that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. All right. So this policy has nothing to 8 do with capacity, right? 9 MR. DARROW: Objection. This is pertaining to folks that are 10 Α. 11 presenting themselves for admission at a port of 12 entry. 13 0. Okay. 14 And typically Office of Field Operations 15 never encounter the numbers that the Border Patrol 16 encounters. 17 Ο. Fair enough. I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 25. 18 19 Twenty-four. Α. 20 Q. Twenty-four --21 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 24 22 was marked for

```
193
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
3
         Q.
               -- to your deposition.
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
               BY MR. GUARD:
               Have you seen Exhibit 24 before?
        Ο.
               I don't believe so.
8
               All right. Like the previous exhibit,
         Q.
9
    Exhibit 23, this appears to be an Office of Field
10
    Operations policy?
11
        Α.
               Yes.
12
         Q.
               All right. And again, it's another parole
13
    policy, right?
14
               That appears to be what it is, yes.
15
         Q.
               Okay, all right. You can put that aside.
16
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 25
17
                                  was marked for
18
                                  identification.)
19
               MR. GUARD:
                            Twenty-five.
20
               MR. DARROW:
                            Thank you.
21
               BY MR. GUARD:
22
        Ο.
               Have you seen Exhibit 25 before?
```

```
194
        A.
               Not that I recall, no.
                      Anything on this document indicate
         Q.
               Okay.
3
    which CBP component Exhibit 25 applies to?
4
               I think it still applies to airports or OFO
5
    because it talks about admissibility and passenger
6
    programs --
7
        Q.
               Okay.
8
               -- as the office -- as part of the offices,
    so I would imagine it's still an OFO guidance
10
    document.
11
               Okay, all right. Well, you can put that
         Q.
12
    aside because you're not part of OFO. Mark this for
13
    identification as Exhibit 26 --
14
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 26
15
                                  was marked for
16
                                  identification.)
               BY MR. GUARD:
17
18
         Ο.
               -- to your deposition.
19
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
20
               BY MR. GUARD:
21
         Q.
               Have you seen Exhibit 26 before?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
```

```
195
               Okay. If you'll flip to the page that's
        Ο.
    labeled AR -- AR 003 --
3
        Α.
               I don't have an -- oh, there it is, my
4
    signature.
5
        Q.
               That was going to be the question, is that
    your signature?
6
7
        Α.
               Yes.
               All right, and did you sign this document
9
    under penalties of perjury? Look back to the next
10
    page.
11
        Α.
               Yes.
         Q.
               Okay. That was not a trick question.
13
    was not trying to trick you. All right, I know it's
14
    been a long day. All right, since you signed this
    document on April 19th, 2022, has any additional
15
    information or documents that are nonprivileged come
17
    to your attention that should be part of the
    administrative record?
18
19
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
20
        Α.
               Yes.
               What additional documents should be added
21
        Ο.
22
    to the administrative record?
```

196 Α. The commissioner's memo on parole plus --ATD plus. 3 Q. So are you talking about the July -- I think it's July 18th? 4 18th memo, and then my July 20th memo, yes. A. 0. So you issued a July 20th memo? Α. Yes. 8 Counsel, I don't think we've ever seen the Q. July 20th memo, but we can take that up later, but 9 just raising that to your attention. All right, you 10 11 understand that the memo, which is at AR 004, the 12 next page, has now been rescinded, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay, and in its place, there's a new memo, 15 right? 16 That's correct. Α. 17 Okay. We're going to get to the new memo. Q. That's -- that's the next -- that's the next exhibit 18 and the last exhibit of this deposition, so -- and 19 20 that's a promise, of this deposition. There --21 corporate representative, there may be one exhibit. 22 All right, so but other than those two documents,

197 you're aware of no other documents that should be in 2 the administrative record that are nonprivileged, 3 correct? Α. That's correct. Okay, all right. Now, looking at AR 4 5 Q. through AR 6, this is a memo which you sent out, 6 7 correct? That's correct. Α. All right. And you sent it out to chief Q. parole agents and deputy chief parole agents, right? 11 That's correct. Α. Q. And that's the agents that are in charge of 13 the sectors of Border Patrol, right? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. Okay. Looking at this memo, it indicates that it is superseding a previous guidance, correct? 16 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Ο. And the previous guidance that it was 19 superseding was your predecessor's notice to report, 20 right? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Now, the memo indicates that that -- and I Ο.

- think we weren't able to come to a date earlier that
- 2 notice to report was promulgated. It indicates that
- 3 that policy was promulgated in March of 2021, right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. I don't think I asked you this
- 6 before, and if I did, I apologize. How was the NTR
- quidance sent out to the field in March of 2021?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 9 A. It was sent out and distributed through
- 10 multiple platforms. Initially we had a telephonic
- 11 call with all the associate chiefs. We also asked
- 12 that the operations directorate send out a e-mail to
- 13 the sector points of contact, which would have been
- 14 an assistant chief at those sectors, to include the
- 15 sector chiefs, and to my recollection, I believe
- 16 Chief Scott also issued a memoranda.
- Q. Okay. Well, I haven't seen that
- memorandum, so if it exists, obviously we'll take
- 19 that up with your counsel.
- 20 A. I couldn't find it either.
- Q. Okay, all right. Well, I deal with public
- 22 records too. I know sometimes how things don't get

- filed how they should get filed, so we'll work with
- that through your counsel. And so he would have
- 3 signed a similar memorandum to this, to your
- 4 recollection?
- 5 A. Typically that's how information would be
- 6 distributed to the field, which is why I thought
- 7 there was a notice to report memoranda, but when I
- 8 looked through my files, I could not find one either.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the justification for this
- 10 policy is the health of aliens, correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And the health of Border Patrol employees,
- 14 right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. Well, it's not just that. I mean, it's the
- 17 health of the communities. At the time, almost every
- 18 single one of the border communities that we have a
- 19 sector or experiencing significant increases in COVID
- 20 exposures, to include COVID deaths, we had a
- 21 significant spike across the entire southwest border
- 22 and COVID exposures, and even to this day, this

- 1 morning I think I had 388 agents still in quarantine
- status. We've had 11,692 agents I believe that
- 3 tested positive for COVID out of 19,355. We had 19
- 4 agents die due to COVID, to include two contractors
- 5 that I attended probably 75 percent of their
- 6 funerals.
- Our communities, the health officials, our
- 8 law enforcement partners were also under the same
- 9 issues and faced with some of the same concerns that
- we had as a law enforcement organization. Typically
- 11 we use local county detention facilities to house
- 12 some of our prosecutions, and they were experiencing
- 13 complete shut-downs of their facilities because of
- 14 COVID exposures. So it wasn't just the migrants, it
- wasn't just our agents, but it was our families, the
- 16 communities, and all of this was placing a
- 17 significant strain on the entire border environment.
- 18 Q. And I agree and appreciate that, but in the
- 19 first sentence of the second paragraph, would you
- agree with me that it only mentions the health and
- 21 safety of migrants and the health of the work force?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.

- BY MR. GUARD:
- Q. First sentence of the second paragraph.
- 3 A. That first sentence, that's exactly what
- 4 that says, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay, and at least -- and I'm not
- 6 minimizing this, because I agree with you, and I've
- 7 attended too many law enforcement funerals in the
- 8 last year as well, so I'm not minimizing that at all,
- 9 but at least I hadn't seen mention of, at least in
- 10 this document, of the community as a reason for the
- 11 policy.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. Well, I can tell you as the person who
- 14 signed this document, that the communities certainly
- were a factor in my decision-making process.
- Q. Okay, all right.
- 17 A. My mother lives in a border community in
- Del Rio. She's 85 years old, very vulnerable and
- 19 certainly susceptible to COVID, and so I can
- 20 guarantee you I factored her health into the issuance
- of this memoranda.
- Q. Again, I'm not quibbling with that at all.

- 1 A. I get it.
- Q. I just -- it wasn't mentioned, and that was
- going to be one of my questions, is why was that not
- 4 factored, and you're telling me that it was. Other
- 5 than the health and safety of communities, were there
- 6 any other reasons that are not disclosed in this
- 7 e-mail that form the basis of you issuing this memo?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. Where are they?
- 11 A. Overcrowded conditions in these facilities.
- 12 Q. That actually is mentioned.
- A. To include ensuring that we are compliant
- 14 with the Jane Doe litigation in Arizona, and also
- ensuring that we had significant wrap-around services
- 16 to support the different demographics that we were
- 17 responsible for.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. At the time, we were expanding our
- detention facilities, and I can tell you that
- 21 typically during this time is at the beginning of the
- 22 budget year, and this is -- this year was no

```
203
    different. We were under a continuing resolution, so
2
    we're operating at 75 percent of our budget, and so
3
    ensuring that we had the requisite supplies and
4
    equipment to support everything that comes with
5
    having 15,000 people in custody was part of the
6
    decision-making process.
7
               Okay. I think part of that is actually
8
    reflected in this memo. Again, I'm not --
        Α.
               Yeah, got you.
10
               Not trying to play a got you game or
         Ο.
11
    anything like that. If you look at -- I think it's
    the -- it's the fourth sentence, excuse me, starting
12
13
    with the use of this processing pathway --
14
        Α.
               Uh-huh.
15
               -- enabled -- well, it's talking
         Q.
16
    technically about NTRs, but this is replacing NTRs,
17
    right?
18
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
19
               BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
               You may answer.
21
        Α.
               Yes.
22
               Okay. To relieve overcrowding in
         Ο.
```

204 congregate settings. That's correct. Α. 3 Okay, thus better protecting both the work 4 force and non-citizens in our custody. That's correct. A. Okay. So looking at the second paragraph, 6 0. 7 it talks about the process for -- of issuing notices to appear, the last -- I think it's the last two 9 sentences. See that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 All right. Notices to appear are 12 time-consuming. 13 That's correct. Α. 14 MR. DARROW: Objection. BY MR. GUARD: 15 16 Were -- under either NTR or parole plus Q. 17 ATD, are Border Patrol agents establishing A files, apple files? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. Were they establishing A files under 21 NTR? 22 Α. No. Actually, they were for head of

- 1 household.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. But they weren't for the remaining family
- 4 unit members.
- 5 Q. Okay. For parole plus ATD, are they only
- doing A files for the head of household or are they
- 7 doing A files for all the members of the family?
- 8 A. I believe they are issuing A files for all
- 9 the members within the family unit.
- 0. Okay. Now, just -- I want to talk about
- 11 parole plus ATD. I'm sorry, for the first time ever
- in my life I went quiet and maybe mumbled a little
- 13 bit because I was looking down. Parole plus ATD, are
- 14 Border Patrol agents completing the asylum interview
- 15 process?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Where does the asylum review -- asylum
- interview process occur?
- 19 A. Usually that occurs with an asylum officer
- within CIS.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Citizen and immigration services.

- Q. Okay, and that's after the person has been
- 2 released on parole plus ATD?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 5 A. Unless they are held in a detention
- 6 facility.
- 7 Q. And at least initially in this memo, parole
- 8 plus ATD was being limited to family units, right?
- 9 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The last sentence on the first page, AR 004
- 12 reads, "Parole plus ATD is a rigorous enforcement
- 13 process that is effective and includes accountability
- 14 measures to require non-citizens to report to ICE for
- 15 issuance of an NTA and continue through the formal
- immigration process." Did I read that sentence
- 17 correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. What did you mean by rigorous?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. Rigorous requires more information than we
- were issuing with the notice to report, to include

- $^{
 m 1}$ next of kin, final destination. When you process
- 2 somebody for -- under the normal Title 8 process, you
- obtain more biographical information, to include
- 4 where they're coming from, where they're going to,
- 5 their mode of travel. There's a slew of biographical
- 6 information that you obtain under this process that
- 7 normally would not be gathered during the NTR
- 8 process.
- 9 Q. Okay. So under the NTR process, which
- lasted roughly from March 2021 through November of
- 11 2021, that roughly eight months, Border Patrol was
- 12 not gathering destination of where aliens were going?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 14 A. We would put that on the I-385, but in a
- normal I-213, which is the initial biographical --
- Q. Uh-huh.
- 17 A. -- document that we process migrants or
- aliens under, we actually detail very specifically
- 19 their route of travel from their home to their final
- destination, to include any stops and their method of
- 21 travel, whether they made any smuggling arrangements,
- whether they paid any smuggling fees. Under the NTR

- process, you may not have gathered all that
- information just depending on how many people you had
- in custody, what your time in custody times were, and
- 4 the manpower constraints that you were under.
- 5 Q. Sure, and different Border Patrol agents
- 6 may -- some are more thorough than others. Is that
- 7 fair?
- 8 A. Most definitely.
- 9 Q. Okay, all right. You wrote here that
- 10 enforcement process that is effective. What did you
- 11 mean by effective?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 13 A. I meant that it is a method that allows us
- 14 to monitor the migrant population from when we
- process them and turn them over to ICE/ERO.
- Q. Okay, so whether -- whether this program's
- 17 effective or not is going to depend on ICE and ERO,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you would have only known
- 21 whatever ICE had shared with you, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.

```
209
              MR. DARROW: Objection.
              BY MR. GUARD:
3
        Q.
              Okay. And I'm not asking him what they
4
              I'm just asking that would be the limited
    extent of his knowledge. The next phrase says
5
    includes accountability measures. What did you mean
6
7
    by that?
8
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
9
               That means the technical and the ankle
        Α.
10
    bracelets, the electronic monitoring devices or
11
    measures that allowed ICE to track and monitor and
12
    account for the folks that we transferred to their
13
    custody.
14
        Ο.
               Okay. And then again, that would have been
15
    information that you would have gathered or gleaned
16
    from ICE, right?
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
        Α.
               Yes.
19
               Okay. So whether or not the program's
        0.
20
    effective and accountable is again going to depend on
21
    ICE and how they implement it, right?
22
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
```

```
^{1} A. Yes.
```

- Q. Okay. If they do a good job, then it will
- 3 be effective and accountable. If they do a bad job,
- 4 it won't, right?
- 5 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. Well, I would imagine it's dependent on
- 7 their capacity also, whether they have enough
- 8 monitoring devices or cell phones, mobile devices.
- 9 Q. Okay. So there could be budgetary
- 10 constraints, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you have to -- before you enrolled
- 13 someone in -- to parole ATD, at least at the time of
- 14 -- because I know -- frankly, I think it changes now,
- but at the time of November 2nd, 2021, did you have
- to check with ICE before you processed someone
- through that pathway?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay, all right. Would that have been
- 21 communicated to the sector chiefs and the deputy
- 22 chiefs in a separate communication, that they needed

- to talk with ICE before they used this pathway?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 3 A. Most of the sectors at this time were
- 4 already starting to have ICE/ERO personnel assigned
- 5 to their processing centers. I know in Rio Grande
- 6 Valley, at one point we probably had 60 or 70 ICE/ERO
- 7 personnel working almost 24/7 side by side with our
- 8 Border Patrol agents.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. So they were involved with the process at
- 11 the very beginning.
- 0. Okay, and that was part of the -- there was
- a surge of -- of other personnel from DHS to respond
- to the increase in -- in encounters, correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. There was a surge of DOD resources, DHS
- 17 resources. We increased state and local funding for
- 18 Operation Stone Garden, and there was a whole
- 19 government approach.
- Q. Okay, all right. Looking at -- now, this
- 21 memo, if certain averages and thresholds were met,
- was going to be triggered as to all family units,

212 right? MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. So if the Rio Grande Valley or Del Q. Rio hit the thresholds that are laid out in the 5 second page of this document on AR 5, all family 6 7 units would be paroled plus ATD, correct? MR. DARROW: Objection. All family units minus Central Americans 9 Α. 10 and Mexican family units. 11 Q. Okay. So there are -- for those, there 12 were -- was at the time an alternative pathway that 13 expelled, correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. All right, all right. Looking down at the third paragraph, there's a reference to the 2019 May 16 17 daily average. Why was the 2019 May daily average 18 utilized for those two statistics? 19 MR. DARROW: Objection. 20 Α. Because those two -- that month saw the two 21 highest encounter apprehension numbers for 2019. 22 Ο. So 2019 was a surge year, right?

213 A. Yes. Okay, and so you -- you -- that was the Q. 3 highest month in that surge year; is that correct? 4 That's correct. All right, and so you're using now 2021 and 5 Q. 2022, as we talked about doubled that, right? 6 7 Α. That's correct. MR. DARROW: Objection. BY MR. GUARD: 10 All right, but you were utilizing the Ο. 11 previous high as -- as kind of the point where this was going to be triggered. Is that fair? 12 13 MR. DARROW: Objection. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay, all right. And then outside those 16 two sectors, either Commissioner Magnus or you were 17 going to have to say yes, you can use this policy,

- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 20 A. That's correct.

correct?

- Q. All right. And I think you've indicated
- that this policy was utilized in a couple other

- 1 sectors on the southwest border, right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Yuma I think you mentioned, and what were
- 4 the -- and I apologize.
- 5 A. I believe it was Yuma and El Paso, and it
- 6 would have been Acting Commissioner Troy Miller at
- 7 the time, not -- Commissioner Magnus didn't take over
- 8 till January of this year.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, the second to last paragraph
- talks about the fluidity of the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So this policy was only
- intended to be temporary?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. All right. Looking at -- there are a
- 18 series of e-mails in the administrative record that
- 19 follow. It looks like data was being sent to I
- 20 assume you, even though the -- you know, the from and
- tos are blacked out on every one of them, there's
- looks like reports of the situation at different

```
215
    border crossings, or border sectors? Excuse me,
2
    correct?
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
         Α.
               Yes.
5
               All right. And it looks like there's
         Q.
    several different days that are reported. Looks like
6
7
    you got October 20th, 2021, September 22nd, 2021,
8
    August 12th, 2021, August 11th of 2021, August 2nd of
9
    2021, and then the last one is actually e-mail
10
    guidance. Why were those specific dates versus other
11
    dates chosen or utilized by you?
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
               BY MR. GUARD:
14
         Ο.
               I mean, I would assume you get a cit rep
15
    every day? Is that fair?
16
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
17
               Now I have a dashboard.
         Α.
18
         Q.
               Okay.
19
               So --
         Α.
20
         Q.
               I could pull out -- I got printouts of your
21
     -- I probably have your dashboard.
22
         Α.
               Yeah.
```

- Q. But at the time, I assume you were getting
- e-mails like this almost practically every day?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were these dates dates that were
- 6 particularly heavy or, you know, why -- why include
- 7 these and not others into the -- to what you
- 8 considered?
- 9 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 10 A. I'm going to have to assume because these
- 11 were probably dates where we experienced higher
- 12 levels of encounters than we had on previous
- occasions. When you look at Del Rio, 3,600
- 14 encounters in three days, that's an average of 1,200
- 15 a day, that's significant.
- Q. Okay. If you look down at Rio Grande on
- that same -- you're looking at the e-mail from
- 18 October 20th, 2021?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you look down at Rio Grande and
- 21 you have on, again, over three days, I think it's
- 4,489 encounters, but you don't recall specifically

- why you considered this e-mail. You just looking at
- it now, that seems to be -- makes sense to you,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 6 BY MR. GUARD:
- 7 Q. Okay. And if you look at the September
- 8 22nd of 2021 e-mail, if you look at the second page,
- 9 Del Rio, it says on pace for 15,052 this week?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. But you don't know why you included
- 12 this dashboard versus any other dashboard that -- or
- 13 not dashboard. Any other stats you report in the
- 14 administrative record.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. This one would have been right after the
- 17 event in Del Rio where the Haitian migration flow
- 18 staged underneath the port of entry.
- 19 Q. Okay, all right. Looking back at AR 0012,
- the e-mail from September 22nd, 2021, under the Rio
- 21 Grande Valley component, there's 475 paroles, paren,
- 475 FMUA, closed paren. Would those have been

```
218
    paroles under NTR?
2
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
        Α.
               Probably so.
4
               Okay, all right. All right, we can turn to
        Q.
    AR 0016. This looks like it's some kind of a
5
    roll-out of e-mail of some kind. Is that fair?
6
7
        Α.
               Yes.
               All right, and it's providing guidance on
         Q.
    how to stamp the I-385, among other things?
10
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
11
        Α.
               Yes.
12
        Q.
               And it's limit -- it says until 15 days
13
    from entry, right?
14
        Α.
               That's correct.
15
         Q.
               All right. And then if you look at AR 18,
16
    this is -- there's another e-mail from August 5th of
17
     2021, but there's a -- you know, this is the e-mail
    that was probably provided to either the assistant
18
19
    chiefs or the chiefs of the sectors that are
20
    affected, right?
21
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
22
               Looks like this one just went to Del Rio.
        Α.
```

- Q. Okay, and it says, "Sir," comma, and then
- there's a lengthy thing. All right, and you know,
- 3 the -- what does B-L-U-F or BLUF stand for?
- A. Bottom line up front.
- 5 Q. Okay, so executive summary kind of thing?
- 6 A. Exactly.
- Okay, here's the take-away you need to take
- 8 from this, and it indicates this is just for family
- 9 units, right, as of that time.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Looking down at the list of bullet
- points, it has as one of the factors to be considered
- whether ICE slash ERO will accept custody of the
- 14 non-citizens, right? So we're on AR 3018.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Almost three quarters of the way down,
- 17 bullet points. You with me?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. All right. It says whether ICE slash ERO
- 20 will accept custody of non-citizens. You see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. At that point in time, ICE/ERO wasn't

220 accepting custody of any citizens, was it? 2 MR. DARROW: Objection. 3 I don't believe so. Okay. So that was always going to be a no. Q. 5 I mean, you were always going to read that bullet point, right? 6 7 MR. DARROW: Objection. 8 Yeah, I think there were maybe some 9 conditions where there was a mandatory hold on 10 certain populations if they were bag and baggage, 11 flight risk. So there were some exceptions. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Well, it says it in the next bullet. 14 0. Okay. Well, in the next bullet is -- it 15 makes it -- whether the non-citizen poses a threat to national security, border security or a heightened 16 public safety risk. Now, this e-mail for whatever 17 18 reason doesn't -- the previous one has citizens with a paren S closed paren. This one doesn't. Is this 19 20 e-mail saying that you could actually split apart a 21 family unit and peel off a criminal or if someone 22 was, you know, the son of a terrorist, you know, you

- would have the mother and son could go through and
- the father who's a terrorist would be split off? Is
- 3 that what it's kind of suggesting?
- 4 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 5 A. We have had situations in encounters of
- family units where it could be potentially a mother
- and a father, and the father would have a criminal
- 8 record and would be a mandatory detention, and so in
- 9 that case you're not separating the child from the
- 10 father, but you are taking one of the adults into
- 11 custody.
- Q. Okay, all right. That makes sense. All
- 13 right. And then the rest of the guidance on AR 0019
- is just you have the documentation --
- 15 A. The process, yes.
- Q. All right, and if you look at AR 21, it is
- 17 slightly different. This is on August 11th, so the
- 18 process I guess has changed in some way, and what I'm
- 19 referring to specifically on the previous one, it
- 20 said until the date, and it had 15 days from entry,
- 21 and this one is says 60 days from entry, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.

222 MR. DARROW: Objection. BY MR. GUARD: 3 0. Okay. And this one actually has a copy of the stamp and telling them like explicitly how to 4 fill it out so they don't mess it up, correct? 5 6 Α. That's correct, I had a conversation with 7 the A chief and ask that they specifically annotate 8 exactly what needed to be done. 9 Fair enough. All right, and then I think Q. the e-mail on AR 22 is the same as the e-mail that 10 was on AR 17, so this is just a -- they took an old 11 e-mail and stuck the first -- the top e-mail on it; 12 13 is that correct? 14 Α. It appears to be similar, yes. 15 Q. They're both from August 5th at 1:48 p.m., and it looks the same. All right, looking at AR 25 16 17 and 26, these again are just -- this is August 2nd of 18 2021, and again, it's just encounter data, right? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Q. All right. 21 Α. Detention and encounter data. 22 And you don't recall why this specific Ο.

```
223
    e-mail was chosen versus the other ones you get every
2
    day.
3
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        Α.
               No.
5
        Q.
               Okay, all right. Now you're to the next
    page, which is AR 28, and this is from July 31st,
6
7
    right?
        A.
               Yes.
               And again, this is an e-mail to Rio Grande
        Q.
10
    Valley?
11
        Α.
               That's correct.
               All right. And so this is -- so the
12
         Q.
13
    previous e-mail that we looked at was an August 5th
    e-mail of 2021. This is -- this is, you know, five
14
15
    days earlier, right, and this e-mail that went to --
16
    I don't know again who it went to, but it looks like
17
    it is going to Rio Grande just based on the content
    on the first line of AR 28, this e-mail says family
18
19
    units or single adults in the one -- well, it's the
20
    paragraph that begins with, "Agents may consider,"
21
    right?
22
        Α.
               That's correct.
```

224 All right, so did -- between July 31st and 0. 2 August 5th, was there a revision of the policy 3 between whether -- whether single adults are included 4 or not? 5 MR. DARROW: Objection. That would be deliberative process. 6 7 BY MR. GUARD: 8 Q. Okay. So we have the memo, which says family units only, right? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay, and then we have, in a series of 12 time, we have an e-mail from August 5th that says 13 family units, right? 14 Α. Yes. And then we have an e-mail from July 31st 15 Q. 16 that says family units and single adults, correct? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Ο. Okay. So when you issued the policy, it 19 was only family units, right? 20 MR. DARROW: Objection. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And if after the policy was issued, Ο.

```
225
    at some point in time you added single adults, right?
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
3
         Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. And most likely that would have been
        Q.
    communicated in an e-mail that would be similar to
5
    this one, right?
6
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
        A.
               Yes.
               Would it necessarily require a new memo?
        Q.
10
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
11
               Not necessarily.
        Α.
               Okay, all right. You can put that aside.
12
        Q.
13
    What number are we on now?
14
               MR. DARROW: Twenty-seven I believe.
15
               MR. GUARD: Twenty-seven.
16
                                 (Ortiz Exhibit No. 27
17
                                  was marked for
18
                                  identification.)
19
               BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
               I'm going to mark this exhibit as Exhibit
21
    27 to your deposition.
22
               MR. DARROW: Thank you.
```

```
226
               BY MR. GUARD:
        0.
               Have you seen Exhibit 27 before?
3
         Α.
               No.
4
               Okay, all right. It is a document that is
         Q.
5
    I believe signed by Mr. Barker, or excuse me, I
    should call him Chief Barker. I need to call him the
6
7
    right title, but Chief Barker?
               He likes to be called Dr. Barker, but
9
    that's okay.
10
               I'll be glad to call him Dr. Barker.
         Ο.
11
    Correct?
12
        Α.
               Yes.
13
               Okay, so on page 2 of the exhibit, it has
         0.
14
     -- are you familiar with Mr. Barker's signature?
15
        A.
               I am.
16
               Okay, and is that his signature on page 2?
         Q.
17
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
18
               It appears to be.
19
               Okay, all right. If you look at the --
         0.
    this one's not stamped with those nice numbers, but
21
    if you look at the fourth page of the document,
22
    there's a memo, correct?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is the -- I believe you earlier in
- the deposition talked about the July 18th, 2022 memo,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. All right. Can you flip through this
- document and see if your July 20th memo is anywhere
- 8 in here?
- 9 A. I do not see it.
- 0. Okay, all right. And that -- your July
- 20th memo implements this memo?
- 12 A. Typically when I receive a memo from the
- secretary or the commissioner, I place a cover memo
- 14 and distribute it to the field for implementation.
- 15 So my July 20th memo would have been similar to this
- 16 memo without all of the additional information that I
- don't -- I felt like, you know, the field didn't need
- to have visibility on as far as, you know, some of
- 19 the policy issues.
- Q. Okay, all right. So it would have been a
- 21 -- kind of your summary of this memo with the points
- that you believe to be relevant for Border Patrol.

```
228
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
               For implementation by the sector chiefs,
        Α.
3
    yes.
4
               Okay, all right. All right. And this memo
5
    rescinds your previous memo, right?
6
        Α.
               That's correct.
7
               Okay. And like your memo, this memo's
         Ο.
8
    premised on capacity and detention space, right?
9
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
10
        Α.
               That's correct.
11
               And like your memo, your previous memo in
        Q.
     2021, this parole plus ATD memo is based on the
12
    public benefit of disease mitigation, right?
14
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
15
        Α.
               Yeah, it's based upon the health and
16
    welfare of the migrant population, Border Patrol
17
    personnel --
18
        0.
               Okay.
19
               -- and overcrowded conditions within the
        Α.
20
    facilities.
21
               And like with your memo -- well, this
22
    memo's not -- on its face not limited to just family
```

- 1 units, right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. It applies to both family units and single
- 4 adults, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so if the triggers in this memo,
- which are slightly different than your triggers, are
- 8 met, then both single adults and family units would
- 9 be released, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 11 A. Could be released, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Would they only be released if they
- 13 didn't meet some other pathway, like bag and baggage
- or -- or something like that?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. There would be a few issues that would be
- 17 factored into the utilization of ATDP. Whether we
- had an opportunity to decompress the population into
- other facilities along the southwest border. We on
- occasion will place some facilities or find a
- 21 solution to incur greater capacity numbers if we have
- 22 a repatriation flight scheduled in a certain

- 1 location, and then if we know that ICE is going to be
- able to receive certain populations, we will work
- 3 with them to try and transfer those individuals as
- 4 quickly as we possibly can.
- 5 Q. Okay, but barring those things, single
- 6 adults and family units, when the triggers are met,
- 7 will be released.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 9 A. Can be released.
- 0. Okay. And they're going to be released
- 11 kind of as a class or a group, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- A. Well, it's supposed to be considered on a
- 14 case-by-case basis, and then a lot of it will depend
- on our capacity to process the migrant population.
- 16 So I have folks assigned from -- or agents assigned
- 17 from all over the country supporting these processing
- efforts, to include the northern -- northern border.
- 19 So if -- we use Yuma as an example. If we
- start to see a spike in Yuma, we will empty out
- 21 facilities near southern Arizona to try and
- 22 accommodate those populations. And so if a sector

- hits a trigger point, it doesn't automatically go
- 2 straight to ATD parole as a processing pathway. We
- 3 look at whether there are any other options available
- 4 to us before we leverage the ATD parole pathway.
- Q. Okay. When those options max out, then
- 6 everyone after that certain point is going to be
- 7 going to parole ATD point unless there is a criminal
- 8 threat, you know, national security threat or some
- 9 other kind of threat to the United States, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 11 A. Yeah, if they're public safety, flight
- 12 risk, and then if -- that will happen until we can
- 13 get those facilities under what we would consider,
- 14 you know, acceptable detention conditions.
- Okay. Now, you mentioned decompression.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, earlier on, I showed you some budget
- documents where ICE had gotten rid of, you know,
- 19 thousands of beds, detention beds, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If they had not gotten rid of those
- thousands of beds, there would have been another

- place where you could have transferred aliens to,
- 2 right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 4 A. Yeah, potentially there would have been an
- 5 opportunity to transfer more of the non-citizens or
- 6 migrants to ICE/ERO, but their capacity was -- even
- at 50,000 weren't going to be enough to support the
- 8 amount of encounters we were experiencing.
- 9 Q. Okay. And I appreciate that, but at
- 50,000, that's possibly another, you know, average
- daily population of 20,000 additional migrants or
- 12 25,000 additional migrants being detained, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And that would have taken the pressure off
- of Border Patrol and its detention facilities,
- 17 correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 19 A. For a short period of time, it would have.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. But when you're an apprehending 65, 70
- thousand migrants in a 24-hour period, you can do the

- 1 math. It's not going to take long for them -- for us
- 2 to exceed their capacity also.
- Q. Okay. And if the -- and that was a
- 4 decision by the Biden administration to not go
- forward and to limit the capacity of ICE, right?
- 6 MR. DARROW: Objection. That calls for
- 7 deliberative process information.
- BY MR. GUARD:
- 9 Q. I'm just asking what administration made
- that decision. The decision's made in public.
- 11 A. It would have been under this
- 12 administration, yes.
- 0. Okay. And the Biden administration decided
- to narrow other pathways too, correct?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 16 A. There were other pathways that were
- 17 eliminated, yes.
- 18 O. Okay. And each one of those decisions in
- 19 the midst of a historic flood of aliens to the
- 20 southern border increased the pressure on Border
- 21 Patrol and its limited capacity, right?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.

- $^{
 m l}$ A. Yes.
- Q. And as that pressure build, there's no
- other choice other than to release, right?
- 4 MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. If you'll look at -- again, there's not
- 7 numbers on the bottom, but it's an e-mail -- there's
- 8 an e-mail from July 22nd, 2022. Do you see that?
- 9 A. I do.
- Q. All right. Now, the policy's dated July
- 11 18th, 2022, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So this e-mail couldn't possibly have been
- 14 considered by Commissioner Magnus and Mr. Johnson in
- 15 forming the policy, right, because it postdates the
- 16 policy.
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And is this an e-mail that you received?
- MR. DARROW: Objection. That information
- is blacked out for privilege reasons.
- MR. GUARD: What is your assertion of

- privilege?
- MR. DARROW: Same -- same assertion as
- before, PII based on law enforcement.
- BY MR. GUARD:
- 5 Q. Well, you're going to enjoy defending them
- 6 in front of the judge, but we'll deal with that
- 7 later. Now, if you'll look behind that, are these
- 8 your -- your dashboards that you were talking about?
- 9 A. They are similar to the dashboards.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. These are actually dashboards that the
- operations directorate uses. The dashboard I use is
- 13 a little bit different, but I think it contains some
- of the same information.
- Okay. Is -- and you can flip --
- unfortunately, again, there's not numbers on the
- 17 bottom of this. There's another kind of different
- dashboard. I was just curious what you're actually
- 19 looking at. This one has more than just "Border
- 20 Patrol" on it. It says "Daily Snapshot" on the top
- of it, and I'm trying to show it to you to make it
- 22 easier to find. You got it right there. You got it

```
236
    right there. Does that look like your -- your -- no,
2
    all right.
3
               I'm going to tell you, I don't look at this
4
                 It's too busy for me, and it -- I've
    dashboard.
5
    asked the team to get rid of it actually several
6
    times.
               Okay.
        Ο.
               They like it, so --
               All right.
        Q.
10
               As long as they use it for whatever
        Α.
11
    purposes they use it for, it's fine.
               Okay, and then if you'll flip forward,
12
13
    there's a third kind of dashboard in here. It looks
14
    like -- it's called SWB daily snapshot.
15
               MR. DARROW: Is this the one we're up to,
16
              Sorry, it's kind of small at the bottom.
    SAR 32?
17
               MR. GUARD: Forty-six --
               MR. DARROW: Oh, 46?
18
19
               BY MR. GUARD:
20
        Q.
               -- is the one I got --
21
        Α.
               Got it.
22
               Okay. Does that look like -- more like the
        0.
```

237 dashboard you use, or still not. 2 I don't like any of these, no. Α. 3 Q. Okay, all right. Α. Sorry. That's fine. I was just curious. 5 Q. right, and then if you look at -- actually, I now see 6 7 the SAR numbers. I apologize for that. If you look at SAR 85 --Α. Okay. 10 And there's temporary injunction entered 0. prohibiting the repeal of Title 42? 11 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Okay, all right. You can put that aside. Ο. 14 I'm trying to speed this up. The next I think 40 pages are what we previously looked at for your --15 16 that were in your administrative record before, and then at SAR122, it's something from the CDC? 17 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Okay. And this is about the repeal of the 0. Title 42 order? 20 21 Α. That's correct. 22 All right, and then moving to SAR 152, this Ο.

```
238
    -- is this the order that you were referring to
    before about Yuma, or Tucson, the injunction?
3
               I believe it's the -- it pertains to the
4
    Doe litigation, yes.
5
        Q.
               Okay, all right. All right, you can put
    that aside. If I can have -- if we can take a break
6
7
    for about ten minutes, I can just go through my
    questions to see if I've got that down. We may come
9
    back and rest and then I'll move the corporate rep
10
    deposition pretty quickly.
11
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the record
    at 16:10.
12
13
                    (Recessed at 4:10 p.m.)
14
                   (Reconvened at 4:27 p.m.)
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the
15
    record at 16:27.
16
               MR. GUARD: I have no further questions for
17
    you, Chief. I thank you for your service to this
18
19
    country, and I'll pass the witness.
20
          EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANTS
21
              BY MR. DARROW:
22
              Thank you. Just have a few questions,
        O.
```

- 1 Chief. Try not to prolong things any longer than we
- 2 have to. I believe you testified before, and correct
- me if I'm wrong, that the government is currently
- 4 using MPP, the migrant protection protocol, less than
- 5 it was before; is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay, and why is that?
- 8 A. There are a couple other factors that have
- 9 forced or reduced the number of enrollees in the MPP
- 10 program. First, the coordination with the government
- of Mexico has to occur, and currently as it exists,
- 12 the one sector that is accepting MPP enrollees are,
- or the one area is South Texas. Two, one of the
- things we have seen is that many of the individuals
- that are enrolled in the MPP program claim protected
- 16 status, whether they are part of a protected group.
- 17 And so once that happens, they are no longer -- or
- they're removed from the MPP program or process.
- 19 Q. Okay. Any other factors?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. We talked before about expedited removal.
- Which agency is primarily responsible for doing the

- 1 credible fears -- credible fear interviews of
- 2 non-citizens in expedited removal?
- 3 A. So that responsibility falls to U.S. CIS,
- 4 Citizenship and Immigration Services. They have
- 5 asylum officers that are assigned to conduct these
- 6 credible fear claims or CF claims.
- 7 Q. Have there been border surges under other
- 8 administrations?
- 9 A. I've been a Border Patrol agent for 31
- 10 years and I've been chasing traffic since 1996. I
- 11 have worked in South Texas in '96. In '98, I worked
- 12 in Southern California when traffic surged there. In
- 2000, I worked in Arizona when traffic surged there,
- 14 and then I was the deputy chief or acting chief under
- the 2014 UAC crisis and the 2019 family unit surge,
- and so yeah, there have been surges throughout my
- 17 career.
- Q. Were there any surges under the previous
- 19 Trump administration?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. I believe you also said before, and also,
- 22 please correct the record if I'm wrong on this, that

- the border crisis is making things unsafe for
- 2 Americans and aliens alike. Do you remember saying
- 3 that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And why is that?
- 6 A. Well, what typically happens is the
- 7 criminal organizations use the migrant populations as
- 8 pawns in this effort. They place them in harm's way,
- 9 as we saw last month when 53 migrants perished in the
- 10 back of a tractor trailer. They promise and provide
- information that is inaccurate, and quite often, that
- 12 puts them in peril.
- And then what we also see is that, you
- 14 know, these criminal organizations are putting these
- 15 border communities in danger because they are hiring
- smugglers and traffickers, and quite often we see
- 17 these second effects in the -- manifest themselves in
- stash houses in neighborhoods. We see, you know,
- damage to property, ranchers and farmers or, you
- know, having to repair fences and, you know,
- 21 accommodate for livestock that are lost when these
- smugglers drive through their property, so -- and

```
242
    then you have these smugglers that are just -- you
2
    know, have little regard for the safety of the
    community out there.
3
4
               I'd like to go back to what I believe is
        Q.
    marked as Exhibit 6 briefly. It's this document
5
    that's top line points. Is it all right if I have
6
7
    the witness look at my copy?
               MR. GUARD: That'll speed things up.
               MR. DARROW: Yeah, here you go.
10
               MR. GUARD: That's fine.
11
               THE WITNESS: Sorry.
12
               BY MR. DARROW:
13
               It's okay. I opened it to the second page
         Ο.
14
15
        Α.
               All right.
16
               -- so we're all looking at the same --
        Q.
17
    there is there at the top a list of bullet points
18
    with push-pull factors that we spoke about before.
19
    Do you recall that?
20
        Α.
               Yes, I do.
21
        0.
               Are there any push-pull factors that you
22
    have experienced that are not included in that list?
```

- 1 A. Yeah, one of the things that, you know,
- that we were just talking about is the criminal
- organizations or the transnational criminal
- 4 organizations that are reaping all kinds of benefits
- 5 from migration and the surges that we're experiencing
- f right now, both from a -- the fees that they charge
- 7 the migrants that they're trafficking, and the fact
- 8 that they continue to flood the border area with, you
- 9 know, narcotics and whatnot.
- 10 So those are the criminal organizations'
- ability to drive migration, not just in and along the
- border area, but you know, in specific locations.
- 13 Cartel violence occurs south of many of our sectors,
- 14 and as we've seen acts of violence perpetrated
- against our officers quite often. We've had more
- agents assaulted this year than we ever have, and we
- 17 continue to see increase in firearm seizures. So
- 18 certainly the criminal organizations drive, you know,
- these migrant populations because it's a billion
- dollar business for them.
- 21 Previously cartels focused principally on
- 22 narcotics trafficking. Now based upon some of the

- information that we receive from our intelligence
- 2 sources, it isn't uncommon for us to see these plaza
- bosses or cartel bosses reap as much proceeds from
- 4 immigration smuggling as they do from narcotics
- 5 trafficking.
- 6 Q. So among the factors, the ones listed on
- 7 the page and the ones that you just enumerated, and
- 8 feel free to review them further if you need to,
- 9 would you say that some factors are more significant
- than others in -- in pushing as a push/pull factor?
- 11 A. Yes, most definitely. I think almost every
- 12 single one of the migrants that we encounter are
- being driven by economic opportunities that exist or
- 14 the lack of economic opportunities in their home
- 15 country, and so that certainly is a factor that is
- 16 considered. I track the remittances that occur
- 17 between the U.S. and Central America and Mexico, and
- what is an indicator as to what type of migration
- 19 trends we may begin to see, and what I've seen over
- the last several years is an uptick in those
- 21 remittances.
- 22 And so this is certainly in a COVID global

- 1 environment, and lack of opportunities in some of
- these countries is certainly pushing migrant -- these
- migrant populations to an area where, you know, our
- 4 medical care and facilities are much better than what
- 5 they may be experiencing in Central America, South
- 6 America. You see the political unrest that's
- occurring in Peru and Venezuela and some of the other
- 8 countries is a significant driver of the population
- 9 into the U.S.
- 10 Q. All right, we don't need to look at that
- exhibit anymore. How has Border Patrol's processing
- 12 capacity changed since January 20th, 2021?
- 13 A. One of the things that question have been
- 14 able to invest in considerably is our ability to
- 15 process the migrant populations much more
- 16 efficiently. We have made some significant
- 17 investments in our ability to begin the process much
- 18 sooner. We have and are currently working on an
- 19 electronic A file. Traditionally Border Patrol, when
- 20 they would issue an A file, everything was done via
- 21 computer and printed out and signed and stored in a
- 22 paper file system.

| 1 | We are rolling out our electronic A file |
|----|--|
| 2 | system across the country. We are working on our |
| 3 | unified processing module, which will allow us to |
| 4 | transfer information from us to the other component |
| 5 | agencies to include CIS and ICE, Immigration and |
| 6 | Customs Enforcement. We are able to take biometrics |
| 7 | in the field and determine immediately whether |
| 8 | somebody has been apprehended previously, whether |
| 9 | they have a criminal record, and whether they are a |
| 10 | threat to our national security or to our officers. |
| 11 | So what we've been able to do is speed up |
| 12 | the processing in some locations by as much as, you |
| 13 | know, half, or cut the processing times in half in |
| 14 | many locations, and this is you know, we do not |
| 15 | have unfortunately mobile devices in every Border |
| 16 | Patrol agent's hands, but we're working on |
| 17 | prioritizing that in those sectors that are seeing |
| 18 | the highest increases of flow. Yuma's a hundred |
| 19 | percent conducting mobile intake, and our plan is to |
| 20 | roll it out to Del Rio and Rio Grande Valley, and |
| 21 | then continue to expand that across both the |
| 22 | southwest border and the northern border. |

- So on top of being able to make some
- investments in some of our processing centers, to
- include the one I mentioned earlier, the Ursula
- 4 facility, which has the ability to house about a
- 5 thousand people in a hardened structure, we continue
- 6 to also update and modernize our processing programs
- because they certainly were in need of improvement.
- 8 Q. And what impact does -- does cutting
- 9 processing time have on the immigration process as a
- 10 whole?
- 11 A. Well, one, it's going to make it more
- efficient, and two, it allows us to segregate the no-
- 13 threat humanitarian asylum seeker population from
- 14 what would -- what I would consider my normal or
- traditional border security work, which are those
- migrants that are seeking to come to the U.S. for
- 17 strictly economic reasons and would not, you know, be
- eligible for some sort of immigration benefit based
- upon, you know, humanitarian or political conditions.
- 20 MR. DARROW: All right. Thank you very
- 21 much, Chief. That's it for us.
- 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

```
248
               BY MR. GUARD:
         Q.
               Okay, just a couple follow-ups. You were
3
     just asked about MPP. That program was suspended
4
     after the Biden administration took over, correct?
         A.
               Yes.
               All right, and then it had to be restarted
         0.
7
     as a result of a court order; is that correct?
8
         A.
               Yes.
9
               Okay, and so the fact that it's only
         Q.
10
     available in one sector reflects its suspension and
11
     the court order, right?
12
               MR. DARROW: Objection.
13
         Α.
               Yes.
14
         0.
               Okay. You mention the protected group.
15
     Can you -- what is -- what --
16
         Α.
               LGBQ2 -- QT.
17
         Q.
               Okay.
18
         Α.
               Yeah.
19
         Q.
               Okay.
20
         A.
               Sorry.
21
         Q.
               I just wanted to make sure I understood
22
    what that was.
```

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then finally -- well, I may have two
- 3 questions. You mentioned that you had been chasing
- 4 traffic since you got on the job and had seen
- 5 previous surges, right? Have you ever seen traffic
- 6 like this before?
- 7 A. Yes, in -- let me explain. In 1991, when I
- 8 started, we had about 4,000 Border Patrol agents, and
- 9 the amount of apprehensions didn't even come close to
- 10 the amount of people that got away from us. There --
- 11 I mean, we couldn't even count how many people got
- away from us. So I can tell you that, you know,
- those first couple of years in my career weren't even
- 14 close, and that was -- and I was only one sector. I
- didn't have the national perspective, but I'm sure
- that played out in multiple sectors.
- 17 Two, the population that we're experiencing
- 18 now is a little bit different than what we've
- 19 experienced in other surges. When I was assigned to
- 20 South Texas in '96, Arizona in 2000, Southern
- 21 California in '98, during those surges, that
- 22 population wasn't turning themselves in to our Border

- 1 Patrol agents. They were all trying to evade
- 2 apprehension. What we're experiencing now,
- 3 specifically in Yuma, Del Rio, and to some degree Rio
- 4 Grande Valley is, you know, 75 percent of that
- 5 population is turning themselves in, and then roughly
- 6 25 or maybe a little bit more than that are actually
- 7 trying to evade apprehension.
- 8 This isn't a matter of us not having the
- 9 ability to encounter those groups. This is when you
- break down the 1.8 million apprehensions that we've
- 11 already made so far this year, I would imagine quite
- 12 a few of those large groups are this no-threat
- 13 humanitarian population that, you know, should be
- 14 processed at a port of entry.
- 15 Q. Are the individuals that are turning
- 16 themselves in -- are they turning themselves in
- 17 because they believe they're going to be paroled?
- MR. DARROW: Objection.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I would imagine that they're
- 20 turning themselves in because they think they're
- 21 going to be released, yes.
- MR. GUARD: Okay, all right. I don't think

```
251
    I have any further questions. Do you want to explain
2
    read versus waive? Or I guess by -- I guess if you
3
    can have follow-ups.
4
              MR. DARROW: No, no, no, that -- yeah,
5
    we're going to -- we're going to read.
6
               MR. GUARD:
                           Okay, all right.
7
              MR. DARROW: And could we also request
    scans of the marketed exhibits for the witness?
8
9
              MR. GUARD: Sure, I'm going to have her
    make electronic copies of them.
10
11
              MR. DARROW: Okay.
12
              MR. GUARD: So I'm -- I'm fine with that
13
    because that's easier for me too.
14
              MR. DARROW: Okay, great.
15
              MR. GUARD: All right. We're going to go
16
    off the record and then back on for the corporate rep
17
    deposition, which is going to be extremely short.
18
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's
19
    deposition. We're now off the record at 16:44.
20
               (Whereupon, at 4:44 p.m., the taking of the
21
    instant deposition ceased.)
22
```

| | | 252 | | | |
|----|---|-----|--|--|--|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT | | | | |
| 2 | I have read and examined the foregoing | | | | |
| 3 | pages and find the answers contained therein with | | | | |
| 4 | changes made by me, if any, to be true and correct. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | Signature of the Witness | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | Subscribed and sworn to before me this | | | | |
| 10 | day of, 20 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | Notary Public in and for | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | My Commission Expires | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

253 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 ss: 3 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA 4 I, KAREN C. YOUNG, a Notary Public within 5 and for the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby certify that the witness whose deposition is 6 7 hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. 10 I further certify that I am not related to 11 any of the parties to this action by blood or 12 marriage and that I am in no way interested in the 13 outcome of this matter. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 hand this 4th day of August, 2022. 16 Knen your 17 18 19 20 My commission expires: 21 June 30, 2026 22 Registration No. 7046852

| l ———————————————————————————————————— | 106:8 151:13 | 64:6 | 240:19 248:4 | 125:16 136:8 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| A | 162:7 168:19 | Ada 55:10 | administrati | 143:9 165:10 |
| a.m 1:20 6:11 | 219:13,20 | added 195:21 | 240:8 | 221:10 |
| 68:20,21 | • | | administrative | 223:19 224:3 |
| 119:9 | acceptable | 225:1 | | |
| abbreviate | 231:14 | addition | 5:14,15 | 224:16 225:1 |
| 13:22 | accepting | 111:16 | 195:18,22 | 229:4,8 |
| abbreviations | 106:5 220:1 | 139:11 | 197:2 214:18 | 230:6 |
| 13:21 | 239:12 | additional | 217:14 | advised 156:4 |
| ability 10:5 | access 141:5 | 30:6,11 | 237:16 | advising |
| 93:11 94:9 | accommodate | 121:2 148:20 | admissibility | 157:22 |
| 117:21 | 230:22 | 195:15,21 | 194:5 | advisor 19:11 |
| 120:20 | 241:21 | 227:16 | admissible | affect 133:21 |
| 121:15,19 | accompanied | 232:11,12 | 75:11 174:4 | 172:18 |
| 145:16,17 | 192:1 | additions | admission | 180:21 |
| 172:22,22 | accomplish | 128:1 | 78:7 98:22 | Afghanistan |
| 173:3 243:11 | 96:14 | address 7:14 | 112:21 | 15:12 19:9 |
| 245:14,17 | account | 143:12 | 192:11 | 19:12 21:6 |
| 247:4 250:9 | 209:12 | 144:22 | admissions | age 30:16,16 |
| able 21:11 | accountability | 145:12 | 14:5 | agencies |
| 30:18 45:6 | 206:13 209:6 | 146:13 147:1 | admit 67:1 | 21:13 28:7 |
| 60:3 65:7 | accountable | addresses | admitted | 134:1 187:21 |
| 94:2 116:18 | 209:20 210:3 | 145:6 149:6 | 26:20 27:3,7 | 191:22 246:5 |
| 120:20,21 | accurate 34:9 | 149:8 | 77:7 | agency 14:19 |
| 147:5 149:1 | 67:9 | adds 184:16 | ADP 125:6,9 | 17:20 120:18 |
| 158:5 168:12 | acronym | adjutant 12:22 | 125:10,14,20 | 239:22 |
| 173:14,16 | 125:11 | 13:2 | 126:10 130:8 | agent 16:8 |
| 198:1 230:2 | acronyms | administrati | 131:4,17 | 18:22,22 |
| 245:14 246:6 | 184:2,3 | 50:7,15 | 134:11 | 19:3,5 24:15 |
| 246:11 247:1 | act 36:12,15 | 58:16 70:3 | 135:10 136:5 | 58:8 59:3 |
| above-entitled | 75:19 76:11 | 70:12,15 | 139:6,8,12 | 74:13,15 |
| 1:17 | 77:2 191:14 | 71:10 95:20 | adult 125:20 | 75:6,10,15 |
| abscond 143:4 | acting 60:19 | 96:8 99:10 | 130:8 131:21 | 81:7 85:11 |
| absconded | 61:7 154:19 | 109:1,17 | 134:10 | 89:21 105:14 |
| 142:9,15,22 | 160:6 169:21 | 120:10 | 135:10 136:6 | 112:10 240:9 |
| absentia 114:5 | 214:6 240:14 | 124:20 125:1 | 139:8,12 | agent's 246:16 |
| absurd 168:13 | action 12:1,5 | 140:5 159:5 | adults 30:8,22 | agents 4:6 |
| ACA 184:1,6 | 99:10 253:11 | 163:21 164:3 | 31:20 32:5,9 | 16:1 20:15 |
| 188:15 | actions 48:18 | 173:13,16 | 32:15 92:12 | 24:9 26:6 |
| academy | 50:12,20 | 174:1,8,9 | 102:5 103:21 | 30:17 77:17 |
| 15:15,18,20 | active 16:2 | 175:6,7 | 114:18 115:4 | 86:13 89:10 |
| · · · | acts 243:14 | 176:2,15 | 115:10 116:2 | 89:15,21 |
| 16:8 20:7 | actual 75:2 | 180:2 189:7 | 116:12,15 | 90:3,7 109:6 |
| 30:18 | 155:21 | 189:19 233:4 | 117:2 118:10 | 111:17 |
| accept 40:10 | Acuna 63:21 | 233:9,12,13 | 118:18,22 | 150:10 155:3 |
| 45:12,17 | | | | .555 .55.6 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| |
[|
[|
[|
[|
|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 174:3,12 | 85:11,17 | 145:18 | 123:6 162:10 | 111:7 113:6 |
| 175:9 197:10 | 86:9 87:9,12 | 149:11 150:1 | 166:3 232:8 | 113:19 114:1 |
| 197:10,12 | 87:17,22 | 173:15,17 | 249:9,10 | 114:2 144:18 |
| 200:1,2,4,15 | 88:9,13,21 | 174:3,10 | amounts | 145:9 162:16 |
| 204:17 | 89:2 91:3 | 175:8 183:13 | 46:13 | 163:12 |
| 205:14 208:5 | 92:21 93:5 | 185:21 189:8 | Analysis 5:3 | 165:22 185:3 |
| 211:8 223:20 | 105:19 | 189:18 | and/or 152:18 | 188:4 189:2 |
| 230:16 | 113:22 | 191:15 | anecdotal | 189:9,16,17 |
| 243:16 249:8 | 130:22 | 199:10 | 149:10 | 204:8,11 |
| 250:1 | 141:14 145:6 | 207:12,18 | Angeles 29:15 | APPEARAN |
| ago 16:16 | 147:14,15 | 232:1 233:19 | Anita 2:4 7:1 | 2:1 |
| 43:14 130:10 | 163:12,16 | 241:2 | Anita.Patel | appears 34:12 |
| agree 30:5 | 164:7 165:14 | alike 53:11 | 2:8 | 47:16 123:20 |
| 36:11,18 | 185:7 191:15 | 241:2 | ankle 209:9 | 124:12,16 |
| 40:4,18,22 | 192:4 | allow 96:17 | annotate | 129:5 130:11 |
| 41:5,10,14 | aliens 27:11 | 145:20 | 222:7 | 131:6 132:6 |
| 41:14 44:6 | 40:5,10,15 | 178:19 246:3 | answer 8:22 | 157:16 |
| 44:11 52:22 | 41:1,6,11,16 | allowed 67:20 | 9:6,7,12 | 158:15 171:1 |
| 56:18 67:22 | 41:21 42:13 | 135:16,17 | 24:19 25:11 | 184:21 |
| 86:1 148:9 | 45:13,17,18 | 209:11 | 93:14 102:10 | 188:18 |
| 150:16 | 46:2 53:11 | allowing 96:14 | 105:18 | 189:22 193:9 |
| 200:18,20 | 53:19 54:2 | allows 92:2,8 | 107:20 147:5 | 193:14 |
| 201:6 | 56:18 58:16 | 98:21 99:4 | 149:3 155:9 | 222:14 |
| agreement | 59:3,8,13 | 113:2,3 | 155:14 | 226:18 |
| 74:22 82:1,5 | 60:1 65:2 | 208:13 | 160:17 | apple 204:18 |
| agreements | 68:1 74:11 | 247:12 | 175:11 | application |
| 184:7 | 77:6 80:6,12 | alternate | 203:20 | 78:6,9,14 |
| agrees 40:1,9 | 84:4 85:7 | 141:22 142:2 | answer's | 87:13 112:21 |
| agricultural | 86:15,19 | alternative | 32:19 | applies 194:3 |
| 38:4 | 87:5 95:7 | 140:10 142:9 | answers 252:3 | 194:4 229:3 |
| ahead 9:6 47:3 | 97:13 98:22 | 212:12 | anybody 25:19 | appointee |
| 182:1 | 99:5 100:20 | amenable | 1 - | 37:11,17,18 |
| airports 35:5 | 103:1 107:12 | 105:16 | anymore
245:11 | appointees |
| 38:5 194:4 | 108:22 | 170:16 | anyway 174:16 | 37:8 |
| al 1:10 6:6 | 108.22 | 171:14 173:8 | apart 31:19 | appreciate |
| alcohol 10:4 | 111:10 | America 1:9 | 220:20 | 16:7 122:8 |
| alien 25:1,5 | 113:11,12 | 6:6 57:9 | apologize | 122:21 |
| II , | 121:10 127:8 | | 12:11 79:1 | 135:18 164:5 |
| 26:18 27:1,2 | | 63:13,17 | 98:18 102:20 | |
| 27:5 74:14 | 131:1 137:9 | 244:17 245:5 | | 183:20 |
| 74:15 75:3,7 | 140:5,6,6 | 245:6 253:1 | 198:6 214:4 | 200:18 232:9 |
| 75:10,16 | 141:19,20 | Americans | 237:7 | apprehended |
| 78:13 79:12 | 142:14,22 | 53:11 212:9 | appear 55:5 | 45:22 246:8 |
| 79:15 81:10 | 143:4,7 | 241:2 | 74:19 110:15 | apprehending |
| 81:12 82:17 | 144:16 | amount 23:21 | 110:19 111:1 | 148:12 |
| | | | l | |
| - | | | | |

3

| - | | | | 3 |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | 1 | | 1 | |
| 161:15 | 223:6,18 | 160:13,14 | 84:5,8 85:1,8 | 222:15,17 |
| 232:21 | area 32:14 | 162:14 165:3 | 85:11 86:2 | 223:13 224:2 |
| apprehension | 80:18 145:18 | 169:16 176:6 | 86:20 87:8 | 224:12 |
| 212:21 250:2 | 175:15 | 209:3,4 | 87:13,16 | 253:15 |
| 250:7 | 239:13 243:8 | 233:9 | 88:9,22 | authorities |
| apprehensio | 243:12 245:3 | asks 88:13 | 95:16 107:10 | 105:2 |
| 48:12 131:1 | areas 93:20 | assaulted | 184:4,5,6 | authority |
| 183:9 185:16 | 94:10 173:4 | 243:16 | 187:11 | 17:14 74:19 |
| 249:9 250:10 | Arizona | assertion | 205:14,17,17 | 77:17 99:9 |
| approach | 202:14 | 234:22 235:2 | 205:19 240:5 | 100:6 |
| 23:17 211:19 | 230:21 | assessment | 247:13 | authorization |
| appropriate | 240:13 | 65:7 | ATD 14:11 | 163:11 |
| 75:15 192:4 | 249:20 | assigned 15:3 | 113:16 | authorize 46:1 |
| appropriated | Arlington 1:13 | 15:8 20:16 | 114:14,16,21 | authorized |
| 137:5 | 1:20 6:10 | 21:6 211:4 | 115:3,11 | 118:2 126:2 |
| appropriately | arrangements | 230:16,16 | 117:2,12,16 | 136:14 137:5 |
| 21:12 | 207:21 | 240:5 249:19 | 118:3,11 | authorizes |
| appropriates | arrest 74:20 | assistant 19:3 | 119:1 140:2 | 45:17,21 |
| 130:19 | 110:18,19 | 19:8 198:14 | 140:4 143:8 | automatically |
| appropriators | 111:6,9 | 218:18 | 146:17,21 | 231:1 |
| 132:9 | arrivals 132:10 | associate | 147:10,19 | availability |
| approval | arrived 55:2 | 198:11 | 196:2 204:17 | 109:10 |
| 22:22 23:5 | 65:5 | associated | 205:5,11,13 | available |
| approve 117:1 | arriving 95:7 | 143:11 | 206:2,8,12 | 47:15 49:13 |
| approved 23:4 | arrow 46:7,9 | associates | 210:13 212:7 | 110:7 122:10 |
| 114:18 117:4 | 46:14 | 118:12 | 228:12 231:2 | 157:5 231:3 |
| 117:7,12,15 | articles 180:16 | assume 9:1 | 231:4,7 | 248:10 |
| approximate | 180:21 | 16:14 64:22 | ATDP 229:17 | Avenue 7:16 |
| 164:5 | ascertain 65:8 | 74:1,10 | ATDs 116:15 | avenues |
| approximately | aside 60:6 | 103:16 109:4 | attache 15:4,7 | 145:13 |
| 16:20 18:16 | 132:22 169:4 | 126:1 140:16 | 15:11 19:12 | average 24:14 |
| 19:17,19 | 180:15 190:2 | 167:19 181:2 | attended | 126:7,9,17 |
| 64:14 104:16 | 193:15 | 214:20 | 200:5 201:7 | 131:10 162:2 |
| 118:22 163:6 | 194:12 | 215:14 216:1 | attention | 212:17,17 |
| 163:18 | 225:12 | 216:10 | 195:17 | 216:14 |
| April 195:15 | 237:13 238:6 | assumed | 196:10 | 232:10 |
| AR 195:2,2 | asked 8:15 | 141:8 150:8 | Attorney 2:5 | averages |
| 196:11 197:5 | 198:5,11 | assuming 82:4 | 6:17 | 211:21 |
| 197:6 206:11 | 236:5 248:3 | 168:16 | attorneys 6:12 | avoid 9:22 |
| 212:6 217:19 | asking 11:1 | assumption | audible 8:9,16 | 166:6 |
| 218:5,15 | 69:4 102:13 | 172:6 | August 16:15 | aware 29:20 |
| 219:14 | 108:6 127:14 | asylum 81:19 | 215:8,8,8 | 53:18 71:19 |
| 221:13,16 | 127:18 146:5 | 82:18 83:1 | 218:16 | 108:8 197:1 |
| 222:10,11,16 | 153:14 | 83:18,22 | 221:17 | awful 13:15 |
| , , | | • | | |
| | 1 | | I | 1 |

| 4 |
|---|
| Λ |
| - |

| 21:14 | 220:10 | 231:19,19,22 | better 22:10 | 151:22 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| awfully 25:13 | 229:13 | began 65:20 | 71:14 147:9 | 153:13 162:6 |
| awidily 25.15 | bar 98:22 | 163:19 | 147:14 | 169:17 170:1 |
| В | 103:15 | beginning | 178:21 204:3 | 170:6 |
| b 4:1 77:15 | Barker 5:5,6 | 105:11 | 245:4 | blood 253:11 |
| 78:20,22 | 12:7,9 160:1 | 202:21 | Biden 4:13 | BLUF 219:3 |
| 79:1 87:2,2 | 160:8 161:5 | 211:11 | 50:7,14 51:1 | border 4:5,10 |
| 87:15 88:15 | 161:21 162:4 | | 51:9 58:15 | 4:11 14:15 |
| 88:17,17,17 | | begins 6:3
84:9 223:20 | | 14:19 15:1,5 |
| 95:5 | 162:14,18
167:13 | | 59:1,12,18 | , |
| B-L-U-F 219:3 | 168:11 | begun 63:17 | 59:22 68:6
71:9 72:17 | 15:14,17 |
| back 15:5 | | behalf 2:2,10 | | 16:1,10,12 |
| 19:12 39:1 | 169:18 226:5 | 7:3,5,7,9 | 95:20 108:22 | 16:19 17:1,1 |
| 40:5 53:14 | 226:6,7,8,10 | behave 9:19 | 152:11 159:5 | 17:4,5,7,10 |
| 55:15 63:7 | Barker's 170:7 | behavior 26:3 | 163:21 174:8 | 17:15,18,22 |
| 68:22 85:3 | 170:20 | beings 9:19 | 175:5 176:2 | 18:8,21 19:4 |
| 87:1 95:4 | 226:14 | believe 13:14 | 176:15 180:2 | 20:6,12,15 |
| 99:15 107:15 | barring 230:5 | 14:10 15:20 | 189:19 233:4 | 21:2,10,21 |
| 108:14 115:5 | based 90:13 | 26:17,22 | 233:13 248:4 | 22:1,9 24:8 |
| 118:10 | 92:21 93:5 | 27:5 35:10 | Biden's 68:7 | 24:15,21 |
| 119:11 | 148:4 159:9 | 72:9 76:10 | 69:5 | 25:3,7,8,15 |
| 120:14 | 163:13 174:6 | 92:13 100:5 | big 79:1,7 | 25:19,22 |
| 120.14 | 184:14 | 107:1,22 | 87:15,15 | 26:2,6 27:12 |
| | 223:17 | 108:20 113:6 | 94:4 95:5 | 27:15,20,22 |
| 131:6 151:21 | 228:12,15 | 120:14 135:5 | 128:20 | 28:8 29:7 |
| 152:1 154:9 | 235:3 243:22 | 137:4 143:3 | billion 16:21 | 30:6,12,16 |
| 159:11 | 247:18 | 166:5 171:10 | 243:19 | 31:10,18 |
| 162:13 165:4 | basically | 172:1 179:3 | binding 13:8 | 32:2,8,22 |
| 167:8,11 | 144:21 | 181:8 187:1 | biographical | 33:4,9,14 |
| 168:8 175:1 | 156:11 | 187:8 188:22 | 80:1 103:5 | 34:13,16,19 |
| 175:4 177:9 | basis 100:8,18 | 190:10 193:7 | 103:17 145:5 | 35:1,3,8 36:1 |
| 187:10 188:3 | 174:6 202:7 | 198:15 200:2 | 207:3,5,15 | 36:6,8,9,10 |
| 195:9 217:19 | 230:14 | 205:8 214:5 | biometrics | 36:11,19 |
| 238:9,15 | Bataan 9:10 | 220:3 225:14 | 103:5,10,12 | 37:13,20 |
| 241:10 242:4 | bed 122:2 | 226:5 227:2 | 246:6 | 38:11 39:13 |
| 251:16 | 130:8 131:16 | 227:22 238:3 | bit 92:2 114:15 | 39:16,19 |
| backwards | 131:21 | 239:2 240:21 | 164:18 165:6 | 40:2,9,14,15 |
| 18:18 | 158:12 | 242:4 250:17 | 205:13 | 40:19 41:2 |
| bad 114:12 | beds 66:8,16 | believes 74:14 | 235:13 | 41:11,15 |
| 210:3 | 72:3,6,7 | Ben 2:13 | 249:18 250:6 | 42:7 43:17 |
| bag 112:6,15 | 122:10,12 | Bend 94:4 | blacked 102:2 | 44:1,13,17 |
| 220:10 | 125:20,21 | benefit 228:13 | 102:9 153:7 | 46:13,20 |
| 229:13 | 131:22 136:9 | 247:18 | 214:21 | 47:20 48:2 |
| baggage 112:6 | 137:11 | benefits 243:4 | 234:21 | 48:12 50:8 |
| 112:15 | 139:14 | best 72:19 | blocked | 50:17 51:13 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 5 |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| 51:19 52:5
52:19 53:1,5
53:10,10
54:13 55:2
58:8 59:2,9
59:17 62:8
67:8 71:16
72:1 74:7,12
74:12,14,18
75:6,9,14
77:17 78:12
79:10 80:7,7
80:13,18
81:7 84:5,8
85:3 86:13
86:19 89:9
89:14,20
90:3,7 91:12
93:21 94:17
96:13 101:20
105:14 106:5
108:17,22
109:1,5
111:16
118:12
119:15,18,20
120:2 122:11
124:6 128:2
128:4,11
130:21
133:21,22
137:9 138:8
138:17
139:19 141:9
142:13 143:3
143:7 144:10
145:1 4 16 | 160:2,5,20 160:21 161:22 163:3 163:10,11 164:12 168:2 171:14 172:3 174:11 175:9 175:20 176:10,13,17 183:4,9 185:16,16 187:15 190:16,22 192:15 197:13 199:13,18,21 200:17 201:17 204:17 204:17 205:14 207:11 208:5 211:8 214:1 215:1,1 220:16 227:22 228:16 229:19 230:18 232:16 233:20,20 235:19 240:7 240:9 241:1 241:15 243:8 243:12 245:11,19 246:15,22,22 247:15 249:8 | 110:12 112:19 125:5 129:15 131:16 132:8 178:13 185:14,15 219:4 234:7 235:17 236:16 Boulevard 1:19 6:9 box 2:13 103:9 106:3 bracelets 209:10 break 9:11 10:15 68:11 68:11 69:4 119:4,7 174:15 238:6 250:10 breaks 9:13,13 bridge 61:15 63:16 65:3,6 brief 4:18,22 5:1 123:19 131:20 133:9 138:4,20 briefly 177:10 242:5 bring 11:19 120:12 broader 146:20 178:20 broke 119:14 broken 46:6 9 | 123:20 124:1 124:14,18,22 125:8 127:15 128:1,5,10 129:3 130:9 131:20 133:9 134:9 135:22 136:8,19,20 138:4,20 139:5,9,12 139:20 202:22 203:2 231:17 budgetary 20:20 210:9 budgets 141:18 build 234:2 bullet 54:20 55:9,16,18 57:5,5 58:3 71:5 219:11 219:17 220:5 220:13,14 242:17 bunch 13:20 102:14 167:15 bused 65:22 buses 63:21 busier 168:3 business 7:14 243:20 busy 236:4 C C C 3:1 6:1 79:7 | 140:13 177:3 198:11 226:6 226:6,10 called 1:16 7:16 95:11 109:10 144:7 187:19 226:8 236:14 calls 160:11 233:6 camp 96:18 187:9,9,9 camps 187:19 Canadian 38:14 cancelled 63:8 63:9 64:11 Candidate 68:7 69:5 capacity 10:10 10:13,15 16:10 32:10 32:22 33:9 44:13,16 71:20 72:5 117:18 119:17,20 120:2,16 121:21 122:5 122:6 126:9 130:20 132:11 139:21 140:2 154:19 168:21 192:8 210:7 228:8 |
| 128:4,11
130:21
133:21,22
137:9 138:8
138:17 | 232:16
233:20,20
235:19 240:7
240:9 241:1
241:15 243:8 | briefly 177:10
242:5
bring 11:19
120:12
broader | bused 65:22
buses 63:21
busier 168:3
business 7:14
243:20 | 121:21 122:5
122:6 126:9
130:20
132:11
139:21 140:2 |
| | · · | | C 3:1 6:1 79:7
95:5,6 253:4
calendar 96:5
California | 210:7 228:8
229:21
230:15 232:6
233:2,5,21
245:12 |
| 152:18 153:9
154:13,15
155:3,4
156:3 157:19 | 244:3
bottom 39:4
95:6,8 103:9
106:3,20 | 117:11
budget 4:18
4:20,22 5:1
16:21 123:19 | 18:22 240:12
249:21
call 31:17
75:21 80:20 | Capitol 2:6
caravans
59:17,20
care 9:7 30:14 |

| | | | | 6 |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 121:15,17 | 54:1,13 56:1 | 106:4 114:17 | 158:3,4 | 17:22 18:4,7 |
| 245:4 | 114:18 124:4 | 117:17 118:2 | 159:2 | 18:9,14 19:8 |
| career 22:2 | 124:5 129:11 | 118:3 163:13 | changed | 19:14,17 |
| 27:10,21 | 133:22 134:3 | 211:21 | 164:18,21 | 20:1,4,4,8 |
| 37:8,10,12 | 138:14 146:3 | 220:10 | 221:18 | 21:7 22:1 |
| 37:16 240:17 | 163:10 171:4 | 229:22 230:2 | 245:12 | 23:4 25:7 |
| 249:13 | | 231:6 | | 37:20 40:18 |
| cargo 38:19 | 182:14,17
194:3 | certainly 17:14 | changes
127:22 | 40:22 41:5 |
| Caribbean | CBP's 47:15 | 23:17 25:12 | 128:10 | |
| 149:16 | 49:13 163:11 | 32:13 38:6 | 133:20 | 41:10,14,20 |
| | | | | 43:6 44:6,11 |
| cartel 243:13 | cc 169:16 | 38:12 93:12 | 152:15 | 53:18 54:1 |
| 244:3 | cc-ing 167:14 | 95:2 148:14 | 174:10 175:7 | 67:9,22 69:3 |
| cartels 243:21 | CD 74:9 | 157:2 201:14 | 176:1,13,20 | 70:8 72:1 |
| carve 31:5 | CDC 104:8 | 201:19 | 180:15 | 74:8 86:1 |
| 32:16 | 237:17 | 243:18 | 181:11 | 89:9 90:22 |
| case 1:8 6:6,8 | CE 5:7 | 244:15,22 | 210:14 252:4 | 92:14 108:17 |
| 71:6 72:20 | cease 33:13 | 245:2 247:7 | changing | 114:18 122:8 |
| 102:18 | 163:5 | CERTIFICATE | 158:1 | 123:15 128:4 |
| 120:20 144:6 | ceased 251:21 | 252:1 | characteristi | 128:7,8 |
| 221:9 | cell 210:8 | Certified 5:14 | 115:12,16 | 133:13,13 |
| case-by-case | cells 32:13 | certify 253:6 | 116:11,14 | 138:7 144:10 |
| 100:7,18 | center 31:4 | 253:10 | charge 19:3,5 | 151:17 152:1 |
| 230:14 | 84:21 85:4 | CF 240:6 | 188:2 197:12 | 153:2,4,8 |
| cases 30:15 | 120:8 158:19 | chain 24:12 | 243:6 | 154:10,12,14 |
| catch 141:10 | centered 21:8 | 153:1,3 | chart 4:3,4 | 154:20,20 |
| 141:12,14 | 21:16 113:1 | 162:3 | 34:14 179:12 | 155:2 156:2 |
| categories | 171:11 | challenge | 179:16 | 160:2,7,18 |
| 110:13 | 175:16 177:7 | 14:10 | chartering | 160:19,19,20 |
| categorized | centers 66:3 | challenged | 63:21 | 161:2,6 |
| 164:15 | 69:18 122:3 | 121:18 | charts 188:13 | 166:21 |
| category | 122:15 156:6 | 154:18 | chasing | 169:18,21 |
| 163:19 | 156:8,9 | challenges | 240:10 249:3 | 170:5 197:9 |
| 164:13 185:6 | 211:5 247:2 | 23:13 30:6 | check 103:6 | 197:10 |
| 185:22 187:6 | central 57:9 | 30:11 45:9 | 115:5 141:1 | 198:14,16 |
| 187:20 189:3 | 158:2 212:9 | 47:1 106:5 | 146:12,22 | 222:7 226:6 |
| cause 94:17 | 244:17 245:5 | chance 64:9 | 210:16 | 226:7 238:18 |
| 168:21 | centralized | 135:13 146:6 | checks 103:18 | 239:1 240:14 |
| caused 61:6 | 84:21 120:7 | chances | 103:19 | 240:14 |
| CBP 2:17 4:7,8 | Centro 94:4 | 169:13 | chief 4:6 6:17 | 247:21 |
| 34:19,22 | certain 84:19 | change 24:15 | 7:11 8:2,7 | chief's 20:21 |
| 35:8 36:13 | 87:4 93:20 | 24:16 108:9 | 14:8 16:12 | chiefs 4:6 24:7 |
| 37:14 39:10 | 94:9 96:5 | 108:13 | 16:19 17:4,6 | 24:7 44:16 |
| 47:11 48:18 | 97:12,17 | 119:16 130:7 | 17:8,9,11,12 | 177:4,5 |
| 49:9 50:12 | 100:20,21 | 131:15,15 | 17:14,16,16 | 198:11,15 |
| | | | | |
| | - | • | - | • |

| | | | | • |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 040.04.00 | 00 00 407 44 | 470 0 405 40 | 104.40 | 54440740 |
| 210:21,22 | 88:22 107:11 | 172:3 195:16 | 181:12 | 54:1 127:13 |
| 218:19,19 | 184:4 239:15 | 198:1 238:8 | 210:21 225:5 | 133:20 177:2 |
| 228:2 | claiming 83:18 | 247:16 249:9 | communicat | compound |
| chiefs' 177:3 | 83:21 153:18 | comes 203:4 | 177:2 | 170:17 173:9 |
| child 70:7 | claims 82:18 | comfort 31:18 | communicat | computer |
| 221:9 | 85:11 86:2,6 | coming 59:8 | 210:22 | 245:21 |
| children 30:16 | 86:20 102:12 | 94:16 146:1 | communities | concerned |
| 30:19,21 | 240:6,6 | 207:4 | 44:4 45:1,22 | 165:17 |
| 31:6,10,11 | clarification | comma 219:1 | 187:16 | concerning |
| 32:17 55:2 | 50:1 154:3 | command | 199:17,18 | 148:14 |
| 69:17 70:10 | 160:16 | 24:12 89:11 | 200:7,16 | concerns |
| 70:18,20 | clarified 108:5 | 89:17 | 201:14 202:5 | 200:9 |
| 72:18 73:11 | class 15:21 | comments | 241:15 | concert 36:12 |
| 73:16 96:12 | 16:2 98:22 | 60:18 61:8 | community | concluded |
| 102:6,7 | 99:9 100:12 | 62:6,14 | 91:19 123:2 | 49:16 |
| 103:11 | 100:14 | commingled | 142:6 201:10 | concludes |
| 147:19 | 230:11 | 32:15 | 201:17 242:3 | 251:18 |
| 186:10 | clear 10:12 | commission | community | conditions |
| choice 234:3 | 24:2 50:6 | 252:17 | 72:20,20 | 91:22 121:7 |
| chose 100:11 | 155:10 | 253:20 | Comparativ | 144:14 |
| chosen 102:21 | climb 83:21 | commissioner | 148:12 | 163:13,14 |
| 215:11 223:1 | Clinton 28:18 | 12:18 37:2,5 | compare | 202:11 220:9 |
| Chris 37:15 | close 126:8 | 37:13 60:19 | 17:12 113:15 | 228:19 |
| Christmas 2:4 | 143:15 249:9 | 60:20 61:7 | 189:15 | 231:14 |
| 6:21,21 | 249:14 | 114:19 | complete | 247:19 |
| circumstanc | closed 45:6 | 213:16 214:6 | 172:16 | condone 26:3 |
| 11:2 22:18 | 191:15 192:2 | 214:7 227:13 | 200:13 | conduct 77:18 |
| 25:16 46:11 | 217:22 | 234:14 | completed | 240:5 |
| 61:6 118:3 | 220:19 | commission | 112:12 120:7 | conducted |
| 173:19 186:2 | closely 21:12 | 20:22 196:1 | completing | 79:2 |
| CIS 205:20 | 38:13 44:21 | commit 98:4 | 205:14 | conducting |
| 240:3 246:5 | coach 135:17 | common | compliant | 25:20 246:19 |
| cit 215:14 | coastal 17:1 | 24:16 115:11 | 202:13 | conducts 75:7 |
| cite 68:1 | 52:21 | 115:15 | component | confer 174:14 |
| cited 56:3,14 | code 97:8 | 116:11,14,19 | 23:1 35:2 | confirm |
| Citizen 205:22 | 120:12 185:8 | 149:10 | 39:3 126:19 | 164:16 |
| citizens 82:3 | codify 72:17 | Commonwe | 127:5,6,7 | congregate |
| 220:1,18 | 73:5,8,19 | 253:3,5 | 129:7,19 | 30:22 204:1 |
| citizenship | college 14:13 | communicate | 152:17 194:3 | Congress |
| 79:12,16 | column 88:18 | 172:22 177:6 | 217:21 246:4 | 73:20 75:21 |
| 80:1 240:4 | 95:6,9 | communicat | components | 76:4 99:8 |
| Ciudad 63:21 | come 23:5,7 | 118:11 | 21:15 22:17 | 100:6,11 |
| claim 84:5,8 | 68:2 154:9 | 174:11 175:8 | 35:7 36:13 | 130:18 132:3 |
| 85:1,2,8 88:9 | 159:11 171:6 | 174.11 175.8 | 36:15 48:19 | 132:9 136:16 |
| 00.1,2,000.9 | 108.111110 | 110.4,1,41 | JU. 13 40. 18 | 132.9 130.10 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

8

| T | | | | 0 |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 407.5 | 1 | l , I | 00.4.0.07.40 | 10501051 |
| 137:5 | 157:4 | controlled | 26:4,8 27:19 | 125:3 127:4 |
| Congressio | contact 81:4 | 112:1 | 27:21 28:19 | 127:9 129:4 |
| 129:1 | 198:13 | controls 123:3 | 29:4,5,8 31:8 | 130:10,14 |
| connection | contain 39:2 | conversation | 31:9,12,13 | 131:5,13,22 |
| 144:6 | contained | 154:1 156:3 | 32:11 35:20 | 132:1 133:10 |
| consecutively | 252:3 | 222:6 | 36:4,7 37:2,3 | 134:6 136:6 |
| 131:8 | contains 48:6 | cookie 23:17 | 39:11 40:11 | 136:7,18 |
| consent 29:10 | 49:18,21 | cooperative | 40:12 43:15 | 138:5,10 |
| 29:12 30:1 | 134:2 235:13 | 184:6 | 47:12,18 | 139:14 140:7 |
| consequence | content | coordinate | 48:10,11,14 | 140:14,15 |
| 95:1 113:22 | 223:17 | 20:10 177:3 | 48:21 49:16 | 142:22 143:1 |
| consequenc | context 46:10 | coordinated | 49:17,19,20 | 144:19,20 |
| 171:17,18,22 | 91:12 129:16 | 17:17 20:19 | 50:12,21 | 145:3,15 |
| 172:3,11,13 | 129:19 | coordinates | 51:17 52:19 | 146:14 147:2 |
| 172:15 | 141:13 | 32:1 | 54:13,21 | 148:6 151:9 |
| consider | contiguous | coordinating | 55:3,4 56:6 | 151:10,18 |
| 91:12,13 | 95:8 | 21:8 28:5 | 56:15 58:4 | 152:15,20 |
| 142:14 154:3 | continuation | 44:2,5 | 61:18 64:3 | 155:14 156:9 |
| 223:20 | 62:16 | coordination | 66:6,21 | 156:14 |
| 231:13 | continue | 17:19 21:14 | 70:13 72:11 | 162:16,17 |
| 247:14 | 25:17 164:19 | 21:17 82:11 | 75:8,13,16 | 164:10 |
| considerably | 206:15 243:8 | 82:13 175:16 | 75:17,22 | 165:16 |
| 245:14 | 243:17 | 176:8,9 | 76:1,8,12 | 166:22 168:4 |
| consideration | 246:21 247:5 | 177:7,8 | 77:7 79:3,5 | 168:7 178:2 |
| 87:13 143:5 | continued | 239:10 | 79:12 82:6 | 179:7,22 |
| 148:16 | 71:14 90:20 | Coordinator | 82:21 85:13 | 180:17 |
| considered | 120:10 | 5:7 | 85:21 86:10 | 182:18 183:4 |
| 17:16 19:2 | continues | copied 151:8 | 88:5,6 89:6 | 183:10,11,15 |
| 56:9 115:22 | 94:11 | 152:5 | 92:4,6 95:17 | 184:19 |
| 143:9 192:3 | continuing | copies 182:10 | 95:21 97:9 | 185:13 186:7 |
| 216:8 217:1 | 42:4 137:3 | 251:10 | 97:10,18 | 186:16,18,22 |
| 219:12 | 188:21 203:1 | copy 33:19,21 | 100:1,2,8 | 188:9 189:10 |
| 230:13 | contracted | 118:17 222:3 | 103:1,12,13 | 189:12,14 |
| 234:14 | 109:21 110:2 | 242:7 | 103:22 | 190:12,13 |
| 244:16 | 110:7 | corner 122:2 | 105:19,21 | 191:18 [°] |
| consistent | contracting | corporate 10:8 | 106:16,20 | 196:16 197:3 |
| 56:18 57:19 | 120:20 | 10:16 196:21 | 107:1 109:2 | 197:4,7,8,11 |
| 72:19 | contraction | 238:9 251:16 | 109:18 | 197:14,16,17 |
| constrain | 109:9 | correct 14:16 | 110:20,21 | 197:21 198:4 |
| 157:5 | contractors | 14:17,21 | 112:2 118:9 | 199:10 204:2 |
| constraints | 200:4 | 15:13,16,22 | 119:21 120:5 | 204:5,13 |
| 45:9 208:4 | contracts | 16:9,17 | 121:4 123:19 | 208:21,22 |
| 210:10 | 108:18,20 | 18:13 24:1 | 124:6,7,11 | 211:14 212:7 |
| constrict | control 143:4 | 24:10,13 | 124:15,20,21 | 212:13,14 |
| | | | | · |
| | • | ı | | |

9

| | | | | 9 |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| 04004740 | 1 440 45 404 7 | 1 400 40 00 00 | Ι. | 004000 |
| 213:3,4,7,18 | 149:15 184:7 | 199:19,20,22 | crossings | 38:4 39:3 |
| 213:20 214:2 | 245:2,8 | 200:3,4,14 | 215:1 | 129:3 138:17 |
| 215:2 216:19 | country 40:5 | 201:19 | crowd 65:2 | 246:6 |
| 217:3 218:14 | 82:2,15 | 244:22 | crowded 33:5 | cut 33:8 47:2 |
| 221:22 222:5 | 112:8 140:7 | COVID-19 | cruel 25:4 27:8 | 71:19 246:13 |
| 222:6,13,19 | 150:1 158:8 | 89:21 214:10 | Cuba 61:12 | cute 176:5 |
| 223:11,22 | 230:17 | create 191:3 | 116:19 | cutter 23:17 |
| 224:16,17 | 238:19 | created 21:20 | 149:15 | cutting 247:8 |
| 226:11,22 | 244:15 246:2 | 127:10,15,19 | Cuban 93:9 | |
| 227:4,5 | county 200:11 | 129:2 138:8 | curious 16:1 | D |
| 228:6,10 | couple 23:16 | 190:22 191:5 | 148:15 | D 6:1 100:5 |
| 229:2,4,5 | 46:22 58:11 | creation | 235:18 237:5 | D.C 2:13 7:16 |
| 232:17 | 59:21 64:5 | 129:11 | current 37:4 | 19:15,18 |
| 233:14 234:5 | 82:22 97:12 | credible 85:17 | 178:18 | daily 126:7,12 |
| 234:12,18 | 118:7,14 | 86:3,6,14,21 | currently | 131:10 |
| 237:21 239:2 | 129:21 | 87:9,17,21 | 40:19 48:1 | 212:17,17 |
| 239:5,6 | 154:22 | 88:14,22 | 53:9 86:13 | 232:11 |
| 240:22 248:4 | 164:19 | 107:10 240:1 | 104:9 162:15 | 235:20 |
| 248:7 252:4 | 167:13 177:1 | 240:1,6 | 239:3,11 | 236:14 |
| correctly 70:1 | 188:19 | crimes 111:22 | 245:18 | damage |
| 73:3 131:2 | 213:22 239:8 | criminal 63:19 | custodial | 241:19 |
| 134:14 | 248:2 249:13 | 83:12 94:6 | 96:15 | danger 241:15 |
| 178:22 | course 13:10 | 103:16 | custody 5:8,10 | dangerous |
| 206:17 | 172:20 | 115:20 131:1 | 28:4 43:12 | 63:3 |
| counsel 1:16 | court 1:1 6:7 | 163:15 173:1 | 71:15 81:14 | dangers |
| 7:17,22 11:9 | 6:13 8:10 9:8 | 220:21 221:7 | 83:15 84:7 | 172:22 |
| 11:10 13:9 | 9:20 11:22 | 231:7 241:7 | 86:20 91:14 | Darrow 2:11 |
| 118:17 | 26:16 114:13 | 241:14 243:2 | 93:20 111:7 | 3:5 7:3,3 9:4 |
| 135:16 | 154:9 155:8 | 243:3,10,18 | 112:13 118:1 | 13:2 24:18 |
| 153:19 155:8 | 175:3 179:6 | 246:9 | 142:10 | 25:10 26:9 |
| 196:8 198:19 | 180:10 | criminally | 157:10 | 26:14 27:18 |
| 199:2 238:20 | 183:22 248:7 | 111:11 | 163:13 | 30:9 31:21 |
| 247:22 | 248:11 | criminals | | 32:6 33:6,11 |
| | _ | l CHIIIIIIai5 | 1 179.14,20 | 0=.0 00.0, |
| count 249:11 | cover 180:17 | 97:17 | 179:14,20
180:3,6,11 | 33:15,20 |
| count 249:11
counted | | | 180:3,6,11 | • |
| | cover 180:17 227:13 | 97:17
crisis 40:19 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16 | 33:15,20 |
| counted | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21 |
| counted
184:13 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21 | 97:17
crisis 40:19 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering
23:20,22 | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1
criteria 117:17 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13
63:14,16 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13
219:13,20 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4
43:22 44:9 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13
63:14,16
93:13,16 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering
23:20,22
24:2
COVID 84:19 | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1
criteria 117:17
191:16
crossers | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13
219:13,20
220:1 221:11
customs 4:5 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4
43:22 44:9
44:19 45:14 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13
63:14,16
93:13,16
100:20 106:4 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering
23:20,22
24:2
COVID 84:19
121:14,20 | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1
criteria 117:17
191:16
crossers
130:21 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13
219:13,20
220:1 221:11
customs 4:5
4:10,20 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4
43:22 44:9
44:19 45:14
45:20 46:4 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13
63:14,16
93:13,16
100:20 106:4
106:6,7,9 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering
23:20,22
24:2
COVID 84:19
121:14,20
122:21 | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1
criteria 117:17
191:16
crossers
130:21
crossing | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13
219:13,20
220:1 221:11
customs 4:5
4:10,20
34:15,19 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4
43:22 44:9
44:19 45:14
45:20 46:4
46:15 47:9 |
| counted
184:13
countries
38:15 57:10
57:15 61:13
63:14,16
93:13,16
100:20 106:4 | cover 180:17
227:13
coverage
61:14,21
covering
23:20,22
24:2
COVID 84:19
121:14,20 | 97:17
crisis 40:19
48:2 53:9
70:7 119:20
240:15 241:1
criteria 117:17
191:16
crossers
130:21 | 180:3,6,11
182:13,16
203:5 204:4
208:3,3
209:13
219:13,20
220:1 221:11
customs 4:5
4:10,20 | 33:15,20
35:13 36:21
40:20 41:3,8
41:18 42:1
42:16 43:4
43:22 44:9
44:19 45:14
45:20 46:4
46:15 47:9
48:3 49:7 |

11

| | I | | I | 1 |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| December | defense | 21:20 31:14 | 177:11 190:4 | detail 207:18 |
| 120:15 189:7 | 155:20 | 34:8,10 35:2 | 193:3 194:18 | detailed 15:6 |
| 190:12 191:1 | defined 115:12 | 129:2,7 | 196:19,20 | 19:8 155:2 |
| decided 140:5 | definitely 24:4 | 130:17,18 | 225:21 227:3 | detailing 62:7 |
| 140:9 233:13 | 39:12 120:19 | 133:10 137:9 | 238:10 | details 131:15 |
| decision | 177:22 208:8 | 138:4 139:19 | 251:17,19,21 | detain 27:22 |
| 105:13,14 | 244:11 | 144:5 171:5 | 253:6 | 28:9 74:15 |
| 233:4,10 | degree 14:13 | departments | depositions | 93:19 94:9 |
| decision's | 38:15 93:10 | 36:3 | 11:4 | 121:16 |
| 233:10 | 96:5 110:5 | depend 208:17 | deputy 6:17 | 130:21 |
| decision-ma | 250:3 | 209:20 | 17:6,8,9,11 | 157:19 |
| 201:15 203:6 | Del 4:12 18:9 | 230:14 | 17:16,21 | 158:16 |
| decisions | 18:15 19:5 | dependent | 18:4,7 19:14 | 171:13 |
| 233:18 | 61:9 63:19 | 32:11,22 | 19:17,21 | detainable |
| decompress | 63:22 64:2,6 | 82:8 83:10 | 20:1,4,4,8 | 158:11 |
| 117:19 | 65:20 94:1 | 84:2 123:1 | 21:7 24:7 | detained 27:12 |
| 229:18 | 94:12 117:9 | 210:6 | 37:1,4 70:8 | 27:20 28:14 |
| decompress | 167:22 181:9 | depending | 128:7,8 | 28:18,22 |
| 175:18 | 201:18 212:4 | 121:7,13 | 133:13 | 29:6 40:10 |
| 231:15 | 216:13 217:9 | 208:2 | 154:20 160:2 | 66:19 77:7 |
| decrease 42:2 | 217:17 | depends 145:8 | 160:18,19 | 81:15 87:12 |
| 42:4 59:14 | 218:22 | deploy 173:3 | 166:21 177:4 | 87:22 89:4 |
| 134:9,10,10 | 246:20 250:3 | deployments | 197:10 | 96:12,17 |
| 134:16,22 | deliberative | 17:3 | 210:21 | 158:5 189:18 |
| 137:6 139:13 | 107:20 108:2 | DEPONENT | 240:14 | 189:18 |
| decreased | 127:16 | 252:1 | described | 232:12 |
| 139:6 | 142:18 224:6 | deposed 10:8 | 92:3 113:7 | detaining |
| decreases | 233:7 | 10:18 12:5 | 187:8 | 26:18,22 |
| 135:11,22 | Delivers 4:12 | deposition | describes 79:2 | 27:5 33:13 |
| 136:2,4 | demographics | 1:15 6:4,9 | describing | 72:10 93:15 |
| 139:3,20 | 149:20 | 8:8 10:14,16 | 36:3 63:4 | 100:20 |
| 140:1 | 170:16 | 11:2,8,14,20 | 171:8 | 132:12 140:5 |
| decreasing | 171:10,14 | 12:4,8,10,18 | designated | 170:15 173:7 |
| | | | | |

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

12:21 13:7

13:11 14:2,6

35:12 42:22

47:5 49:3

60:13,16

101:12

123:10

143:18

69:11 97:4

128:14 133:2

159:19 169:9

38:13

56:2

designed

destination

150:1,8

143:13,15

207:12,20

149:11,16,17

185:17 207:1

30:13

designates

137:10

30:1

deemed

115:20

238:20

defending

235:5

Defendants

1:11 2:10

decree 29:10

29:13,16,17

173:8 202:16

25:17 124:16

demonstrates

2:12 4:3,18

4:19,21 5:1

7:14 10:9

13:11,19

15:3 19:11

150:4

department

demonstrate

179:5 **detains** 40:14

detention

27:15 28:1

29:2 30:2,5

30:13 32:12

33:8 44:16

66:8,13,14

66:16 69:18

70:21 71:6

71:11,20

| | | | | 12 |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 72:2,6 77:14 | 23:7,8,9,11 | 143:13 161:1 | 151:16 161:6 | District 1:1,2 |
| 88:16,19 | device 148:17 | 161:21 | 170:7 202:6 | 6:7,7 |
| 92:20 93:4 | devices | 165:20 166:7 | disclosing | division 1:3 |
| 94:15 107:15 | 140:11 | 166:16 | 157:13 | 6:8 20:16 |
| 117:18 | 209:10 210:8 | 183:12 | disclosure | document |
| 119:17,17,20 | 210:8 246:15 | 202:16 203:1 | 160:12 | 43:17 48:6 |
| 120:2,16 | DHS 2:17 | 208:5 214:22 | discovery | 54:19 55:15 |
| 120.2,10 | 13:17 15:6 | 215:6 221:17 | 118:19 | 60:1,6,17 |
| 125:21 126:2 | 15:10 19:11 | 229:7 235:13 | discretion | 91:6 101:19 |
| 126:9 137:11 | 19:12 21:14 | 235:17 | 162:21 163:1 | 102:11 |
| 139:14,20 | 36:15 124:14 | 249:18 | 163:3,9,19 | 128:14 |
| II ' | 127:13 | | | |
| 140:1,10,10 | 131:20 132:3 | differentiating | 164:6,14 | 129:11,13,16 |
| 141:22 142:9 | | 92:20 93:4 | 166:12 | 131:10 |
| 151:13 | 133:20 | dire 25:16 | discusses | 132:16,22 |
| 152:18 | 135:11,22 | direct 44:15 | 43:11 | 133:12,16 |
| 178:19 | 137:2 139:5 | direction 74:8 | disease | 134:2,17,19 |
| 180:16 | 211:13,16 | 119:19 | 228:13 | 135:11,13,20 |
| 181:12 | DHS's 13:22 | directions | dispersed | 137:7 138:8 |
| 191:21 | 14:4 | 44:12 | 65:3 | 138:13 |
| 200:11 | diagram | directly 177:4 | displays | 144:18 |
| 202:20 206:5 | 106:15 | director 19:10 | 101:19 | 147:12,13 |
| 221:8 222:21 | diamond | 190:15 | dispo 162:11 | 177:19 179:5 |
| 228:8 231:14 | 102:1,3,21 | directorate 4:6 | 162:12 | 179:17 182:2 |
| 231:19 | 103:22 | 20:14 23:4 | disposal | 194:2,10 |
| 232:16 | 105:13 | 153:9 154:11 | 101:21 109:7 | 195:8,15 |
| determination | diamonds | 154:13 161:3 | disposition | 201:10,14 |
| 100:16 | 102:22 | 169:19 170:6 | 66:2 86:5 | 207:17 212:6 |
| determine | die 200:4 | 175:17 177:5 | 112:12 | 226:4,21 |
| 36:20 75:10 | died 53:19 | 198:12 | 162:12 | 227:7 242:5 |
| 171:4 246:7 | Diego 18:21 | 235:12 | 183:10 188:4 | document's |
| determined | 94:3 | directorates | dispositioned | 178:2 |
| 85:17 | differ 38:10 | 20:10,11 | 186:1 | documentati |
| determines | 165:8 | Directors | dispositions | 221:14 |
| 87:9 | difference | 190:14 | 185:15 | documents |
| determining | 37:7 102:2 | directs 44:20 | disseminate | 11:18 13:13 |
| 142:15 | 111:4 113:18 | discard 80:16 | 92:16 | 13:14 80:2,4 |
| deterrent 95:3 | different 19:5 | discarded | dissemination | 80:5 102:14 |
| develop 22:15 | 20:11 22:12 | 80:18 | 24:8 | 129:6 195:16 |
| 22:19 23:18 | 23:13,21 | discern 75:15 | dissuade | 195:21 |
| 73:10 | 30:14 57:10 | disciplinary | 94:16 | 196:22 197:1 |
| developed | 65:21 82:10 | 25:12 | distribute | 231:18 |
| 144:11 | 92:9 106:14 | disclosed | 227:14 | DOD 211:16 |
| developing | 109:12 | 134:16 | distributed | Doe 202:14 |
| 21:3 22:3 | 110:12 | 135:11 | 198:9 199:6 | 238:4 |
| | | | | |
| | l | <u> </u> | l | I |

13

| | 1 | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| doing 25:9 | e-mail 5:4,5,6 | ease 31:18 | 165:12 176:8 | 244:12 250:9 |
| 65:7 67:16 | 22:19 118:15 | 144:14 | 198:20 199:8 | encountered |
| 79:11 127:13 | 152:2,9,15 | easier 182:2 | 204:16 | 40:15 57:15 |
| 127:13 145:5 | 152:22,22 | 235:22 | 213:16 | 61:11 63:15 |
| 145:9 147:10 | 153:15 | 251:13 | 218:18 | 74:11 80:13 |
| 147:13 205:6 | 154:11 | economic | EI 94:4,4 | 84:5 111:10 |
| 205:7 239:22 | 155:21 | 56:14,17,22 | 186:15 214:5 | encountering |
| DOJ 21:14 | 156:16,19 | 244:13,14 | elected 59:12 | 103:1 123:7 |
| dollar 243:20 | 158:22 159:9 | 247:17 | 59:18,22 | 175:13 |
| dollars 16:21 | 159:22 | edification | 68:6 | encounters |
| 135:7 | 160:10 161:8 | 165:3 | electronic | 41:16 46:19 |
| domiciled | 161:10,13,19 | effect 104:9 | 140:11 | 50:8,18 |
| 63:16 | 161:20 162:3 | effective | 148:17 | 51:13,19 |
| Donald 51:5 | 162:13 168:9 | 191:12 | 209:10 | 52:4,4,12,18 |
| doubled 51:13 | 168:10 | 206:13 | 245:19 246:1 | 53:6 80:7 |
| 213:6 | 169:18 | 208:10,11,17 | 251:10 | 112:11 |
| Dougherty | 170:11 176:9 | 209:20 210:3 | electronically | 192:16 |
| 178:5 | 179:3 198:12 | effects 241:17 | 140:18 | 211:14 |
| doxed 154:5 | 202:7 215:9 | efficiencies | eligible 247:18 | 216:12,14,22 |
| Dr 226:8,10 | 216:17 217:1 | 135:10 | eliminated | 221:5 232:8 |
| drive 241:22 | 217:8,20 | efficiency's | 139:13 | encourage |
| 243:11,18 | 218:6,16,17 | 135:5 | 233:17 | 92:22 93:5 |
| driven 62:22 | 220:17,20 | efficient | employed | ended 71:11 |
| 94:6 244:13 | 222:10,10,12 | 247:12 | 14:15 | 95:20 143:13 |
| driver 52:22 | 222:12 223:1 | efficiently | employee 11:5 | 184:21 |
| 56:18 57:1 | 223:9,13,14 | 245:16 | 25:8 160:1 | enforcement |
| 57:19 245:8 | 223:15,18 | effort 241:8 | 178:6 | 4:7,8,20 |
| drivers 56:10 | 224:12,15 | efforts 17:17 | employees | 14:19 28:2 |
| 63:2 | 225:5 234:7 | 230:18 | 16:20 23:15 | 39:4 47:11 |
| driving 58:8 | 234:8,13,19 | eight 76:15 | 23:21 24:3 | 48:18 49:9 |
| 63:21 | e-mail's | 91:16,17 | 38:18 90:10 | 50:11,20 |
| drop 58:11 | 157:13 170:1 | 148:13 | 199:13 | 122:1 126:20 |
| due 84:19 | e-mailing | 207:11 | empty 230:20 | 127:2 129:3 |
| 186:1 200:4 | 151:17 | either 20:4 | enabled | 153:8,20 |
|
 | | | | 1 = 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

22:5,15 23:4

26:19 27:2,7

56:10 86:15

90:21 94:16

112:9 133:13

140:11 149:1

152:1 154:20

28:5 33:3

45:12,16

138:12

203:15

136:22

encounter

36:19 54:2

83:6 85:1

222:18,21

212:21

57:11 74:17

91:16 192:15

enacted

154:4,10,13

154:15 161:3

169:19 170:6

191:22 192:3

201:7 206:12

208:10 235:3

engagement

187:21

246:6

200:8,10

duly 7:18

253:7

21:15

duties 16:18

17:11,12

dwarf 83:22

Ε

E 3:1 4:1 6:1,1

19:16 20:3

e-mails 11:17

earlier 100:19

216:2

152:6 161:20

176:4 214:18

109:15 118:5

165:6 177:16

191:17 198:1

223:15 227:2

231:17 247:3

| | | | | 1 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 21:4 | entity 39:9,13 | establishing | 226:5 | 98:9 99:17 |
| engineer's | 185:18 | 204:17,20 | executed | 101:7,8,16 |
| 120:12 | entries 47:18 | estimate 65:5 | 171:2 | 101:18 111:1 |
| engineering | entry 35:5 | et 1:10 6:6 | executing | 112:19 123:9 |
| 123:3 | 36:10 38:5 | evade 250:1,7 | 20:21 | 123:11,15,18 |
| enjoy 235:5 | 38:12,13,20 | event 61:9 | executive 24:7 | 124:4 127:10 |
| enrolled | 65:20 98:12 | 63:6 83:2 | 35:9 74:3 | 127:18 |
| 184:13 | 113:4 186:20 | 217:17 | 219:5 | 128:14,15 |
| 210:12 | 187:7,11 | eventually | exemption | 129:1,14 |
| 239:15 | 192:12 | 176:16 | 90:13 | 130:10 133:2 |
| enrollees | 217:18 | evidence | exercise 171:2 | 133:3,9 |
| 239:9,12 | 218:13 | 149:10 | 171:3,3,8,11 | 137:17,20 |
| ensure 21:11 | 221:20,21 | evolve 164:19 | exhibit 4:2,3,4 | 138:3 143:18 |
| 22:14 31:1 | 250:14 | exact 29:16 | 4:6,7,8,10,12 | 143:19 144:2 |
| 44:1,20 | enumerated | 72:8 | 4:13,15,16 | 144:4 151:1 |
| 121:17 | 244:7 | exactly 201:3 | 4:17,18,19 | 151:6,8,11 |
| 144:12 | environment | 219:6 222:8 | 4:21 5:1,2,4 | 151:15,15 |
| 170:15 | 121:14,21 | examination | 5:5,6,7,8,10 | 153:7 159:14 |
| ensuring | 171:12 [°] | 1:16 7:17,22 | 5:11,12,13 | 159:19 |
| 20:20 44:4 | 200:17 245:1 | 238:20 | 5:14,15 | 160:10 |
| 202:13,15 | environments | 247:22 | 33:18,22 | 167:11,12 |
| 203:3 | 73:2 | examined 7:19 | 34:4,6 35:12 | 169:4,4,5,12 |
| enter 42:14 | equipment | 252:2 | 35:14,19,21 | 169:22 170:5 |
| 53:19 56:11 | 203:4 | example 89:20 | 35:22 36:5 | 177:10,12 |
| 59:13 60:3 | ER 109:7,10 | 98:4 230:19 | 37:19 39:1,2 | 179:3 181:19 |
| 80:8,17 | Erin 2:12 7:5 | exceed 28:10 | 39:2 42:21 | 182:4,13,20 |
| 104:12 | ERO 5:2 | 122:4 233:2 | 43:1,6,9,18 | 182:22 |
| entered 27:11 | 126:18,18 | exceeded 52:7 | 43:21 44:7 | 188:10 190:3 |
| 29:13,21 | 127:2 152:15 | exception | 44:12 46:1 | 190:5,9 |
| 62:9 67:20 | 152:17 156:4 | 82:16,17 | 46:12 47:5,6 | 192:18,21 |
| 114:5 185:8 | 208:17 | 90:12 | 47:11 48:5 | 193:6,8,9,16 |
| 237:10 | 219:13,19 | exceptions | 49:2,4,9,18 | 193:22 194:3 |
| entering 36:20 | error 179:15 | 82:22 83:4 | 53:15,16 | 194:13,14,21 |
| 41:1,7,21 | ERs 110:4 | 83:16 102:15 | 54:6,7,12,15 | 196:18,19,21 |
| 54:3 56:19 | Esq 2:3,3,4,4 | 220:11 | 54:20 55:18 | 225:16,20,20 |
| 60:2 149:11 | 2:11,12,17 | excess 52:11 | 60:8,13,16 | 226:2,13 |
| 150:1 | 2:17 | 52:13 | 60:18 61:2 | 242:5 245:11 |
| entire 27:21 | essence | exchange | 62:15 68:14 | exhibits 251:8 |
| 91:6 99:5 | 120:13 | 168:9 | 69:11,12,17 | exigent 22:18 |
| 134:19 | essential | exclusion 99:5 | 71:5 76:17 | 173:18 186:2 |
| 199:21 | 192:3 | excuse 37:14 | 76:22,22 | exist 91:22 |
| 200:17 | establish 73:9 | 77:15 87:4 | 78:21 87:1 | 244:13 |
| entities 128:1 | established | 88:16 180:6 | 91:2 95:4 | existed 29:18 |
| entitled 78:5 | 75:22 | 203:12 215:1 | 96:21 97:4,7 | 123:3 |
| | | | | |
| L | <u> </u> | - | - | - |

15

| | I | I | I | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| existing 167:7 | 46:20 52:17 | 156:11 | 9:8,13,14 | 73:14,16 |
| exists 198:18 | 93:9 199:19 | 157:10 | 10:1,2 27:10 | 92:8,15,21 |
| 239:11 | 200:12 232:8 | 178:20 | 28:11,21 | 96:13 102:6 |
| expand 108:16 | 243:5 245:5 | 187:16,17 | 34:9 39:15 | 103:21 |
| 246:21 | 249:17 250:2 | 200:11,13 | 39:18 40:14 | 114:22 |
| expanding | expires 252:17 | 202:11,20 | 55:6,7 57:12 | 117:12 |
| 118:18 | 253:20 | 228:20 | 61:21 80:2,9 | 125:16 |
| 202:19 | explain 46:17 | 229:19,20 | 84:14 85:4 | 131:16,22 |
| expands | 249:7 251:1 | 230:21 | 104:9 113:8 | 132:10 136:6 |
| 108:18 | explained | 231:13 | 152:3 161:12 | 136:9 139:14 |
| expansion | 165:13 | 232:16 245:4 | 167:10 188:3 | 143:9 147:12 |
| 117:1 118:11 | explicitly | facility 31:4 | 192:17 208:7 | 147:18,20 |
| expect 41:20 | 95:15 222:4 | 32:12 65:21 | 213:12 | 151:13 156:6 |
| 42:3,13 | exponential | 84:21 120:9 | 215:15 218:6 | 156:8 157:6 |
| 89:11,15 | 173:9 | 123:2 131:11 | 222:9 | 157:9,14,20 |
| expectation | exposures | 140:14 | faith-based | 158:4,11,17 |
| 25:18 26:1,2 | 199:20,22 | 143:12 166:1 | 72:21 | 161:14,16,22 |
| 26:5 | 200:14 | 166:4 206:6 | fall 114:12 | 162:10 165:9 |
| expected | extent 96:7 | 247:4 | falls 240:3 | 168:2,20 |
| 46:19 | 109:16 209:5 | facing 45:8 | familiar 29:9 | 178:19 |
| expedited | extremely | fact 72:10 | 42:10 54:17 | 180:16 |
| 107:4,8,13 | 251:17 | 93:11 132:18 | 72:7,9,13 | 181:11 205:3 |
| 107:17 108:9 | | 168:11,17 | 75:18 80:20 | 205:7,9 |
| 108:12,18 | <u> </u> | 243:7 248:9 | 95:11 97:5 | 206:8 211:22 |
| 109:11 110:2 | F 98:10 | factor 45:2 | 99:19 169:13 | 212:6,9,10 |
| 110:13 | F-I-S-C-A-L | 58:7 173:5 | 226:14 | 219:8 220:21 |
| 183:17 | 50:6 | 201:15 | families 33:1 | 221:6 223:18 |
| 239:21 240:2 | face 228:22 | 244:10,15 | 132:12 | 224:9,13,16 |
| | | | | |

factored

229:17

143:11

163:17

239:19

244:6,9

failed 90:11

146:12,22

failure 143:11

fair 8:17 9:1,2

fail 114:1

242:18,21

201:20 202:4

219:12 239:8

factors 56:1,2

56:8,9,14

faced 200:9

facilitated

facilitating

facilities 30:12

31:1 32:2

33:4 38:16

45:8 70:21

72:14 120:5

120:6 121:1

122:17 123:4

123:4 144:12

121:2,6

144:15

35:4,6

46:21

facilitate 38:6

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

expelled

104:12,17

105:1,19

experience

47:1 53:4

59:2 91:7

experienced

experiences

experiencing

242:22

249:19

171:21

18:19 43:16

148:5 171:21

70:18 216:11

212:13

156:13

200:15

family 27:15

28:17,22

27:20 28:13

30:22 31:3,6

31:20 32:4,5

33:4,13 65:8

32:9,14,20

65:12,18

66:16,17

66:8,13,14

72:10 73:12

168:12,17

180:3,7,11

179:5,13,20

224:19

240:15

116:15

117:11

119:20

121:10

122:10

128:10

165:17

173:22

227:18

250:11

farmers

far 22:8 75:2

228:22 229:3

229:8 230:6

|--|

| | | | | 10 |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 241:40 | 100.7 100.0 | FE.40.40 | din 20:4 404:0 | 140.7 440.40 |
| 241:19 | 198:7 199:6 | 55:16,18
56:12,65:5 | flip 39:1 124:8 | 113:7 148:16 |
| fast 32:22 | 227:14,17 | 56:12 65:5
65:11 67:5 | 134:5 195:1 | 155:4 181:3 |
| father 221:2,7 | 246:7 | 65:11 67:5 | 227:6 235:15 | 181:12 186:1 |
| 221:7,10 | Fifteen 137:19 | 67:12,14 | 236:12 | 186:9,19 |
| favorable | figure 54:18 | 69:17 78:22 | flood 137:9 | 187:22 |
| 58:12,17,17 | 89:16 154:14 | 95:6,9 97:12 | 233:19 243:8 | 192:10 |
| 59:3 68:1
FBI 16:4 | file 147:14 | 112:5 114:10 | Floor 1:19 | 209:12 |
| _ | 166:20 167:3 | 115:4 125:15 | Flores 29:10 | 230:16 |
| fear 58:3,6 | 245:19,20,22 | 129:14 146:9 | 29:12,16,17 | follow 89:11 |
| 85:17 86:3,6 | 246:1
filed 6:6 90:13 | 152:8 155:22 | 30:1 177:17 | 214:19 |
| 86:14,21
87:0 17 21 | | 159:1 161:19 | Florida 1:2,6 | follow-ups |
| 87:9,17,21 | 179:6,17 | 168:8 178:16 | 2:5,6 6:5,7 | 248:2 251:3 |
| 88:14,22
107:10 240:1 | 199:1,1
files 199:8 | 183:3 191:11 | 6:18,20,22
7:2 146:13 | following
128:14 |
| | | 200:19 201:2 | 7:2 146:13
147:1 149:6 | |
| 240:6
fears 240:1 | 204:17,18,20 | 201:3 205:11
206:11 | | 184:14
191:16 |
| fears 240:1 | 205:6,7,8 | 206:11
222:12 | 149:8,17,17
150:21 | 191:16
follows 7:20 |
| February
152:9 153:11 | filings 12:1
fill 122:2 222:5 | | | |
| | _ | 223:18 | Florida's 13:12 | footnote
184:11 186:5 |
| 159:5 184:17 | filling 154:21 | 239:10 | 14:1,5 | |
| federal 108:1 | final 143:13,15 | 249:13 | 149:10,22 | force 19:9 |
| 111:22
120:17 186:3 | 149:16 150:8 | first-line 19:1 | flow 42:18 | 200:21 204:4 |
| | 207:1,19 | fiscal 4:8,20 | 94:5 113:12 | forced 239:9 |
| 186:13 | finally 159:12 | 49:10,19 | 139:18 162:6 | foregoing |
| feel 244:8 | 249:2
find 80:17 | 50:2,12 | 171:7 172:18 | 252:2 |
| fees 207:22 | find 80:17 | 51:12,20,21 | 173:5,8 | foreign 38:15 |
| 243:6 | 83:14 126:4 | 52:2,5,6,13
55:17 115:6 | 217:17 | forgot 67:6 |
| felony 186:8
felt 227:17 | 145:17 146:6 | 55:17 115:6
124:2 148:13 | 246:18 | 126:21
155:20 |
| FEMA 171:8 | 198:20 199:8 | 124:2 148:13
182:17 | flows 74:11,11 93:13 94:11 | 155:20
form 24:18 |
| female 171:8
female 116:3 | 229:20
235:22 252:3 | 182:17
five 20:14 | 93:13 94:11 94:17 170:17 | 25:10 26:9 |
| female 116:3
females 65:9 | | 72:16 74:5 | 94:17 170:17
173:5 | 25:10 26:9
27:18 135:16 |
| | finding 88:13
88:22 | | | 27:18 135:16
135:19 |
| 65:13 116:8 | | 106:13 135:7 | fluidity 214:10 | |
| 116:9 | finds 74:13 | 136:18 | FMUA 217:22 | 166:16 202:7
formal 206:15 |
| fences 241:20 | 87:16 | 223:14 | focus 62:13 | formal 206:15 |
| field 20:18 | fine 236:11 | flew 66:1 | 65:10 94:10 | formalized 22:21 |
| 22:13 23:8
23:11 35:0 | 237:5 242:10 | flexibility | focused 44:3 | |
| 23:11 35:9
37:20 38:1,3 | 251:12 | 130:20 | 65:4 67:14 | forming 234:15 |
| 37:20 38:1,3
38:9,17 | firearm 243:17
fired 90:3 | flight 163:17
220:11 | 190:18
243:21 | 234:15
forms 92:22 |
| 38:9,17
65:19 112:11 | | | _ | |
| | first 10:13 | 229:22
231:11 | focuses 73:10 | 165:20 166:7
167:4 |
| 177:2 190:14 | 18:20 22:13 | | FOIA 102:14 | 167:4
formulating |
| 190:15,19 | 30:17 48:6
51:6 54:20 | flights 63:7,8,8 | 102:15 | |
| 191:2,3
192:14 193:9 | 51:6 54:20
54:20 55:16 | 63:18 64:10 | folks 38:7 63:12 104:11 | 22:6 142:13 |
| 192:14 193:9 | 54:20 55:16 | 82:10 | 00.12 104:11 | formulation |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| | | 17 |
|--|--|----|
| | | |

| 142:17 | 201:7 | global 244:22 | 128:13 | good 6:2,16 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| forth 151:21 | further 24:8 | go 9:6 24:6,11 | 132:22 133:1 | 7:11 8:2 |
| 152:1 253:7 | 85:13 86:10 | 47:3 52:12 | 133:1 135:3 | 68:10 94:3 |
| Forty-six | 87:12,20 | 64:2 65:13 | 136:5 137:16 | 184:10 210:2 |
| 236:17 | 150:15 | 73:20 94:17 | 139:12 | goods 38:19 |
| | | | | . • |
| forward | 238:17 244:8 | 99:15 105:22 | 143:17 145:7 | Google 154:12 |
| 156:12 159:7 | 247:22 251:1 | 108:14 | 145:13,18 | gotten 231:18 |
| 233:5 236:12 | 253:10 | 109:12 115:5 | 146:1 147:20 | 231:21 |
| forwarded | FY 4:18,22 5:1 | 130:5,6 | 149:1 150:11 | government |
| 152:2 | 5:9,10 | 141:1 144:21 | 150:21,22 | 69:19 75:1 |
| forwarding | 123:19 125:8 | 150:6 165:4 | 151:12,21 | 120:17 |
| 151:20 | 133:9 138:3 | 167:8 177:9 | 152:1 156:12 | 211:19 239:3 |
| found 25:8 | 139:3 188:5 | 182:1 187:10 | 156:12,19 | 239:10 |
| 63:18,19 | FY2018 4:7 | 221:1 231:1 | 157:2,4,8,8 | government |
| 159:12 174:4 | G | 233:4 238:7 | 157:12,13,19 | 187:16 |
| four 20:14 | G 6:1 | 242:4,9 | 158:7,12,16 | graduate |
| 42:9 51:20 | | 251:15 | 158:18 159:6 | 15:17 |
| 72:16 93:16 | game 203:10 | goes 131:6 | 159:6,7,10 | graduated |
| 106:13 | Garden 211:18 | 188:12 | 159:11 164:8 | 15:14 16:8 |
| 120:11 | gather 13:6 | going 8:22 | 166:20 | Grande 20:1 |
| 147:13 | 103:11,17 | 10:14 13:20 | 167:21 171:5 | 23:22 70:8 |
| fourth 182:20 | gathered 65:3 | 26:3,7 31:17 | 171:19 172:2 | 94:2,13 |
| 183:2 188:12 | 207:7 208:1 | 32:16,19 | 172:2 174:4 | 117:9 120:8 |
| 203:12 | 209:15 | 33:17,17,18 | 174:16 | 168:20,22 |
| 226:21 | gathering 80:1 | 35:11,12 | 177:10,17 | 175:14 176:3 |
| frame 175:12 | 145:5 207:12 | 41:11 42:20 | 179:4 181:16 | 176:12 211:5 |
| Franklin 2:13 | general 2:5 | 42:20 46:22 | 190:2 192:17 | 212:4 216:16 |
| frankly 210:14 | 6:17 20:9 | 47:4 49:1 | 195:5 196:17 | 216:20 |
| FRCs 156:5,5 | 30:20 79:11 | 53:6 54:5 | 202:3 207:4 | 217:21 223:9 |
| 158:1 178:19 | generally 11:2 | 55:15 60:12 | 207:12 | 223:17 |
| free 244:8 | 79:3 | 61:14 68:15 | 208:17 | 246:20 250:4 |
| frequently | genocide 98:5 | 69:10 73:9 | 209:20 | granted 100:6 |
| 83:7 165:4 | getting 152:2 | 74:1 75:21 | 211:22 | great 251:14 |
| froms 151:22 | 165:14 216:1 | 84:2 92:1 | 213:12,17 | greater 83:17 |
| front 91:2 97:7 | give 8:9,16 | 95:4 97:3 | 216:10 220:4 | 130:20 173:4 |
| 155:8 219:4 | 9:12 99:9 | 101:6 102:22 | 220:5 223:17 | 229:21 |
| 235:6 | 135:13 | 103:4,15 | 225:20 230:1 | ground 8:7 |
| full 189:7 | given 144:18 | 106:15 | 230:10 231:6 | 90:14 |
| funding | 253:9 | 109:11 | 231:7 232:7 | group 20:8 |
| 120:21 | giving 33:19 | 111:11 | 233:1 235:5 | 66:17 99:5 |
| 139:14 | 147:11,13 | 114:12,14 | 236:3 247:11 | 99:10 102:8 |
| 141:19 | glad 226:10 | 115:17 [°] 119:5 | 250:17,21 | 113:2 156:3 |
| 211:17 | gleaned | 123:8 126:1 | 251:5,5,9,15 | 164:7 167:14 |
| funerals 200:6 | 209:15 | 127:8 128:6 | 251:17 | 230:11 |
| | | | | |
| | l . | I | 1 | I |

| 239:16 | 137:13 138:2 | guidance 22:3 | happens 22:12 | 253:14 |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 248:14 | 142:19 144:1 | 22:5,7,9,11 | 52:9 63:10 | HHS 31:4,16 |
| groups 21:11 | 151:5 153:14 | 23:7,9,11,19 | 85:2,6 | 31:17 32:1 |
| 97:13 116:14 | 153:18,22 | 24:16 45:11 | 107:12 156:1 | 32:17 132:9 |
| 250:9,12 | 154:7 155:13 | 118:15 194:9 | 239:17 241:6 | 186:6 |
| Guadian 12:13 | 155:15,18 | 197:16,18 | happy 108:3 | hiding 124:9 |
| guarantee | 159:17,21 | 198:7 215:10 | hardened | high 48:12,19 |
| 201:20 | 160:13,22 | 218:8 221:13 | 247:5 | 213:11 |
| Guard 2:3 3:4 | 169:8,11 | guy 147:6 | harm's 241:8 | higher 42:19 |
| 3:6,12 6:16 | 170:4 174:15 | 148:22 | HARP 184:1,5 | 50:13 83:13 |
| 6:16 7:11 8:1 | 174:18 175:3 | 140.22 | hates 114:13 | 105:5,9 |
| 26:10 33:21 | 175:21 | Н | head 8:12 | 121:10 |
| 34:3 35:18 | 177:15 178:8 | H 2:3 4:1 | 114:6,11 | 216:11 |
| 43:5 47:10 | 178:12 | habit 114:12 | 147:17 | highest 50:8 |
| 49:8 50:3,5 | 179:10 180:5 | Haiti 57:10 | 204:22 205:6 | 50:11 94:11 |
| 51:16 54:11 | 181:16 182:1 | 61:11 63:7 | heading 88:18 | 212:21 213:3 |
| 56:5 57:18 | 182:8,11 | 150:15 | 98:14 | 246:18 |
| 57:21 60:11 | 183:1 190:8 | Haitian 63:15 | headquarters | highlights |
| 60:15 61:1 | 193:2,5,19 | 150:5,8,19 | 20:16 23:3 | 124:14 |
| 61:17 64:20 | 193:21 | 217:17 | 153:10 | hiring 241:15 |
| 68:10,14,17 | 194:17,20 | Haitians 64:11 | 175:17 | historic 40:22 |
| 69:2,15,22 | 201:1 203:19 | 64:14 | 176:17 | 41:20 108:21 |
| 71:3 76:3,7 | 204:15 209:2 | half 33:9 91:17 | health 31:15 | 137:8 139:18 |
| 76:20 82:20 | 213:9 215:13 | 189:19 190:1 | 199:10,13,17 | 233:19 |
| 85:20 88:8 | 217:6 222:2 | 246:13,13 | 200:7,20,21 | history 103:15 |
| 89:8 90:17 | 224:7 225:15 | halfway | 200.7,20,21 | 115:20 |
| 92:11 94:20 | 225:19 226:1 | 135:20 | 228:15 | hit 212:5 |
| 97:2 98:17 | 233:8 234:22 | hand 253:15 | heard 46:6 | hits 231:1 |
| 100:4 101:11 | 235:4 236:17 | handle 121:9 | 112:9 141:10 | hold 55:15 |
| 100:4 101:11 | 236:19 | handles | hearing 29:15 | 83:7 130:3,5 |
| 101:13 | 238:17 242:8 | 152:18 | 89:1,2 95:17 | 220:9 |
| 104:2,7,19 | 242:10 248:1 | hands 109:1 | 112:8 187:11 | holds 71:17 |
| 107:7,22 | 250:22 251:6 | 246:16 | heavy 216:6 | home 207:19 |
| 107.7,22 | 251:9,12,15 | happen 45:4 | height 156:20 | 244:14 |
| 111:21 119:3 | Guatemala | 76:5 81:12 | heightened | Homeland 4:3 |
| 119:13 | 186:14 | 82:12 84:9 | 220:16 | 4:18,19,21 |
| 123:14 | guess 22:10 | 87:8,16 89:1 | held 6:9 83:2 | 5:1 7:15 10:9 |
| 127:17 | 40:8 56:12 | 159:7 171:19 | 206:5 | 13:12,19 |
| 128:18,21 | 78:22 110:22 | 177:8 231:12 | help 26:16 | 15:4 21:20 |
| 129:18 130:1 | 122:8 151:20 | happened | Henderson | 34:8,11 35:2 |
| 132:15 133:8 | 153:1,2,3 | 21:5 27:16 | 1:18 6:14 | 123:18,22 |
| 134:13 | 160:2 184:16 | 29:3 90:7 | hereinbefore | 129:2,7 |
| 135:15 | 221:18 251:2 | happening | 253:7 | 130:17,18 |
| 136:11 | 251:10 231:2 | 68:3 162:19 | hereunto | 133:10 |
| 100.11 | 201.2 | | | 100.10 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

| 407.40.400.4 | | 400.5.44 | 400-7-400-4 | 400:45 407 0 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 137:10 138:4 | <u> </u> | 186:5,11 | 190:7 193:1 | 180:15 187:8 |
| 139:20 144:5 | I-213 207:15 | 206:14 | 193:18 | 187:9,9 |
| Honduras | I-385 166:4,12 | 208:17,21 | 194:13,16 | 191:14 |
| 186:14 | 166:14 189:3 | 209:11,16,21 | 225:18 | 205:22 |
| honest 180:8 | 189:4,16 | 210:16 211:1 | identify 6:12 | 206:16 240:4 |
| host 82:15 | 207:14 218:9 | 219:13,19 | 153:13 | 244:4 246:5 |
| hour 119:6 | I-512 192:1 | 230:1 231:18 | identity 160:13 | 247:9,18 |
| hours 28:4,10 | ICE 21:15 28:2 | 233:5 246:5 | ignore 74:9 | impact 23:19 |
| 156:13 158:6 | 31:11 33:1,3 | ICE's 83:15 | illegal 57:22 | 33:9,14 |
| 158:7,18 | 33:8,13 39:7 | 124:14 | 58:9 139:18 | 42:18 44:4 |
| 167:13 | 39:9,16,20 | 128:10 | 141:14 | 173:1 247:8 |
| house 156:12 | | 132:11 134:9 | illegally 26:18 | impactful |
| 200:11 247:4 | 40:1,4,9,9,16 | 135:22 142:9 | 27:1,6,11 | 157:3 |
| housed 126:15 | 44:5 45:12 | ICE-3 129:17 | 28:13,17 | impair 10:5 |
| 187:16 | 45:16 66:8 | ICE-0&S-18 | 41:1,6,21 | implement |
| household | 71:19 72:2,5 | 130:6 | 42:14 53:19 | 209:21 |
| 147:18 205:1 | 72:10 82:14 | ICE/ERO 71:17 | 56:11 59:13 | |
| 205:6 | 82:15 84:11 | 161:16 | 60:1 62:10 | implementat
227:14 228:2 |
| | 86:20 93:15 | | | _ |
| houses 241:18 | 93:20 107:15 | 162:11 | 104:12 181:4 | implemented |
| housing 30:20 | 112:14 | 168:18 | imagine 71:17 | 53:16 191:4 |
| 126:19 | 117:22 | 208:15 211:4 | 93:3 99:13 | implementing |
| hovered | 124:11 125:8 | 211:6 219:22 | 147:19 164:2 | 22:5,9 191:7 |
| 104:21 | 126:15,19 | 232:6 | 194:9 210:6 | implements |
| HSI 192:2 | 127:5,6,7 | idea 118:21 | 250:11,19 | 227:11 |
| human 9:19 | 129:15,21 | 140:17 142:8 | immediate | important |
| 31:15 | 130:20 | identification | 82:6 | 23:10 171:13 |
| humanitarian | 131:11,14 | 33:18 34:2 | immediately | Imposition |
| 91:15,18 | 132:8,11 | 35:16 42:21 | 191:13 246:7 | 98:13 |
| 173:20,21 | 134:6,17 | 43:3 47:5,8 | immigrants | improvement |
| 174:7 184:5 | 135:19 | 49:2,6 54:6,9 | 4:14 58:9 | 247:7 |
| 184:5 185:20 | 138:21 | 60:10,13 | 139:18 | INA 75:22 97:5 |
| 185:21 | 139:21 | 69:11,14 | 150:20 | 97:9 |
| 247:13,19 | 140:14,17 | 76:19,21 | immigration | inability |
| 250:13 | 141:2,9 | 97:1,4 | 4:20 39:3 | 117:18 |
| hundred 20:15 | · | 101:10 123:9 | 57:22 58:13 | 143:14 |
| 23:15,16 | 143:4,12,15 | 123:13 | 58:18 59:4,8 | inaccurate |
| 162:7,8 | 144:22 | 128:13,17 | 68:1,7 69:5 | 241:11 |
| 168:12,17,20 | 151:12 | 133:2,5 | 72:22 75:19 | inadmissible |
| 188:8 246:18 | 152:17 | 137:17,22 | 76:11 77:1 | 46:3 75:11 |
| hundreds | 157:13,18 | 143:18,21 | 80:5 85:12 | 97:13,17,22 |
| 64:17,22 | 162:6 165:22 | 151:3 159:16 | 92:22 93:6 | 113:12 140:6 |
| hurricanes | 166:3 168:19 | 159:18 169:7 | 94:16 103:16 | 141:20 192:4 |
| | 171:4 178:5 | | | |
| 55:10 | 178:17 179:4 | 177:14 | 112:8,10 | inartfully |
| | 180:3,10 | 181:21 182:6 | 119:15 129:3 | 58:21 |
| | l | | l | l |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 20 |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| inaugurated | 233:20 | 112:17 | 38:8,21,22 | invest 72:19 |
| 50:7 51:2 | increases | 131:12 230:3 | 75:7,9 78:1 | 245:14 |
| 58:16 59:2 | 52:20 70:17 | 239:14 | 79:2,11 | investigation |
| 152:12 | 140:2 149:14 | 250:15 | 103:7 145:6 | 83:2 |
| include 21:15 | | | | |
| | 171:7,22 | ineligible | inspections | investments |
| 30:15 47:17 | 172:9 199:19 | 130:22 | 76:5 77:18 | 245:17 247:2 |
| 81:5 106:17 | 246:18 | infant 30:15 | inspectors | involve 23:11 |
| 111:10 | increasing | influence 10:3 | 38:4 | 23:18 |
| 112:22 | 120:16 | inform 63:12 | instability 57:6 | involved 11:5 |
| 117:18 | incur 229:21 | information | 57:9,14 | 21:2 22:3 |
| 167:21,22 | indefinitely | 13:6,9 22:6 | instant 251:21 | 23:8 129:10 |
| 186:8 198:14 | 69:19 70:22 | 23:7 67:14 | institute 95:1 | 133:14 |
| 199:20 200:4 | indents 62:16 | 67:17 80:1 | instituted | 211:10 |
| 202:13 | indicate 55:12 | 81:3,6 92:17 | 84:16 93:18 | involvement |
| 206:22 207:3 | 60:1 73:14 | 108:9 124:6 | 144:11 | 138:12 |
| 207:20 216:6 | 73:20 87:7 | 138:12 141:7 | instituting | involves 142:2 |
| 230:18 246:5 | 194:2 | 144:4 153:16 | 94:8 | involving |
| 247:3 | indicated | 154:5 195:16 | instructing | 159:22 |
| included 131:9 | 213:21 | 199:5 206:21 | 155:9,11 | IOP 24:6 |
| 147:20 | indicates 91:3 | 207:3,6 | instructs 9:5 | IOPs 22:16 |
| 164:14 167:5 | 136:5 146:9 | 208:2 209:15 | intake 246:19 | lota 55:10 |
| 189:4 217:11 | 149:7 156:10 | 227:16 233:7 | intelligence | irregular 92:22 |
| 224:3 242:22 | 178:17 | 234:20 | 244:1 | 93:6 |
| includes 47:20 | 197:15,22 | 235:14 | intended | issuance |
| 48:9 206:13 | 198:2 219:8 | 241:11 244:1 | 214:14 | 201:20 |
| 209:6 | indicating | 246:4 | inter- 17:19 | 206:15 |
| including | 81:6 157:16 | inhumane | interest 72:19 | issue 22:13 |
| 72:21 140:6 | 179:6 | 24:22 25:9 | interested | 44:13,17 |
| 141:20 155:3 | indication | 26:3,20 27:3 | 253:12 | 74:19 145:9 |
| increase 41:22 | 158:10 | initial 65:7 | interior 45:18 | 156:19 157:1 |
| 42:15 59:14 | indicator | 79:22 85:1 | 64:12,15 | 163:12 |
| 59:16 125:5 | 244:18 | 161:9 207:15 | 65:15 140:7 | 168:21 |
| 125:9,14 | indicted | initially 65:1 | internal 21:21 | 175:19 |
| 130:8,13,19 | 111:11 | 84:7 95:22 | 22:16 | 176:11 |
| 131:7,8,17 | individual | 198:10 206:7 | interrogatori | 245:20 |
| 132:13,17,19 | 10:10,15 | initiative 23:2 | 14:1 | issued 45:11 |
| 173:9,12 | 74:13 92:4 | 93:18 101:1 | interrupt 9:18 | 74:3 113:19 |
| 211:14 | 111:6,8 | injects 171:4 | interview | 118:14,15 |
| 243:17 | 158:13 | injunction | 85:13,16 | 147:17 |
| increased | individualized | 237:10 238:2 | 86:8 205:14 | 166:12,14 |
| 93:12 120:1 | 100:16 | inquiring | 205:18 | 192:2 196:6 |
| 122:10,18 | individuals | 79:11 | interviews | 198:16 |
| 135:4 141:19 | 38:21 61:12 | inside 148:5 | 86:14,21 | 224:18,22 |
| 211:17 | 65:11 93:16 | inspection | 240:1 | issues 72:6 |
| | | _ | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | 21 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 177:7 200:9 | 6:10 42:3 | 213:11 218:5 | 188:20 | 167:19 |
| 227:19 | 101:2 147:1 | 218:6 219:5 | 195:13 | 175:19 |
| 229:16 | 189:11,15 | 221:3 227:21 | 198:22 | large 30:21 |
| issuing 202:7 | 196:3,4,5,6,9 | 230:11 231:9 | 210:14 211:5 | 36:18 87:2 |
| 204:7 205:8 | 223:6 224:1 | 235:17 | 214:20 216:6 | 99:18 148:11 |
| 206:22 | 224:15 227:3 | 236:13,16 | 217:11 | 250:12 |
| item 130:9 | 227:7,10,15 | kinds 243:4 | 218:17 219:2 | largely 113:18 |
| 131:20 | 234:8,10 | knew 159:6 | 220:22,22 | larger 130:21 |
| ITR 166:13 | June 253:21 | know 8:13 | 223:14,16 | lasted 207:10 |
| | Junior 51:1 | 17:16 23:14 | 227:17,18 | late 55:11,14 |
| J | Justice 2:12 | 23:16 25:14 | 230:1 231:8 | law 14:18 |
| J 2:4 | justification | 26:11,11 | 231:14,18 | 111:12 122:1 |
| James 2:3 | 129:1 130:13 | 29:12,14,19 | 232:10 | 153:8,20 |
| 6:19 | 131:2 132:3 | 30:15,21 | 241:14,18,20 | 154:4,10,12 |
| James.Perci | 132:8,12,16 | 34:19 58:15 | 241:20 242:2 | 154:15 161:2 |
| 2:7 | 132:20 199:9 | 64:14 66:7 | 243:1,9,12 | 169:19 170:5 |
| Jane 202:14 | Juvenile 5:7 | 66:12 72:2,5 | 243:18 245:3 | 180:15 |
| January 51:2 | juveniles 29:2 | 75:14 81:3 [°] | 246:13,14 | 187:21 |
| 59:1 115:8 | 29:6 30:2,6 | 82:10 83:11 | 247:17,19 | 191:22 192:3 |
| 121:11,15 | 30:14,14 | 91:7,8,12,13 | 249:12 250:4 | 200:8,10 |
| 122:11 | 31:8,19 | 91:16 92:15 | 250:13 | 201:7 235:3 |
| 184:17 214:8 | 180:6 | 93:8,15 96:4 | knowledge | lawsuit 14:9 |
| 245:12 | | 99:16 107:16 | 53:15 70:5 | lawyer 156:1 |
| job 15:1 17:5 | K | 108:16 109:6 | 185:22 209:5 | lead 147:14 |
| 19:19 20:9 | Kabul 15:12 | 115:3,19 | known 29:10 | leader 148:19 |
| 25:16 71:14 | KAREN 1:22 | 121:19 125:9 | 42:11 76:11 | learned 151:12 |
| 91:8 94:3 | 253:4 | 125:11 130:2 | 208:20 | left 8:11 69:4 |
| 210:2,3 | keep 28:3 | 140:22 | Krishna 2:18 | 185:9 |
| 249:4 | 76:15 135:18 | 143:14 | | left-hand |
| Joe 152:11 | kept 59:8 | 145:20 | L | 102:1 |
| John 2:3 6:16 | 70:22 83:15 | 146:10 148:4 | L 1:15 3:3 | legal 1:18 6:14 |
| John.Guard | 111:7 | 148:8,13 | labeled 129:15 | 35:4 38:6 |
| 2:7 | kin 207:1 | 149:9 150:5 | 195:2 | legally 181:4 |
| Johnson | kind 8:14 | 156:15 | labor 11:5 | lengthy 219:2 |
| 234:14 | 13:21 18:17 | 157:19 | lack 22:10 | LESA 5:2 |
| joined 29:7 | 31:5 62:7,13 | 158:22 161:9 | 147:14 | let's 9:22 |
| Joseph 2:11 | 92:4 94:14 | 163:16,18 | 191:21 | 54:18 76:14 |
| 7:3 51:1 | 103:14 | 164:13 | 244:14 245:1 | 76:14 77:16 |
| joseph.a.dar | 116:13 | 166:13,13 | laid 212:5 | 130:5,6 |
| 2:14 | 117:10 | 168:11 | landfall 55:10 | 161:18 183:3 |
| journeys 63:4 | 123:21 | 169:17 170:1 | lanes 106:1 | letting 157:19 |
| judge 9:5
235:6 | 127:12 159:4 | 170:20 | language 91:3 | level 83:13 |
| | 168:5 184:12 | 172:16,21 | Laredo 83:11
94:4 167:17 | 122:17,22 |
| July 1:14,20 | 185:9 191:10 | 183:20 | 94.4 107.17 | 136:5 |
| | l | | l | |
| | | | | |

July 28, 2022 Oritz, Raul

130:3,12

138:19

132:7 134:8

135:2 136:3

152:21 153:6

164:11,20

| levels 122:5 | 173:14 180:1 | 77:10 87:14 | 112:18 113:5 | 144:13 |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 123:1 216:12 | 180:1 205:12 | 88:14 92:1 | 129:13 130:9 | lower 42:19 |
| leverage 67:12 | 235:13 242:2 | 93:21 95:5 | 133:20 144:6 | 136:22 |
| 109:6 231:4 | 249:18 250:6 | 124:3,9,10 | 146:16 | LRT 167:16 |
| leveraged | lives 201:17 | 125:4,13,15 | 151:15 | lunch 119:4,7 |
| 164:1 | livestock | 126:5,5 | 155:21 | Lutheran |

164:1 leveraging 241:21 110:4 **living** 61:15 **LGBQ2** 248:16 local 187:21 life 205:12 likes 226:8 limit 218:12

188:1 200:11 211:17 **located** 187:10 location 84:3 233:5 **limited** 110:7 143:15

locations

117:19

243:12

228:22 233:21 line 24:14 26:6 130:9 131:20 152:8 174:12

174:1,3,6

206:8 209:4

175:9 178:17 184:1 219:4 223:18 242:6 **lines** 103:4

162:5 LinkedIn 155:5 list 172:17

219:11 242:17,22 listed 34:14,17 93:17 112:19

130:7 244:6 listen 155:22 lists 56:1 156:7

litigation 202:14 238:4 **little** 79:1 87:3 87:4,15

> 88:15,17 92:2 114:15 135:21 146:20 165:6

logistics 12:22 82:8,11,14 122:6 long 18:14 183:19 195:14 233:1 236:10 long-term 30:13 **longer** 72:13 118:1 151:12 157:13 158:5 158:6,12,15 179:4 239:1 239:17 look 39:2 48:17 51:4

54:17,19

67:4,11

55:8 62:14

69:16 72:15

76:14 77:4

167:2 169:13 178:1,4,10 144:22 230:1 178:16 179:11,15 182:19 183:3 46:22 83:15 84:19 96:5 186:4.4 188:10 189:1 189:6,15 246:12,14 195:9 203:11 **locked** 69:18

216:13,16,20 217:7,8 218:15 221:16 226:19,21 231:3 234:6 235:7 236:1 236:3,22 237:6,7 242:7 245:10 looked 138:21 141:18 179:2 188:13 191:17 199:8 223:13 237:15 looking 48:5 52:2 56:12 57:4 77:21 78:4,20 87:1 87:1 100:5 106:12 110:12

167:10 168:8 178:13,14 191:10,11,20 197:5,15 204:6 205:13 211:20 212:15 214:17 216:17 217:1 217:19 219:11 222:16 235:19 242:16 looks 98:15 140:1 151:16 158:6 162:4 168:2 184:8 188:3,15 214:19,22 215:5,6 218:5,22 222:16 223:16 236:13

Los 29:15 **losing** 76:15 lost 241:21 **lot** 13:16 21:14 61:20 82:11 94:6 148:8 148:18 230:14

lots 150:21 **love** 87:3 **low** 143:10 188:5

low-threat

72:22

22

M **Magnus** 12:18 37:15,16 213:16 214:7 234:14 **mail** 170:7 **Maine** 150:6,7

150:12,15 **major** 134:9 136:4 139:3 139:13 majority 46:19

80:12 86:2 96:4 104:11 **making** 53:10 63:12 132:9 241:1

man 160:9 managed 173:6 management 71:6 72:20

135:5,9 155:4 manager 19:3 managing 20:17 94:3

mandatory 88:16,19 89:5 220:9 221:8 manifest 241:17 **manner** 25:21

manning 72:14

| | | | | 23 |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| manpower | 225:17 242:5 | meant 46:12 | 228:4,5,7,11 | 113:2 186:17 |
| 120:22 173:4 | marketed | 114:22 158:4 | 228:11,12,21 | 187:2,9 |
| 208:4 | 251:8 | 208:13 | 229:6 | 212:10 |
| map 81:2 | marriage | measures | memo's 228:7 | Mexico 74:21 |
| march 5:7 9:10 | 253:12 | 206:14 209:6 | 228:22 | 75:1 95:12 |
| 18:3 177:20 | marshals | 209:11 | memoranda | 95:16 96:13 |
| 178:2 179:2 | 186:6 | mechanism | 11:17 22:13 | 96:18 187:6 |
| 179:13,16,17 | mass 61:9 | 115:18 | 118:15,18 | 187:10,15 |
| 179:20 | 171:5 | 117:22 | 198:16 199:7 | 239:11 |
| 180:11 | material 83:3,8 | 144:13 | 201:21 | 244:17 |
| 184:18,20,21 | 83:14,17,22 | media 6:3 | memorandum | Miami 52:21 |
| 198:3,7 | 108:2 | 62:22 63:11 | 5:11,12 24:6 | Michelle 2:17 |
| 207:10 | materials | 155:5 | 40:6 43:10 | 7:7 |
| mark 33:18 | 11:13,16 | medical 91:18 | 43:18 44:15 | mid-level 19:3 |
| 35:12 42:21 | math 184:15 | 173:21 186:2 | 176:4 190:11 | middle 45:5 |
| 101:7 128:13 | 184:15 233:1 | 245:4 | 198:18 199:3 | 139:17 |
| 133:1 137:16 | mathematical | medication | memorandu | midst 233:19 |
| 150:22 154:8 | 122:9 | 10:4 | 39:19,22 | migrant 42:18 |
| 159:18 169:4 | matter 1:17 | meet 117:17 | memos 100:1 | 45:3 63:11 |
| 177:10 | 6:4 11:3 | 178:21 | men 25:14 | 67:19 71:15 |
| 181:16 182:1 | 108:6 152:14 | 191:15 | mention | 74:21 95:2 |
| 190:3 192:17 | 250:8 253:13 | 229:13 | 142:21 201:9 | 96:3,6,18 |
| 194:12 | max 121:21 | member | 248:14 | 117:21 |
| 225:20 | 231:5 | 133:19 | mentioned | 121:16 172:1 |
| marked 3:12 | maximum | 147:12 | 100:19 101:2 | 172:6 173:2 |
| 34:1 35:15 | 122:4 | 157:18 | 118:5 123:3 | 186:10 |
| 43:2 47:4,7 | Mayorkas 4:12 | members | 177:16 202:2 | 187:14,19 |
| 49:2,5 54:5,8 | 60:19 61:7 | 20:16 147:20 | 202:12 214:3 | 208:14 |
| 60:9,12 | meal 26:12 | 205:4,7,9 | 231:15 247:3 | 228:16 |
| 69:10,13 | mean 73:8,12 | memo 4:6 | 249:3 | 230:15 239:4 |
| 76:18,21 | 116:5 121:5 | 45:17 101:2 | mentioning | 241:7 243:19 |
| 96:22 97:3 | 122:3 157:8 | 118:21 | 21:18 | 245:2,3,15 |
| 101:9 123:9 | 176:5,6 | 142:20,21 | mentions | migrants 45:5 |
| 123:12 | 199:16 | 191:21 196:1 | 54:21 200:20 | 56:3,10,14 |
| 128:16 133:4 | 206:19 | 196:5,5,6,9 | mess 166:8,8 | 57:11 61:10 |
| 137:21 | 208:11 209:6 | 196:11,14,17 | 166:10 222:5 | 61:15 62:9 |
| 143:17,20 | 215:14 220:5 | 197:6,15,22 | met 11:9 | 63:9,15 64:1 |
| 151:2 159:15 | 249:11 | 202:7 203:8 | 114:17 | 65:6 73:1,11 |
| 169:6 177:13 | means 73:9 | 206:7 211:21 | 211:21 229:8 | 74:17 92:17 |
| 181:20 182:5 | 91:13 99:13 | 224:8 225:9 | 230:6 | 95:16 107:10 |
| 186:13 190:6 | 109:10 | 226:22 227:3 | method | 123:6 150:6 |
| 192:22 | 140:12 | 227:7,11,11 | 207:20 | 150:9 187:13 |
| 193:17 | 141:22 142:2 | 227:12,13,15 | 208:13 | 200:14,21 |
| 194:15 | 187:3 209:9 | 227:16,21 | Mexican 38:14 | 207:17 232:6 |
| | | ĺ | | |
| | l | I | I | l |

| | l | l | 1 | 1 |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 232:11,12,22 | mobile 84:16 | moving 118:10 | 246:10 | new 22:11 |
| 241:9 243:7 | 210:8 246:15 | 167:12 | 249:15 | 62:17 101:1 |
| 244:12 | 246:19 | 237:22 | nationality | 119:5 167:8 |
| 247:16 | mode 207:5 | MPP 96:7 | 76:11 77:1 | 196:14,17 |
| migration 61:9 | modernize | 107:1 109:15 | 93:5 191:14 | 225:9 |
| 62:22 93:13 | 247:6 | 109:16,21 | nationals | news 61:14 |
| 94:5 149:14 | modifications | 110:14 | 113:2 187:2 | 181:4 |
| 171:6 217:17 | 120:12 | 184:11 | naturalization | newspaper |
| 243:5,11 | module 246:3 | 186:20 187:7 | 75:19 | 180:16,21 |
| 244:18 | moment 74:8 | 239:4,9,12 | near 41:22 | NGOs 45:8 |
| Miller 37:4,10 | 91:1,1 95:4 | 239:15,18 | 74:13 230:21 | 46:21 |
| 60:20 61:8 | money 130:19 | 248:3 | nearest 113:4 | Nicaragua |
| 214:6 | monitor 6:11 | Muffett 2:17 | 144:22 | 61:12 116:19 |
| million 135:7 | 208:14 | 7:9,9 | nearly 148:7 | Nicaraguans |
| 140:3 148:13 | 209:11 | multiple 21:11 | necessarily | 93:10 |
| 250:10 | monitoring | 39:19 120:6 | 52:9 88:4 | nice 226:20 |
| millions 27:11 | 140:11,18 | 121:3 162:5 | 225:9,11 | night 45:5 |
| mind 55:17 | 148:17 | 184:13 | necessary | nine 18:20 |
| minimizing | 209:10 210:8 | 198:10 | 130:13 | 93:21 106:17 |
| 71:15 201:6 | month 29:16 | 249:16 | need 9:11 | 175:20 |
| 201:8 | 185:10 189:6 | mumbled | 40:10 69:21 | Ninety-eight |
| minors 104:3 | 189:7 212:20 | 205:12 | 71:13 73:20 | 90:10 |
| minus 212:9 | 213:3 241:9 | Muster 5:13 | 90:22 99:2 | no- 247:12 |
| minute 53:14 | monthly 183:6 | mystery 160:9 | 114:6 120:19 | no-threat |
| 67:6,7 | 183:8 | | 132:4 150:10 | 250:12 |
| minutes | months 16:16 | N | 159:11 219:7 | nod 114:5 |
| 130:10 168:9 | 18:5 42:3,5 | N 3:1,1 6:1 | 226:6 227:17 | nodded |
| 238:7 | 43:13 51:6 | name 8:3 | 244:8 245:10 | 114:11 |
| misdemeanor | 52:3,12 | 12:12 161:5 | 247:7 | nodes 45:6 |
| 186:8 | 55:16 91:17 | 170:6 | needed 120:11 | nods 8:13 |
| misinformati | 93:22 121:8 | named 39:3 | 144:12 | non-citizen |
| 63:2 67:13 | 148:13 180:2 | narcotics | 210:22 222:8 | 43:11 220:15 |
| mislead 67:13 | 207:11 | 243:9,22 | needs 178:21 | non-citizens |
| mispronoun | morning 6:2 | 244:4 | negative | 146:11,21 |
| 12:12 | 6:16 7:11 8:2 | narrow 233:14 | 150:18 | 147:2 204:4 |
| misrepresen | 200:1 | Natalie 2:4 | neighborho | 206:14 |
| 79:15 | mother 201:17 | 6:21 | 241:18 | 219:14,20 |
| mission 17:2 | 221:1,6 | Natalie.Chri | never 30:13 | 232:5 240:2 |
| 17:18 20:13 | mouth 63:1 | 2:8 | 41:15 55:17 | non-govern |
| 21:6 | 181:9 | Nation 4:14 | 122:13 | 44:2,22 |
| mistreating | move 33:1 | national | 125:11 150:7 | 187:17 |
| 25:20 | 53:14 73:1 | 115:12 | 155:16 | non-MPP |
| mitigation | 77:16 238:9 | 163:16 | 164:22 | 187:1 |
| 228:13 | moved 117:10 | 220:16 231:8 | 192:15 | nongovern |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | | • |

25

| <u> </u> | | | | 23 |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 45.7 | 444.040 | 00:04 44:4 0 | 00.4.44.04.4 | 444.44.00 |
| 45:7 | 111:6,10 | 30:21 41:1,6 | 62:4,11 64:4 | 111:14,20 |
| nonimmigrant | 162:15,19 | 42:19 51:5 | 64:19 65:17 | 112:3 113:9 |
| 191:14 | 165:14 | 52:17 53:1 | 66:10,20 | 113:13,20 |
| nonprivileged | 206:15 | 81:3 98:20 | 67:2 68:4,8 | 115:1 116:21 |
| 195:16 197:2 | NTA/OR'd | 99:16 131:4 | 70:6,16 71:2 | 118:13 |
| noon 119:3 | 161:17 | 137:1 161:21 | 71:12,21 | 121:12 |
| normal 207:2 | NTR 165:5,8 | 178:14 | 72:4,12 | 125:22 |
| 207:15 | 198:6 204:16 | 192:15 | 73:13,22 | 126:11,16 |
| 247:14 | 204:21 207:7 | 212:21 | 74:16 75:12 | 127:14 132:5 |
| normally | 207:9,22 | 226:20 | 76:2,6 77:8 | 132:14 |
| 121:20 145:9 | 218:1 | 229:21 234:7 | 77:19 78:2 | 134:12,18 |
| 207:7 | NTRs 165:9 | 235:16 237:7 | 78:11,16 | 135:12 |
| northern 1:2 | 203:16,16 | 0 | 79:4,13,17 | 136:10 |
| 6:7 17:1 48:2 | number 6:3,8 | | 80:3,10,15 | 137:12 |
| 186:14 | 41:21 42:13 | O 3:1 6:1 | 81:8,13,16 | 139:15,22 |
| 230:18,18 | 48:12 50:8 | O-R-T-I-Z 8:6 | 81:20 82:7 | 140:8,20 |
| 246:22 | 50:11,17,20 | O&S27 131:14 | 82:19 83:9 | 141:4,16,21 |
| Northwest | 51:13,19 | O.R 111:7 | 83:19 84:1,6 | 142:5,11,17 |
| 7:16 | 52:4,6,7,12 | 164:16 186:1 | 84:15 85:5,9 | 143:6 145:2 |
| Notary 7:19 | 53:5 59:13 | Obama 28:15 | 85:14,19 | 147:16 |
| 252:14 253:4 | 68:12 72:2,7 | 70:11 | 86:4,11,17 | 148:10 |
| note 178:18 | 72:8 76:22 | object 9:4 | 87:11,19 | 149:13 |
| notice 1:18 | 76:22 78:21 | 135:16,19 | 88:2,7,11 | 153:12 |
| 74:19 110:15 | 83:16,17,21 | Objection | 89:3,7,12,18 | 154:17 157:7 |
| 110:19 111:1 | 83:22 87:2 | 24:18 25:10 | 90:1,5,9,16 | 157:15,21 |
| 111:7 113:5 | 95:5 97:7 | 26:9 27:18 | 90:19 91:5 | 158:14,20 |
| 113:19 114:1 | 122:10 130:7 | 30:9 31:21 | 91:10 92:5 | 159:8 160:11 |
| 144:7,8,9,17 | 130:21 131:9 | 32:6 33:6,11 | 92:10,18 | 165:15,19 |
| 144:17,18 | 131:10,16 | 33:15 36:21 | 93:2,7 94:19 | 168:15 169:1 |
| 145:9 146:11 | 134:10 135:4 | 40:20 41:3,8 | 95:13,18 | 170:3,9,22 |
| 159:1 162:16 | 137:10 138:3 | 41:18 42:1 | 96:2,9,16 | 171:20 172:5 |
| 163:12 | 142:21 143:4 | 42:16 43:22 | 97:15,19 | 173:11 174:5 |
| 165:21 166:2 | 143:7 147:9 | 44:9,19 | 98:1,6 99:1,6 | 174:13 |
| 185:2 188:4 | 148:11,14,15 | 45:14,20 | 99:12,20 | 176:18 179:9 |
| 189:1,5,8,16 | 148:19 149:5 | 46:4,15 48:3 | 100:3,9,13 | 180:4,18 |
| 189:17 | 151:6 162:14 | 51:15,22 | 100:17 101:4 | 181:1,6,14 |
| 197:19 198:2 | 172:11,15 | 52:15 53:2,7 | 102:4,9 | 185:12 |
| 199:7 206:22 | 188:22 | 53:12,21 | 103:2 104:1 | 187:12 |
| notices 204:7 | 189:18 | 56:4,20 57:2 | 104:6,14,18 | 189:13,21 |
| 204:11 | 225:13 239:9 | 57:17,20 | 105:6,10,20 | 192:9 195:19 |
| November | number's | 58:19 59:5 | 107:6,19 | 198:8 199:11 |
| 55:11 207:10 | 147:17 | 59:10,15,19 | 108:19 109:3 | 199:15 |
| 210:15 | 189:12 | 60:4,22 | 109:13,19,22 | 200:22 |
| NTA 110:15,18 | numbers | 61:16,22 | 110:3,9 | 201:12 202:8 |
| | | | | |
| | l | l | | |

26

| | | | | 20 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | |
| 204:14 206:4 | occasionally | 194:8 | 59:12,17,22 | 111:4,9,16 |
| 206:9,20 | 140:14 141:1 | official 153:22 | 60:18 61:4 | 111:22 112:5 |
| 207:13 | occasions | officials 109:4 | 62:2,6,13,20 | 112:15,18 |
| 208:12 209:1 | 154:22 | 122:1 200:7 | 64:1,10 65:2 | 113:5,5,11 |
| 209:8,17,22 | 216:13 | OFO 186:21 | 65:12 66:5 | 113:15,22 |
| 210:5,18 | occupancy | 190:16 194:4 | 67:11 68:17 | 114:4,8,10 |
| 211:2,15 | 122:17,22 | 194:9,12 | 69:10 70:14 | 115:3,6,8,10 |
| 212:2,8,19 | occupied | oh 50:14 98:18 | 70:20 72:15 | 116:4,11,17 |
| 213:8,13,19 | 155:1 | 102:17 114:8 | 73:16,19 | 117:1,10 |
| 214:15 215:3 | occur 52:4 | 155:8 178:11 | 74:2,12 75:2 | 118:4,10 |
| 215:12,16 | 82:10 205:18 | 195:3 236:18 | 75:6,9,14,18 | 119:3 120:16 |
| 216:3,9 | 239:11 | okay 9:9 10:17 | 75:21 77:4 | 121:9 122:16 |
| 217:5,15 | 244:16 | 11:1,7,10,13 | 78:4,13,18 | 122:19,20 |
| 218:2,10,21 | occurred 50:8 | 11:19,22 | 79:6,10,15 | 123:8,18,21 |
| 220:2,7 | 50:12 176:8 | 12:3,11,15 | 79:22 80:6 | 124:3,13,18 |
| 221:4 222:1 | 176:14 | 12:17 13:1 | 80:12,19 | 124:22 |
| 223:3 224:5 | occurring | 13:10,17,17 | 81:10,15,18 | 125:13,19 |
| 224:20 225:2 | 245:7 | 14:13 15:9 | 81:22 82:4,9 | 126:4,14,18 |
| 225:7,10 | occurs 205:19 | 15:11,14 | 82:13,16 | 126:21 127:5 |
| 226:17 228:1 | 243:13 | 16:4,16,18 | 83:7,16 84:4 | 127:10,22 |
| 228:9,14 | OCONUS 17:2 | 17:11,21 | 84:10,12,18 | 128:4,8 |
| 229:10,15 | October 215:7 | 18:7,17 | 84:22 85:7 | 129:13 |
| 230:8,12 | 216:18 | 19:16 20:3 | 85:11 86:8 | 132:21 134:2 |
| 231:10 232:3 | offenses 112:1 | 21:1 22:8 | 86:13 88:4 | 134:5 135:2 |
| 232:13,18 | office 2:5 | 23:6 24:11 | 89:14,20 | 135:9 136:3 |
| 233:6,15,22 | 16:14 35:8,9 | 24:14 28:13 | 90:13 92:7 | 136:15 137:6 |
| 234:4,17,20 | 37:20 38:1,3 | 29:9,17,20 | 92:14,20 | 138:7,15 |
| 248:12 | 38:9,17 | 30:1 31:5,7 | 93:4 95:4,10 | 139:11,17 |
| 250:18 | 65:19 71:10 | 32:1,16 | 95:15,20 | 140:22 141:6 |
| objections | 141:2 180:2 | 33:20 34:9 | 96:1,11,19 | 142:8,20 |
| 26:14 135:19 | 190:15,18 | 34:18,22 | 96.1,11,19
97:7 98:19 | • |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 36:11 37:1 | | 143:2,17 |
| objects 9:6 | 192:14 193:9 | | 99:4,15 | 144:4,16 |
| observes | 194:8 | 37:13,16 | 100:15,19 | 145:4,11,22 |
| 25:20 | officer 17:17 | 38:9 39:1,6,9 | 101:6,22,22 | 146:5,16 |
| obtain 89:21 | 19:7 85:12 | 39:18 40:8 | 102:7,11,20 | 147:4,22 |
| 207:3,6 | 87:8,16 | 42:10 43:13 | 102:20 103:9 | 148:7,22 |
| obviously 9:4 | 103:19 | 46:1,12,17 | 103:14,21 | 149:9,19 |
| 52:8 148:22 | 112:10,10 | 47:2 48:5 | 104:8,16 | 150:3,14 |
| 149:16 | 205:19 | 50:4,17 51:4 | 105:4,13,18 | 151:15 152:5 |
| 163:15 | officers 38:17 | 51:9 52:2,8 | 106:3,12,19 | 152:8,14 |
| 198:18 | 80:17 154:4 | 53:4,18 | 106:22 107:8 | 153:6 155:3 |
| occasion | 240:5 243:15 | 56:12 57:4 | 107:12,16 | 155:7,13,15 |
| 32:14 117:20 | 246:10 | 57:12 58:3 | 108:21 109:9 | 156:7,15,18 |
| 118:7 229:20 | offices 1:18 | 58:11 59:7 | 109:15 110:6 | 156:22 157:4 |
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |

| | | | | 27 |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 157:12 158:3 | 197:5,15 | 251:11,14 | 28:3 35:9 | orders 74:3 |
| 158:8,22 | 198:5,17,21 | old 201:18 | 37:21 38:2,3 | organization |
| 159:4 160:5 | 199:9 201:5 | 222:11 | 38:10,17 | 34:7,10 |
| 160:8 161:1 | 201:16 | once 66:3 84:2 | 46:10 65:19 | 35:22 45:7 |
| 161:5,12,18 | 202:10,18 | 118:21 164:3 | 126:20 127:3 | 94:1 120:17 |
| 162:3,22 | 203:7,22 | 184:14 | 133:21 153:8 | 143:2 148:20 |
| 163:5,8,18 | 204:3,6,20 | 239:17 | 154:10,13,15 | 200:10 |
| 164:4,9,11 | 205:2,5,10 | one's 226:20 | 160:2,6,19 | organization |
| 164:17,22 | 205:21 206:1 | one-off 92:4 | 161:3 169:19 | 187:17 |
| 165:8,10,12 | 206:19 207:9 | one-page | 170:6 175:17 | organizational |
| 165:21 166:6 | 208:9,16,20 | 191:21 | 177:5 190:15 | 4:3,4 160:14 |
| 166:15,19 | 209:3,14,19 | ones 83:5 | 190:16,19 | organizations |
| 167:6,10,18 | 210:2,9,20 | 116:19 223:1 | 192:14 | 44:3,22 |
| 168:1,8,19 | 211:9,12,20 | 244:6,7 | 193:10 | 63:20,20 |
| 169:3,15,22 | 212:4,11 | ongoing 39:16 | 198:12 | 72:22 83:12 |
| 170:11,14 | 213:2,15 | 53:9 | 235:12 | 83:13 92:15 |
| 171:13,18 | 214:9 215:18 | open 174:17 | operators | 94:7 173:1,2 |
| 172:1,10 | 216:16,20 | opened 120:14 | 171:9 | 241:7,14 |
| 173:7,22 | 217:7,11,19 | 242:13 | opinion | 243:3,4,18 |
| 174:3,8 | 218:4 219:1 | operate 31:4 | 168:16 | organizations' |
| 175:22 | 219:5,7,11 | 120:11 | opportunities | 243:10 |
| 176:11,20 | 220:4,12,14 | operating | 56:10,15,17 | origin 40:5 |
| 177:9,19 | 221:12 222:3 | 17:17 22:16 | 56:22 244:13 | 115:12 |
| 178:1,15,16 | 223:5 224:8 | 22:16 83:13 | 244:14 245:1 | originally |
| 179:19 | 224:11,18,22 | 96:7 137:2 | opportunity | 18:12 114:21 |
| 180:10,14 | 225:4,12 | 203:2 | 95:1 158:16 | ORR 186:9 |
| 181:3,8,11 | 226:4,9,13 | operation 28:1 | 229:18 232:5 | Ortiz 1:15 3:3 |
| 181:16 | 226:16,19 | 190:14 | ops 21:7,8 | 4:2,6 6:4 |
| 182:12 183:3 | 227:10,20 | 211:18 | opted 90:21 | 7:11,13 8:3,5 |
| 183:8,16,19 | 228:4,7,18 | operational | optimal 121:22 | 8:5,7 14:8 |
| 184:8,22 | 229:6,12 | 5:2 16:22 | option 66:22 | 33:22 35:14 |
| 185:14,20 | 230:5,10 | 19:14,21 | 91:14 | 40:18,22 |
| 186:3,12 | 231:5,15 | 20:5,21 | options 231:3 | 41:5,10,15 |
| 187:3,18 | 232:9,20 | 22:17,20,21 | 231:5 | 41:20 43:1,7 |
| 188:3,8,10 | 233:3,13,18 | 22:22 23:2 | order 42:15 | 44:6,11 47:6 |
| 188:15,20 | 235:10,15 | 24:5 35:7,10 | 74:10 104:8 | 49:4 53:18 |
| 189:1 190:2 | 236:7,12,22 | 46:11 96:4 | 104:13 112:6 | 54:1,7 60:8 |
| 190:11,20 | 237:3,9,13 | 158:1,3 | 114:4 184:14 | 67:9,22 69:3 |
| 191:7,10 | 237:19 238:5 | 159:1 171:12 | 185:3 188:4 | 69:12 74:8 |
| 192:13 | 239:7,19 | 177:8 178:21 | 189:2,9,16 | 76:17 86:1 |
| 193:15 194:2 | 242:13 248:2 | operations | 237:20 238:1 | 89:9 90:22 |
| 194:7,11 | 248:9,14,17 | 19:17,21 | 248:7,11 | 92:14 96:21 |
| 195:1,12 | 248:19 | 20:4,8,9,13 | ordered 112:7 | 101:8 122:8 |
| 196:14,17 | 250:22 251:6 | 20:18 23:4 | 112:9 | 123:11,16 |
| | | | | |
| | | • | • | |

| | | | | 28 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 128:15 133:3 | PACR 184:1,4 | 214:10 | 250:17 | 79:20 80:14 |
| 137:20 | 188:15,19 | paper 245:22 | | 81:11 |
| | * | • • | paroles 91:13
217:21 218:1 | • |
| 143:19 151:1 | page 4:2 48:6 | paragraph | | Patel 2:4 7:1,1 |
| 159:14 169:5 | 55:8,18,20 | 54:20 55:12 | PARP 188:15 | path 106:13 |
| 177:12 | 62:14,19 | 62:17,17,18 | part 10:13 | pathway 14:12 |
| 181:19 182:4 | 69:17 71:4 | 67:4,11 | 15:20 16:2 | 75:15 102:22 |
| 190:5 192:21 | 78:21 79:1 | 72:16 153:1 | 21:19 36:19 | 107:1,4,9 |
| 193:16 | 95:6,9 98:11 | 191:11,12,20 | 40:6 58:7 | 109:2,12 |
| 194:14 | 98:16,20 | 200:19 201:2 | 83:1 92:21 | 111:10 |
| 225:16 | 99:15,16,17 | 204:6 212:16 | 103:8 131:19 | 112:21 |
| outcome | 102:22 103:5 | 214:9 223:20 | 132:19 171:1 | 113:17 |
| 253:13 | 103:15 124:4 | paragraphs | 171:3 194:8 | 114:16 |
| outpaced | 124:9,9,10 | 67:7 | 194:12 | 142:16 143:8 |
| 132:11 | 124:11 125:4 | paren 136:6 | 195:17 203:5 | 144:9 163:2 |
| outside 118:8 | 125:5 126:5 | 191:15 192:1 | 203:7 211:12 | 183:14 |
| 123:5 181:12 | 129:14 | 192:2 217:21 | 239:16 | 203:13 |
| 213:15 | 130:12 | 217:22 | participate | 210:17 211:1 |
| overcrowded | 131:16 132:8 | 220:19,19 | 29:15 | 212:12 |
| 69:18 70:21 | 134:3,5,8,21 | parens 191:15 | participated | 229:13 231:2 |
| 144:12,14 | 135:3,3 | parole 14:11 | 21:10 | 231:4 |
| 202:11 | 136:1,4 | 86:15 113:16 | particular 23:2 | pathways 4:17 |
| 228:19 | 138:16,19 | 114:14,15,21 | 145:18,19 | 75:22 78:10 |
| overcrowding | 139:2 153:6 | 115:3,10 | 171:12 | 101:20 |
| 203:22 | 155:22 160:9 | 116:14 117:2 | 177:19 | 106:14 109:7 |
| oversaw 20:18 | 167:11 168:8 | 117:12,15 | particularly | 110:6 111:5 |
| overseas 17:3 | 178:5,7,9,10 | 118:3,11 | 216:6 | 113:18 |
| oversee 16:20 | 178:13,14,16 | 119:1 142:22 | parties 28:22 | 119:15 157:5 |
| 20:9 | 178:17 | 143:8 146:17 | 253:11 | 183:13 |
| oversight | 179:11,12 | 146:21 | partner 21:13 | 186:13 |
| 16:22 | 182:20 183:2 | 147:10,18 | 134:1 | 233:14,16 |
| overview 4:10 | 188:12,12 | 173:22 174:6 | partners 38:14 | patrol 4:6 |
| 4:20 54:12 | 195:1,10 | 174:10 175:7 | 44:5,22 | 14:16,19 |
| 56:1 129:3 | 196:12 | 176:1,14 | 65:10 71:18 | 15:1,5,15,18 |
| 129:19 130:9 | 206:11 212:6 | 190:21,21 | 172:21 188:1 | 16:1,11,13 |
| 120.10 100.0 | 217:8 223:6 | 191:13 192:4 | 200:8 | 16:19 17:5,5 |
| Р | 226:13,16,21 | 193:12 196:1 | partnership | 17:7,10,15 |
| P 6:1 126:2 | 242:13,10,21 | 197:10,10 | 17:13 | 17:7,10,13 |
| p.m 119:10 | pages 98:8 | 204:16 205:5 | Paso 94:4 | 18:21 19:3,4 |
| 174:21,22 | 124:4,5 | 205:11,13 | 214:5 | 20:6,12,15 |
| 222:15 | 135:20,21 | 206:2,7,12 | pass 73:20 | 21:2,10,22 |
| 238:13,14 | 237:15 252:3 | 210:13 | 238:19 | 22:1,9 24:8 |
| 251:20 | paid 207:22 | 228:12 231:2 | | 24:15,21 |
| P.O 2:13 | paid 207.22
pandemic | 231:4,7 | passenger
194:5 | · · |
| pace 217:9 | 121:20 | · · | | 25:3,8,9,15 |
| P400 2 17.0 | 121.20 | paroled 212:7 | passport | 25:19,22 |
| | l | | l | l |

| | | | | 2) |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 26:6 27:13
27:16,20,22
28:8 29:7
30:7,12,17
31:11,18
32:2,8 33:10
33:14 34:13
35:9 36:6,8
36:19 37:14
37:20 38:11
39:13,16,19
40:9,14
41:15 43:17
44:1 46:13
47:20 48:13
50:8,18
51:13,19
52:5 53:5
58:8 59:2
67:9 71:16
72:1 74:7,12
75:10,14
77:17 78:12
79:10 80:7
81:7 84:8
85:3 86:13
86:19 89:10
89:14,20
90:3,7 91:12
101:20
105:14 106:5
108:17 109:5
111:17
119:16,18
122:11 124:6
128:2,4,11
133:22 138:8
138:17 141:9
142:14 143:7
144:10 145:1
145:4,17 | 154:14,15 155:3,4 156:3 157:19 160:3,5,20 160:21 163:3 163:11 164:12 171:15 174:11 175:9 176:10 183:4 185:16 190:17,22 192:15 197:13 199:13 204:17 205:14 207:11 208:5 211:8 227:22 228:16 233:21 235:20 240:9 245:19 246:16 249:8 250:1 Patrol's 26:3 32:22 33:4 36:9,12 62:8 109:1 120:2 133:21 163:10 176:13 245:11 patterns 94:5 pause 26:13 pausing 114:7 pawns 241:8 PD 162:20,20 163:2 164:1 164:22 165:4 | pen 76:15 penalties 195:9 pending 9:12 89:2 107:16 107:17 Pennsylvania 7:15 pens 76:15 Pensacola 1:3 6:8 people 28:3 63:2,3 66:18 67:13 83:8 83:18,21 98:4 116:12 121:21 126:15 140:17,22 142:8 146:1 146:14 148:7 148:8,8,11 148:13 150:20 154:6 166:11 167:14,15 203:5 208:2 247:5 249:10 249:11 perceive 56:11 60:2 perceiving 68:2 percent 90:10 90:18,20 104:22 105:5 105:9 122:22 131:7,8 132:19 154:19 162:7 162:8 200:5 | 104:16 105:5 105:8 perception 58:12,17 180:21 perceptions 58:12 Percival 2:3 6:19,19 174:17 perform 26:7 85:12 performing 86:14,21 peril 241:12 period 28:10 118:2 177:21 185:10 232:19,22 periods 121:6 perished 241:9 perjury 195:9 permanently 155:1 perpetrated 243:14 persecution 85:18,22 86:3 87:10 87:18,21 person 140:17 155:1 156:2 161:1,2 162:5 201:13 206:1 personnel 20:17 121:18 125:1 175:18 211:4,7,13 228:17 | 192:10 pertains 238:3 Peru 245:7 peruse 127:12 Peruvians 93:10 phenomenal 25:15 phenomenon 59:20 phone 81:2 phones 210:8 phrase 141:10 141:12 209:5 physical 41:16 47:12 48:7,9 49:15,21,22 50:2 51:4,6 52:14 119:18 124:1,18 128:5 178:20 182:14 pictured 150:19 PII 151:22 153:7,13 154:6 235:3 PL-01 2:6 place 36:6 46:18 86:9 150:17 196:14 227:13 229:20 232:1 241:8 placing 200:16 Plaintiff 1:7,17 2:2 7:18,22 247:22 plan 4:13 23:3 121:8 246:19 |
| 142:14 143:7 | PD 162:20,20 | 132:19 | 125:1 175:18 | 247:22 |
| 144:10 145:1 | | | | |
| II | | | , , | - |
| 146:3 148:5 | 165:8,10 | 203:2 246:19 | | plans 22:20,21 |
| | The state of the s | | perspective | • |
| 148:20 | 166:11 | 250:4 | 122:9 249:15 | 24:5 |
| 152:19 153:9 | peel 220:21 | percentage | pertaining | platforms |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 30 |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 22:13 63:12 | points 21:1 | 116:5 117:22 | 154:21 155:1 | 38:7,21 |
| 198:10 | 22:2 57:5 | 121:16 126:7 | positive 200:3 | 192:11 |
| play 203:10 | 145:21 | 126:12 | possess 80:4 | president |
| played 249:16 | 198:13 | 131:11 | possible 44:7 | 27:17 28:14 |
| plaza 244:2 | 219:12,17 | 143:10 | 73:2 157:10 | 28:18 29:3 |
| please 6:12 | 227:21 242:6 | 144:14 | possibly 9:21 | 51:6,10 59:1 |
| 8:14 58:20 | 242:17 | 186:10 187:1 | 230:4 232:10 | 59:12,18,22 |
| 91:1 135:18 | policies 21:3 | 208:14 | 234:13 | 68:6 98:13 |
| 154:8 175:4 | 22:14 58:13 | 228:16 | postdates | 98:21 |
| 240:22 | 58:18 59:4,8 | 229:18 | 234:15 | presidents |
| plus 17:2 | 142:13,18 | 230:15 | posture 158:1 | 28:21 |
| 113:16 | 176:13 | 232:11 245:8 | 178:19 179:7 | press 61:20 |
| 114:14,16,21 | policy 11:17 | 247:13 | potential | 180:17 |
| 115:3,10 | 20:12 21:4 | | 172:7 | |
| , | | 249:17,22 | | pressure |
| 116:15 117:2 | 24:15 68:1 | 250:5,13 | potentially | 232:15 |
| 117:12,15 | 73:10 92:21 | populations | 109:14 111:8 | 233:20 234:2 |
| 118:3,11 | 93:5 95:11 | 45:3 65:4,22 | 221:6 232:4 | pretty 117:10 |
| 119:1 146:17 | 95:15,21 | 93:12 94:10 | practically | 184:10 |
| 146:21 | 96:1 109:4 | 94:15 95:2 | 216:2 | 238:10 |
| 147:10,19 | 144:17 | 115:18,20 | preclearance | previous 42:4 |
| 184:11 196:1 | 157:18 | 130:22 172:1 | 38:16 190:15 | 62:17 120:9 |
| 196:2 204:16 | 181:12 191:3 | 172:7 173:3 | predecessor's | 131:5 136:8 |
| 205:5,11,13 | 191:4,8 | 220:10 230:2 | 197:19 | 138:15,20 |
| 206:2,8,12 | 192:7 193:10 | 230:22 241:7 | pregnant 65:9 | 139:9,12 |
| 212:7 228:12 | 193:13 198:3 | 243:19 245:3 | 65:13 91:17 | 144:10 160:9 |
| pocket 80:20 | 199:10 | 245:15 | 116:9 | 160:9 193:8 |
| 81:1,5 | 201:11 | port 65:20 | premise 32:20 | 197:16,18 |
| point 38:13 | 213:17,22 | 113:4 186:20 | premised | 213:11 |
| 51:10 54:21 | 214:13 224:2 | 187:7,10 | 228:8 | 216:12 |
| 55:5,9,16,19 | 224:18,22 | 192:11 | preparation | 220:18 |
| 56:13 57:5 | 227:19 | 217:18 | 13:10 14:1,6 | 221:19 |
| 58:4 71:5 | 234:15,16 | 250:14 | 138:13 | 223:13 228:5 |
| 105:4 114:3 | policy's | portion 3:12 | prepare 11:7 | 228:11 |
| 133:14 146:9 | 234:10 | 129:16 | 11:14 42:17 | 240:18 249:5 |
| 153:4 154:22 | political 37:8 | 138:14,21 | prepared | previously |
| 158:17 | 37:10,17,18 | Portland 150:7 | 42:19 124:14 | 74:4 109:17 |
| 169:20 | 57:6,8,14 | 150:11,13,15 | 137:8 | 110:7 237:15 |
| 177:16 | 245:6 247:19 | ports 35:5 | present 2:16 | 243:21 246:8 |
| 183:21 | population | 36:10 38:5 | 26:18 27:1,6 | primarily |
| 188:16 211:6 | 42:18 63:11 | 38:12,20 | 28:13,17 | 239:22 |
| 213:11 | 67:19 71:16 | 47:17 [°] | 30:7 58:7 | primary 52:22 |
| 219:22 220:6 | 74:22 90:20 | pose 30:19 | 77:6 106:4 | 56:2,13 |
| 225:1 231:1 | 93:20 104:22 | poses 220:15 | 122:11 166:3 | principally |
| 231:6,7 | 113:2 115:22 | position | presenting | 61:11 243:21 |
| , | | - | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| [- | | | | 31 |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | 1 400 0 | 100404057 | 10554077 | 10070 |
| printed 13:15 | 182:8 | 183:13 185:7 | 165:5 167:7 | 186:7,8 |
| 245:21 | problematic | 187:13 | 167:9 186:21 | 200:12 |
| printing 13:8 | 94:1 175:15 | 188:19 | 188:19 | prosecutorial |
| printouts | procedures | 210:16 | 190:16,17,21 | 162:21 163:1 |
| 215:20 | 22:14,16,17 | 250:14 | 190:21 | 163:2,8,19 |
| prior 17:4 18:7 | proceedings | processes | 239:10,15,18 | 164:6,13 |
| 22:1 44:20 | 1:21 | 46:18 | 248:3 | 166:12 188:2 |
| 50:6,14 | proceeds | processing | program's | protect 183:21 |
| 58:15 59:1 | 244:3 | 4:17 14:11 | 208:16 | protected |
| 63:6 68:6 | process 14:11 | 28:5 32:14 | 209:19 | 127:16 |
| 70:19 112:6 | 21:9 22:22 | 45:3 65:14 | programs | 142:18 |
| 185:4 | 25:13 28:1 | 65:21 66:3 | 19:14,21 | 239:15,16 |
| priorities | 73:10 74:18 | 78:10 84:9 | 20:5 21:8 | 248:14 |
| 20:22 | 75:16 76:4 | 84:16,21 | 72:21 135:4 | protecting |
| prioritized | 83:8 88:5 | 85:3 92:8 | 184:17,20 | 73:11 204:3 |
| 31:3 | 107:20 113:3 | 101:20 103:8 | 194:6 247:6 | protection 4:5 |
| prioritizing | 113:11,16,16 | 106:1,14 | prohibiting | 4:10 34:16 |
| 246:17 | 121:16 123:5 | 107:9 109:2 | 237:11 | 34:19 35:1 |
| priority 171:9 | 123:6 127:16 | 111:5 112:11 | prolong 239:1 | 36:1 96:3,6 |
| privilege | 128:5 142:18 | 112:16 | prolonged | 187:14 239:4 |
| 102:13 | 144:13 145:8 | 119:15 120:7 | 71:6,11 | protections |
| 107:20 108:2 | 147:18 | 121:10 122:3 | promise | 72:17 |
| 153:19,21 | 165:13 184:6 | 122:13 | 196:20 | protective |
| 234:21 235:1 | 201:15 203:6 | 132:11 144:9 | 241:10 | 67:15 |
| privileged | 204:7 205:15 | 145:13 157:5 | promoted | protocol 239:4 |
| 102:10,12 | 205:18 | 163:2 183:9 | 19:13 20:1 | protocols 96:3 |
| 153:16 | 206:13,16 | 185:15 188:4 | 169:20 | 96:6 187:14 |
| 175:11 | 207:1,2,6,8,9 | 203:13 211:5 | prompt 184:4 | proud 25:14 |
| proactive | 207:17 208:1 | 230:17 231:2 | promulgated | provide 13:6 |
| 119:19 | 208:10,15 | 245:11 246:3 | 198:2,3 | 40:2 143:3 |
| | 211:10 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 241:10 |
| probably 9:16 | _ | 246:12,13 | property | _ |
| 9:17 21:4 | 221:15,18 | 247:2,6,9 | 241:19,22 | provided 13:9 |
| 22:4 32:21 | 224:6 230:15 | production | proposal | 144:5,22 |
| 71:17 126:21 | 233:7 239:18 | 13:13 | 127:15 | 146:12 147:1 |
| 129:10 | 245:15,17 | professional | 142:16 | 218:18 |
| 154:14,19 | 247:9 | 20:15 | proposals | provides |
| 161:19 162:4 | processed | professional | 68:7 69:5 | 44:12 77:5,6 |
| 200:5 211:6 | 26:19 27:2,7 | 25:18 | proposed | 77:22 130:20 |
| 215:21 | 40:16 64:7 | professionally | 107:17 108:3 | 131:4 |
| 216:11 218:3 | 67:20 84:13 | 26:7 | 108:12 134:9 | providing 22:6 |
| 218:18 | 84:20 86:9 | program 71:7 | 135:22 139:5 | 138:12 218:8 |
| problem 9:15 | 105:1,2 | 130:7 131:15 | proposing | public 7:19 |
| 9:16 129:1 | 107:14 | 131:15 140:2 | 109:5 125:9 | 52:10 108:6 |
| 138:3 176:11 | 166:17 | 140:9 144:7 | prosecutions | 108:8 126:5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 198:21 | QT 248:16 | 241:11,16 | really 94:10 | 238:13 |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 220:17 | quarantine | 243:15 | 122:5,12 | recognizance |
| 228:13 | 200:1 | 250:11 | 166:6 175:14 | 86:16 111:2 |
| 231:11 | quarters | quote 56:2 | 180:8 | 113:6 173:15 |
| 233:10 | 219:16 | 158:19 | reap 244:3 | 173:17 |
| 253.10
252:14 253:4 | queries 147:6 | 170:15,18,21 | • | 173.17 |
| publicly 47:14 | query 144:7 | 170.13,16,21 | reaping 243:4 | |
| 49:13 | 145:17,21 | R | reason 56:11 | 176:1,14 |
| | / | R 6:1 51:1 | 68:2 91:18 | 185:3 188:5 |
| published | 146:17,20 | R-A-U-L 8:5 | 127:11,11 | 189:2,9,16 |
| 108:1 128:9 | 148:3 | radio 176:4 | 130:16 150:5 | recognize |
| 131:21 | question 8:15 | | 154:3 169:15 | 46:21 |
| 133:17 | 8:19,22,22 | raises 30:6 | 173:20,21 | recollection |
| publishes | 9:6,7,12 | raising 196:10 | 201:10 | 102:5 198:15 |
| 123:22 | 26:21 32:21 | ranchers | 220:18 | 199:4 |
| Puerto 52:21 | 58:20 93:14 | 241:19 | reasons 91:15 | recommend |
| pull 215:20 | 149:3 161:9 | range 23:14 | 153:8 174:7 | _ 23:1 |
| purports | 162:14 | ranged 188:5 | 192:4 202:6 | Reconvened |
| 190:11 | 170:14 | rank 166:20 | 234:21 | 68:21 119:10 |
| purpose 94:14 | 174:17 175:4 | 167:2 | 247:17 | 174:22 |
| purposes | 175:5,22 | rate 142:14 | recall 100:21 | 238:14 |
| 192:2 236:11 | 195:5,12 | 173:10 | 118:20 | record 5:14,15 |
| pursuant 1:17 | 245:13 | rattle 26:13 | 151:11 | 6:13 8:4 |
| 104:13,20 | questions 9:4 | Raul 1:15 3:3 | 153:10 | 10:12 68:19 |
| push-pull 56:2 | 32:21 58:22 | 6:4 7:13 8:5 | 156:16,18 | 69:1 98:15 |
| 56:8,13 | 148:20 | reach 122:4 | 159:3 163:14 | 119:12 |
| 242:18,21 | 150:11 202:3 | reached 66:3 | 164:9 166:15 | 174:19 175:1 |
| push/pull | 238:8,17,22 | read 11:22 | 191:7 194:1 | 183:21 |
| 244:10 | 249:3 251:1 | 56:6,15 58:4 | 216:22 | 195:18,22 |
| pushing | quibbling | 69:20 70:1 | 222:22 | 197:2 214:18 |
| 244:10 245:2 | 201:22 | 71:13 73:2 | 242:19 | 217:14 221:8 |
| put 120:4,6 | quick 121:6 | 91:6 99:1 | receive 30:17 | 237:16 |
| 124:19 125:2 | 130:22 | 131:2 134:14 | 227:12 230:2 | 238:11,16 |
| 132:21,22 | quickly 64:8 | 135:14 175:4 | 244:1 | 240:22 246:9 |
| 140:9 159:12 | 73:2 117:11 | 178:21 181:2 | received | 251:16,19 |
| 169:3 180:14 | 157:10 230:4 | 181:4 206:16 | 118:17 | 253:8 |
| 190:2 193:15 | 238:10 | 220:5 251:2 | 161:10 | records 103:6 |
| 194:11 | quiet 205:12 | 251:5 252:2 | 234:19 | 198:22 |
| 207:14 | quite 22:15,18 | readiness | receiving | redaction |
| 225:12 | 23:10 32:13 | 20:13 | 106:9 156:16 | 153:17 |
| 237:13 238:5 | 63:10,14 | reads 69:17 | reception | reduced 72:2 |
| puts 241:12 | 65:9 80:17 | 72:17 114:16 | 156:9 158:19 | 72:7 135:6 |
| putting 241:14 | 84:8 115:17 | 191:21 | Recessed | 239:9 |
| | 149:18 | 206:12 | 68:20 119:9 | refer 34:18 |
| Q | 164:18 | realize 98:20 | 174:21 | 39:6 112:15 |
| | 101.10 | | '' '.~' | 00.0 112.10 |
| | 1 | <u> </u> | l | l |

| \mathbf{a} | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| ٦ | 1 |
| J | J |

| | | | | 33 |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | l | I | I | l |
| 162:8 168:12 | 121:6 | remained | 93:11 116:19 | 175:3,5 |
| reference 79:6 | release 45:18 | 179:14,20 | 158:9 | 183:22 |
| 162:10 | 45:21 46:2 | remaining | repatriated | reporting |
| 212:16 | 86:15 91:18 | 105:2 205:3 | 63:10 64:3 | 128:9 154:1 |
| referral 87:4 | 140:6 141:10 | Remarks 4:12 | 78:15 107:15 | 161:21 168:5 |
| 162:8,8 | 141:12,14 | remember | repatriation | reports 145:22 |
| referred 158:4 | 142:2 158:7 | 29:16 69:6 | 28:6 63:7 | 146:3 160:14 |
| 168:17 | 173:16 | 241:2 | 74:22 82:1,5 | 160:18 |
| referring | 185:20,22 | remind 175:10 | 116:13 | 164:18 183:4 |
| 168:14 | 234:3 | reminds 45:1 | 186:11,13,17 | 183:6 214:22 |
| 170:20 | released 45:5 | remittances | 229:22 | representati |
| 221:19 238:1 | 64:9,12,15 | 244:16,21 | repatriations | 23:11 34:10 |
| refers 112:16 | 64:21 65:15 | removal 28:2 | 106:6,8,9 | representative |
| reflected | 91:4 92:16 | 82:4,17 | repeal 44:17 | 10:8 196:21 |
| 203:8 | 111:8 113:6 | 87:20 88:1 | 237:11,19 | representing |
| reflects 248:10 | 113:7 119:1 | 104:4 107:4 | repeat 26:21 | 180:10 |
| Refugee 73:1 | 127:19 142:6 | 107:8,13,18 | 58:20 | reps 23:18 |
| refused 90:8 | 144:16 146:2 | 108:9,12,18 | repeated | repurposing |
| refusing 90:4 | 146:11,21 | 109:11 110:2 | 106:19 | 178:20 |
| regard 242:2 | 154:5 157:11 | 110:13 112:6 | rephrase 8:20 | request 81:19 |
| regarding | 158:18 | 114:4 126:20 | replacing | 90:11 145:6 |
| 107:17 | 166:11 172:8 | 127:2 183:17 | 203:16 | 145:12,12 |
| 116:18 | 185:3 189:3 | 185:4 239:21 | report 5:7 37:1 | 251:7 |
| 177:17 | 189:4,8,17 | 240:2 | 104:21 | requested |
| regardless | 189:20 206:2 | removals | 127:22 | 118:19 |
| 67:19 102:21 | 229:9,11,12 | 131:1 | 140:13 | 125:19 136:9 |
| region 52:21 | 230:7,9,10 | remove 32:2 | 143:11 144:7 | 136:13,17,19 |
| regional 57:5 | 250:21 | 171:14 | 144:8,9,17 | 136:20 |
| 57:8,13,13 | releases 43:11 | removed | 146:1,11,15 | requests |
| regions 17:2 | 44:21 91:11 | 26:19 27:2,7 | 147:3 150:4 | 13:12 14:5 |
| Register 108:1 | 143:8 164:12 | 65:16 78:14 | 161:13,14 | 191:22 |
| Registration | releasing | 79:16,20 | 164:12 165:1 | require 30:14 |
| 253:22 | 91:14 141:19 | 81:18 112:8 | 166:2 180:9 | 89:21 206:14 |
| regularly | 162:15,16 | 112:9,14 | 180:13 189:5 | 225:9 |
| 154:5 | 173:15 | 113:3 239:18 | 197:19 198:2 | required 95:15 |
| reinstatement | 174:10 175:8 | removing | 199:7 206:14 | requires |
| 112:5 185:4 | relevant | 170:16 171:9 | 206:22 | 100:15 171:4 |
| reinvest 71:6 | 128:11 | 173:8 | 217:13 | 206:21 |
| related 101:2 | 227:22 | rendered | reported 26:1 | requisite |
| 253:10 | relief 100:7 | 112:13 | 52:10 160:8 | 203:3 |
| relations 11:6 | relieve 203:22 | rep 215:14 | 167:20 215:6 | rescinded |
| relationship | remain 60:3 | 238:9 251:16 | reporter 6:14 | 42:15 196:12 |
| 39:16 40:8 | 95:12,16 | repair 241:20 | 8:10 9:20 | rescinds 228:5 |
| relatively 64:8 | 96:13 187:15 | repair 241.20 | 26:16 114:13 | rescission |
| | 00.10 107.10 | Topatriate Ut. | 20.10 117.13 | 10001331011 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | l |

| | | | | 1 |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 44:7 | restart 59:17 | revising | 84:22 85:16 | 155:7,17,17 |
| reserve 118:20 | restarted 96:1 | 178:18 179:6 | 87:7 88:10 | 155:21 156:2 |
| residential | 248:6 | revision 224:2 | 88:21 93:9 | 156:4,15 |
| 31:4 72:14 | restaurant 8:9 | RGV 167:21 | 97:11,14,22 | 157:6,14,18 |
| 156:6,8 | 9:17 | 168:12 | 98:3,5,8,21 | 157:0,14,10 |
| resign 90:21 | restrict 109:2 | Rico 52:21 | 98:22 99:5,8 | 157.20 |
| resolution | | | · · | • |
| | Restrictions | rid 231:18,21 | 99:11,22 | 159:7,22 |
| 137:3 203:1 | 98:13 | 236:5 | 100:12,16 | 160:3,8 |
| resort 114:16 | restructure | right 8:11 9:3 | 102:20 103:4 | 161:7,22 |
| resources | 21:12,19 | 10:3,18 | 103:14 106:1 | 162:15,18,20 |
| 211:16,17 | restructuring | 13:20 14:4 | 106:12,22,22 | 164:4,6,17 |
| resourcing | 21:9,18,22 | 14:20,22 | 107:3 108:17 | 165:14,17,18 |
| 20:19 21:9 | result 165:12 | 15:2,15,21 | 109:12,21 | 166:1,19,21 |
| respect 13:6 | 248:7 | 18:12 24:5 | 110:8,18,22 | 167:10,15,16 |
| 17:18 45:9 | retain 157:14 | 26:5 27:17 | 112:18 | 168:6 169:3 |
| 72:6 148:18 | retained 96:14 | 28:8,15 | 114:19,21 | 169:15,19,20 |
| 176:13 | retired 16:4 | 29:17 31:10 | 115:15 116:2 | 170:2,8,11 |
| respective | 90:21 | 31:15,17 | 116:17 119:3 | 170:12 177:9 |
| 45:1 | return 74:21 | 32:2 34:13 | 119:14 120:1 | 177:17,21 |
| respond 62:2 | 78:17 106:18 | 35:11 36:18 | 120:2,18 | 178:1,4 |
| 211:13 | 112:20 | 37:5,19 39:4 | 121:3,11 | 179:2,11,16 |
| responding | 115:18 118:1 | 39:6,10,12 | 122:22 124:8 | 179:17,21 |
| 167:14 | 187:4,5 | 39:15,22 | 124:8 125:2 | 180:3,12,14 |
| responds | returned 15:5 | 40:4,13 41:7 | 125:4 126:15 | 180:22 181:3 |
| 162:18 | 19:10 187:14 | 43:16 47:15 | 127:3,5,8 | 181:5,9 |
| response 8:16 | returns 103:18 | 47:20,21 | 129:8 130:16 | 182:12,17,19 |
| 62:8 | reveal 175:11 | 48:1,7,13,17 | 131:14 132:2 | 183:6,12,14 |
| responses | review 11:13 | 48:19 49:1 | 132:4,7,21 | 183:17 184:8 |
| 8:10 13:12 | 11:16 13:11 | 49:10,13,18 | 133:21 134:8 | 184:9,18 |
| 14:1,5 | 68:7 87:20 | 50:2,9,14,18 | 135:4,11,22 | 185:2,11,14 |
| responsibilit | 88:10,13 | 51:1,2,12 | 136:12 137:6 | 185:18 |
| 21:16 36:12 | 103:15 | 52:6,11 | 137:14 138:9 | 186:17 187:3 |
| 38:10 | 108:15 | 54:18 55:8 | 138:11,17,19 | 187:20,21 |
| responsibility | 127:20 | 56:8,17 57:4 | 138:22 139:3 | 188:6,13 |
| 28:2 240:3 | 133:17 167:4 | 58:6 61:20 | 139:6 140:16 | 189:1,9,11 |
| responsible | 167:8 184:5 | 62:3,10 66:7 | 140:18 141:2 | 189:20 |
| 16:22 21:5 | | , | | 190:20 192:7 |
| | 184:6 205:17 | 66:19,22 | 141:15,20 | 190:20 192:7 |
| 35:3,4,6 36:9 | 244:8 | 67:1,16 69:3 | 142:3 145:1 | |
| 38:18,20 | reviewed 12:3 | 69:8 71:4 | 145:14 146:5 | 193:12,13,15 |
| 129:19 | 13:22 14:4 | 73:17 75:6,7 | 146:9,18 | 194:11 195:8 |
| 202:17 | 69:5 104:21 | 75:11 76:14 | 147:4,15,22 | 195:13,14 |
| 239:22 | 134:19 | 77:16,18 | 149:6 152:6 | 196:10,12,15 |
| rest 221:13 | reviews | 78:20 80:14 | 152:9,12,14 | 196:22 197:5 |
| 238:9 | 103:19 | 82:16 84:4 | 152:21 153:4 | 197:9,10,13 |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | | | |

35

| | Ī | Ī | Ī | 1 |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 197:20 198:3 | 245:10 | roughly 16:16 | 103:10 | 42:6 |
| 198:21 | 247:20 248:6 | 20:14 29:13 | 150:18 172:4 | seat 122:2 |
| 199:14 | 248:11 249:5 | 29:21 38:17 | 220:20 241:2 | second 26:13 |
| 201:16 | 250:22 251:6 | 43:13 52:3 | says 56:14 | 55:9,18,20 |
| 203:17 | 251:15 | 52:11 71:10 | 62:18 67:8 | 69:16 71:5 |
| 204:11 206:8 | rigorous | 207:10,11 | 67:12 71:5 | 78:21 83:1 |
| 208:9,18 | 206:12,19,21 | 250:5 | 78:6 87:4 | 88:18,18 |
| 209:16,21 | Rio 4:12 18:9 | route 207:19 | 88:16,18 | 125:13 |
| 210:4,10,20 | 18:15 19:6 | row 39:4 | 95:7 98:12 | 146:16 153:6 |
| 211:20 212:1 | 20:1 23:22 | rule 108:3,12 | 100:18 103:5 | 154:2 167:11 |
| 212:15,15,22 | 61:9 63:19 | 180:16 | 103:15 106:4 | 179:12 182:2 |
| 213:5,6,10 | 63:22 64:2,6 | rules 8:7 | 112:20 | 191:12 |
| 213:15,21 | 65:20 70:8 | 107:17,17 | 125:15 | 200:19 201:2 |
| 214:1,13,17 | 94:2,2,12,12 | run 19:9 148:3 | 132:16 | 204:6 212:6 |
| 215:5 217:16 | 117:9,9 | running 147:6 | 146:15 147:3 | 214:9 217:8 |
| 217:19 218:4 | 120:8 167:22 | Ryan 2:12 7:5 | 153:8 162:6 | 241:17 |
| 218:4,8,13 | 168:20,21 | 7:5 | 168:11 | 242:13 |
| 218:15,20 | 175:13 176:3 | | 179:12,16,19 | secretary 4:12 |
| 219:2,9,14 | 176:12 181:9 | S | 189:3 191:12 | 60:19 61:7 |
| 219:19 220:6 | 201:18 211:5 | S 3:1 4:1 6:1 | 201:4 209:5 | 227:13 |
| 221:12,13,16 | 212:4,5 | 220:19 | 217:9 218:12 | section 4:15 |
| 221:21 222:9 | 216:13,16,20 | safe 53:10 | 219:1,19 | 4:16 76:10 |
| 222:16,18,20 | 217:9,17,20 | 64:6 73:1 | 220:13 | 76:12 77:1,4 |
| 223:5,7,12 | 218:22 223:9 | safeguard | 221:21 | 77:5,16,22 |
| 223:15,21 | 223:17 | 72:18 | 223:18 224:8 | 78:5,5 87:7 |
| | | | | |

safely 121:15

45:2 121:17

200:21 202:5

231:11 242:2

San 18:21 94:3

safety 31:2

220:17

Salvador

186:15

SAR 236:16

SAR122

237:17

Saturday

171:2

241:9

saying 50:2

saw 13:14

70:9 212:20

237:7,8,22

224:12,16

scans 251:8

scene 84:13

63:6 229:22

151:17 153:2

153:4 155:2

seaports 35:5

seasonal 42:8

seasonality

scheduled

Scott 5:4

144:11

198:16

screening

79:22

38:5

235:20

scenarios

42:17

88:16 91:2,8

92:7 97:5,8

97:11,12

134:3,6

98:9,10,21

99:4 100:5,7

135:19 136:4

138:16 139:3

191:13,16

19:6 20:2

22:22 23:6

23:12,18,20

23:22 24:2,7

176:10 177:4

44:16 64:2

83:10,11

167:15

175:18

sector 18:9,15

224:1,9,13

225:6,12

226:4,7,19

227:6,10,20

228:4,4,5,8

228:13 229:1

229:9 230:11

231:9,19

232:2,12

233:5,21

234:15

236:2,9

234:3,10,11

235:22 236:1

237:22 238:5

242:15 243:6

238:5 242:6

237:3,6,13

224:19 225:1

246:20,20

220:11,17

250:3.3

risk 115:21

163:17

231:12

144:10

151:17

153:10

169:22

roll 246:20

167:2

roll-out 218:6

rolled 166:20

rolling 246:1

rough 65:5

rooms 184:13

role 18:8 52:8

Rodney 5:4

36

| [| | | | 30 |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 400 40 45 | 1 | l | l | |
| 198:13,15 | 130:18 | seen 32:14 | sensitive | 202:15 |
| 199:19 | 133:10 | 34:4 35:19 | 153:20 154:4 | 205:22 240:4 |
| 210:21 228:2 | 137:10 138:5 | 41:12 42:2,8 | sent 153:2,3 | serving 161:2 |
| 230:22 | 143:3 144:5 | 43:6,17,18 | 153:14 | set 60:6 76:4 |
| 239:12 | 163:16 | 52:20 54:15 | 154:11 161:8 | 147:21 253:7 |
| 248:10 | 220:16,16 | 59:21 60:16 | 185:18 197:6 | 253:14 |
| 249:14 | 231:8 246:10 | 70:17 74:3,4 | 197:9 198:7 | sets 164:20 |
| sector's 23:12 | 247:15 | 101:16 | 198:9 214:19 | setting 96:15 |
| sectors 20:7 | Security's | 117:19 | sentence 63:5 | settings 30:22 |
| 20:19 23:14 | 13:12 123:19 | 123:15 | 67:12 69:16 | 204:1 |
| 23:14 44:1 | 139:20 | 125:11 | 70:1,4,4 | seven 30:16 |
| 44:12,20 | see 37:20 42:4 | 132:18 144:2 | 72:17 73:5 | 56:1 106:14 |
| 45:2,18 66:1 | 45:4 52:8 | 145:22 146:4 | 125:14,15 | 106:20 |
| 66:2 93:22 | 57:6 58:13 | 149:14,21 | 179:12 | 110:11,12 |
| 94:5,12,18 | 62:19 71:7 | 150:2 151:6 | 200:19 201:2 | 112:19 162:1 |
| 100:21 | 73:6 77:13 | 164:22 | 201:3 203:12 | Seventh 1:19 |
| 114:17 117:5 | 78:7 79:8 | 169:12,14 | 206:11,16 | shakes 8:12 |
| 117:7,13,15 | 83:12 87:5 | 171:22 190:9 | sentences | shaped 102:21 |
| 117:17,13,13 | 88:19 94:4 | 193:6,22 | 72:16 204:9 | • |
| II ' | | , | | shapes 102:1 |
| 118:4,7,8,16 | 94:11 100:14 | 194:21 196:8 | separate 32:4 | 102:3 |
| 122:6 161:22 | 103:6 105:16 | 198:17 201:9 | 32:9 39:9,12 | shared 208:21 |
| 168:3 175:20 | 110:16 111:2 | 226:2 239:14 | 167:19 | 209:4 |
| 176:16,21 | 125:6,17 | 243:14 | 210:22 | Sharma 2:18 |
| 177:6 197:13 | 126:4 131:17 | 244:19 249:4 | separated | shop 20:12,13 |
| 198:14 211:3 | 133:20 135:1 | 249:5 | 32:13 | short 31:19 |
| 213:16 214:1 | 135:2 149:18 | segregate | separating | 232:19 |
| 215:1 218:19 | 165:4 170:17 | 31:19 32:4 | 221:9 | 251:17 |
| 243:13 | 171:7 172:8 | 247:12 | September | show 33:17 |
| 246:17 | 172:10 173:4 | seizes 75:7 | 15:19 61:3 | 35:11 42:20 |
| 249:16 | 180:20 204:9 | seizing 75:2 | 66:5,7 215:7 | 47:4 49:1 |
| secure 36:16 | 214:11 | seizure 75:3 | 217:7,20 | 54:5 60:12 |
| securing 4:13 | 219:20 227:7 | seizures | series 32:21 | 69:10 76:21 |
| 36:9 | 227:9 230:20 | 243:17 | 214:18 | 97:3 101:6 |
| security 4:3,18 | 234:8 237:6 | select 114:17 | 224:11 | 123:8 133:1 |
| 4:19,21 5:1 | 238:8 241:13 | send 43:21 | serve 16:10 | 143:17 |
| 7:15 10:9 | 241:16,18 | 198:12 | 18:8,14 | 150:21 |
| 13:19 15:4 | 243:17 244:2 | sending | served 18:4 | 165:22 |
| 17:18 21:20 | 244:19 245:6 | 161:20 162:4 | 19:20 153:10 | 235:21 |
| 31:2 34:8,11 | seeing 246:17 | senior 18:22 | service 126:5 | showed |
| 35:2,3 45:2 | seek 74:15 | 19:11 | 238:18 | 231:17 |
| 94:3 115:21 | seeker 247:13 | senior-level | services 1:19 | shows 149:22 |
| 121:17 | seeking 108:2 | 153:22 | 6:14 30:19 | 179:13 |
| 123:22 129:2 | 247:16 | sense 130:3 | 31:15 35:10 | shut 65:20 |
| 129:8 130:17 | seeks 69:19 | 217:2 221:12 | 35:10 73:1 | 120:13 |
| 128.0 130.17 | 300N3 09.19 | 211.2221.12 | 35.10 / 3.1 | 120.13 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| 2 | \neg |
|--------|--------|
| .) | 1 |
| \sim | • |

| shut-downs | citting 9:10 | comobody | 00:12 04:16 | choulete |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | sitting 8:10 | somebody | 80:13 94:16 | speculate |
| 200:13 | 52:11 108:16 | 25:20 91:14 | 108:22 | 164:5,8 |
| side 102:1 | situation 62:3 | 91:16,19 | 139:19 | speeches |
| 211:7,7 | 62:8 214:22 | 112:7 207:2 | 161:22 | 181:8 |
| sign 195:8 | situations | 246:8 | 176:17 | speed 237:14 |
| signature | 45:11 46:13 | somebody's | 230:21 | 242:8 246:11 |
| 178:4 195:4 | 91:15 92:3,4 | 168:16 | 233:20 | spell 8:3 |
| 195:6 226:14 | 221:5 | son 220:22 | 240:12 | spend 13:15 |
| 226:16 252:7 | six 16:21 35:7 | 221:1 | 249:20 | spent 18:21 |
| signed 43:10 | 36:2 74:5 | sooner 245:18 | southwest | 28:5 |
| 195:14 199:3 | 106:14 | SOP 24:6 | 4:10 17:1 | spike 70:9 |
| 201:14 226:5 | 149:22 | SOPs 22:15 | 44:13,17 | 199:21 |
| 245:21 | slash 110:19 | sorry 47:2 | 46:20 54:12 | 230:20 |
| significant | 111:2,9 | 50:4,6,14 | 74:13,18 | spikes 117:20 |
| 63:1 70:9 | 112:20 113:6 | 61:5 77:15 | 93:21 118:12 | split 105:12 |
| 199:19,21 | 189:17 | 88:17 98:18 | 149:11 | 220:20 221:2 |
| 200:17 | 219:13,19 | 114:10 | 175:20 183:9 | spoke 242:18 |
| 202:15 | slew 207:5 | 155:22 | 185:16 | spoken 12:7,9 |
| 216:15 244:9 | slightly 221:17 | 162:12 178:9 | 199:21 214:1 | 12:15,20 |
| 245:8,16 | 229:7 | 180:7 205:11 | 229:19 | sponsor 91:19 |
| similar 17:13 | small 188:22 | 236:16 237:4 | 246:22 | spots 111:1 |
| 129:6 165:18 | 236:16 | 242:11 | space 31:1 | spread 94:18 |
| 199:3 222:14 | smart 176:6 | 248:20 | 32:11 45:10 | spring 54:21 |
| | | | | |
| 225:5 227:15 | smugglers | sort 80:5 | 72:6 122:1 | 55:3,6 |
| 235:9 | 63:1 67:12 | 121:13 | 123:6 158:12 | ss 253:2 |
| single 17:8 | 67:16 81:3 | 247:18 | 191:22 228:8 | staff 19:7 |
| 30:8 31:20 | 180:20 | sounds 50:2 | speak 11:11 | 20:15 127:12 |
| 32:5,9,15 | 241:16,22 | 171:7 184:10 | 12:12,17 | 127:20 |
| 92:12 102:5 | 242:1 | sources 181:4 | 71:22 135:12 | 133:17,19 |
| 103:21 | smuggling | 244:2 | speaking | staged 217:18 |
| 114:17 115:4 | 63:20 83:2 | south 38:14 | 148:12 | stamp 218:9 |
| 115:10 116:2 | 83:12 173:1 | 63:13,17,22 | special 20:8 | 222:4 |
| 116:15 117:2 | 207:21,22 | 172:21 | specific 11:1 | stamped |
| 118:10,18,22 | 244:4 | 175:14 | 60:17 94:15 | 226:20 |
| 143:9 165:10 | snapshot | 239:13 | 117:5 151:14 | stand 219:3 |
| 199:18 | 235:20 | 240:11 | 164:7 177:7 | standard |
| 223:19 224:3 | 236:14 | 243:13 245:5 | 215:10 | 22:15 25:22 |
| 224:16 225:1 | social 62:22 | 249:20 | 222:22 | standing |
| 229:3,8 | 63:11 155:5 | southern | 243:12 | 121:2 |
| 230:5 244:12 | soft-sided | 40:15,19 | specifically | standpoint |
| sir 18:6 55:21 | 120:4,6 | 41:2,11 | 12:10 207:18 | 20:20 |
| 187:5 219:1 | 121:6 | 52:18 53:1 | 216:22 | stands 126:1,2 |
| site 47:15 | solution | 53:10 57:9 | 221:19 222:7 | 126:10,13 |
| 49:13 52:10 | 229:21 | 74:12 80:7 | 250:3 | 127:2 184:4 |
| | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| Tr. | | | | 50 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| otonio 120:10 | 02:4 07:0 42 | Stratagy 5:0 | 100:1 11 | 74:7 84:12 |
| staple 128:19 | 93:1 97:8,13 | Strategy 5:2 | 100:1,11 | _ |
| stapler 128:18
start 23:18 | 104:12 | stressful | subsequently
19:13 | 91:21 93:15 |
| | 139:16 153:9 | 25:16 | | 114:12 119:8 |
| 119:5 135:3 | 160:20 | stretching | substance | 126:2 148:4 |
| 161:18 | 180:13 | 122:5 | 112:1 | 151:21 |
| 168:13 | 181:13 231:9 | strictly 247:17 | substances | 155:10 |
| 183:22 | 253:1 | strike 23:6 | 10:4 | 171:16 208:5 |
| 230:20 | station 2:13 | 40:13 55:15 | subtractions | 248:21 |
| started 163:22 | 19:4 | 55:17 74:8 | 128:1 | 249:15 251:9 |
| 188:16 249:8 | stations 19:5 | 77:15 106:6 | sufficient 31:1 | surge 108:21 |
| starting 18:17 | 122:7 | 130:5 180:7 | suggested | 211:13,16 |
| 138:16 173:4 | statistics 4:7,8 | string 161:20 | 173:10 | 212:22 213:3 |
| 203:12 211:4 | 5:8,10 47:11 | structure | suggesting | 240:15 |
| starts 130:7 | 47:14,17,21 | 160:14 247:5 | 221:3 | surged 240:12 |
| 136:1 188:11 | 49:9,12 | struggled | summarize | 240:13 |
| stash 241:18 | 149:21 | 154:21 | 18:18 | surges 240:7 |
| state 1:6 2:5 | 182:13,16 | stuck 222:12 | summary | 240:16,18 |
| 6:5,17,19,22 | 212:18 | sub 78:22 87:2 | 123:22 | 243:5 249:5 |
| 7:1 8:3 19:11 | stats 217:13 | 87:15 88:14 | 124:13 219:5 | 249:19,21 |
| 23:20 145:19 | status 67:15 | 88:15,17,17 | 227:21 | surprising |
| 187:20 188:1 | 200:2 239:16 | 99:18 100:6 | superseding | 98:4 |
| 211:17 | statute 77:5,22 | 100:6,15,15 | 197:16,19 | surrounding |
| statement | 92:2 191:17 | sub-bullet | supervisor | 66:1 |
| 67:5 168:14 | statutes 79:7 | 56:13 | 19:1 22:4 | survey 54:2 |
| states 1:1,9 | 87:3 166:10 | subcompon | 26:7 | susceptible |
| 6:5 7:4,6,8 | statutory 91:3 | 34:15 | supplies 203:3 | 201:19 |
| 7:10 16:12 | stay 67:21 | subject 11:3 | support 30:13 | suspended |
| 17:6,10,15 | steady 70:17 | 104:3 107:13 | 30:18 120:22 | 248:3 |
| 18:21 25:22 | stenotype 1:22 | 107:19 | 202:16 203:4 | suspension |
| 26:19 27:1,6 | Stephanie | 116:13 119:5 | 232:7 | 98:12 248:10 |
| 27:12 28:14 | 2:17 7:9 | 119:16 152:8 | supported | SWB 236:14 |
| 28:18 34:13 | steps 119:19 | 152:14 | 72:21 | swear 6:14 |
| 34:18 35:8 | sticker 159:11 | subjects | supporting | sworn 7:19 |
| 36:16,20 | 159:12 | 184:13 | 230:17 | 252:9 253:7 |
| 39:3 41:2,7 | Stone 211:18 | submit 23:2 | supposed | system 185:8 |
| 41:21 42:14 | stood 120:9 | submitted | 81:11,15 | 245:22 246:2 |
| 45:19 46:3 | 121:5 | 90:11 | 82:5 86:9 | systems |
| 53:20 54:3 | stopped 72:10 | subordinates | 87:8,16,22 | 145:20 |
| 56:19 58:1,9 | stops 207:20 | 12:20 13:5 | 89:1 230:13 | |
| 59:14 60:2,3 | stored 245:21 | Subscribed | sure 8:15 | T |
| 62:10 64:12 | straight 231:2 | 252:9 | 10:12 23:17 | T 3:1,1 4:1 |
| 64:15 65:15 | strain 200:17 | subsection | 26:22 54:16 | tab 68:12 |
| 67:21 68:2,3 | strategic | 79:7 87:14 | 58:21 62:15 | table 34:7 |
| 77:6 80:8 | 129:15,18 | 98:10 99:19 | 64:8 72:18 | 35:22 185:15 |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 1 | <u> </u> |

| | | | | 39 |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| tabletop 171:2 | talking 8:9 | telling 67:18 | 60:14 101:14 | 164:15 166:2 |
| 171:3,11 | 9:17,21 | 67:18 130:18 | 133:6,7 | 173:12 |
| take 8:12,16 | 32:17 34:20 | 179:4 185:17 | 138:1 143:22 | 177:21 |
| 9:7,22 10:15 | 55:1 64:17 | 202:4 222:4 | 151:4 154:2 | 179:14 182:9 |
| 33:4 67:6,7 | 74:5 116:1 | temporarily | 159:20 | 182:19 183:2 |
| 68:10,11 [°] | 116:18 | 28:9 | 169:10 | 184:9 188:11 |
| 69:20 71:12 | 119:14 | temporary | 181:22 182:7 | 194:4 196:4 |
| 81:14 86:9 | 126:14 | 67:15 214:14 | 193:4,20 | 196:8 198:1 |
| 90:22 91:1 | 129:17 | 237:10 | 194:19 | 198:5 200:1 |
| 99:10 119:4 | 135:20 | ten 10:22 | 225:22 | 203:7,11 |
| 119:6,7 | 146:18 165:5 | 76:15 238:7 | 238:18,22 | 204:8 210:14 |
| 154:8 174:15 | 196:3 203:15 | tender 30:15 | 247:20 | 213:21 214:3 |
| 196:9 198:18 | 235:8 243:2 | tends 149:17 | thereto 128:10 | 216:21 220:8 |
| 214:7 219:7 | talks 55:9 | tens 64:17 | thing 9:15 | 222:9 235:13 |
| 233:1 238:6 | 77:13 125:19 | term 41:22 | 135:14 219:2 | 237:14 |
| 246:6 | 194:5 204:7 | 46:6 80:21 | 219:5 | 244:11 |
| take-away | 214:10 | 105:8 147:14 | things 8:13,14 | 250:20,22 |
| 219:7 | Tallahassee | territory 95:8 | 12:10 25:13 | thinking |
| taken 1:18,21 | 2:6 | terrorist | 45:4 64:5 | 145:12 |
| 6:4 65:19 | targeted 94:15 | 220:22 221:2 | 97:12 147:9 | 156:18 |
| 84:7 112:13 | task 19:9 | terrorists | 150:7 172:12 | third 62:14 |
| 119:19 | team 236:5 | 97:21 | 172:17,20 | 95:9 112:18 |
| 176:15,15 | technical | tested 157:11 | 175:13 [°] | 116:9 152:21 |
| 232:15 | 140:12 | 200:3 | 198:22 218:9 | 152:22 184:7 |
| takes 120:18 | 155:20 209:9 | testified 7:20 | 230:5 239:1 | 188:12 189:2 |
| 121:3,3,8 | technically | 239:2 | 239:14 241:1 | 212:16 |
| talk 9:18 26:12 | 99:18 203:16 | testify 10:5 | 242:8 243:1 | 236:13 |
| 32:19 91:11 | technology | 177:21 | 245:13 | thorough |
| 114:14 | 173:3 | testifying | think 13:2 | 208:6 |
| 119:17 | telephone | 10:13,14 | 32:18 53:15 | thought |
| 121:22 156:1 | 176:4 | 177:17 | 54:6 60:6 | 167:18 |
| 205:10 211:1 | telephonic | testimony | 61:15 69:3 | 175:22 199:6 |
| talked 62:18 | 198:10 | 253:8 | 80:19 83:11 | thousand |
| 62:21 106:7 | telephonically | Texas 18:10 | 83:13 87:2 | 61:10 62:9 |
| 107:1,3 | 176:9 | 19:2 61:10 | 88:15 92:1 | 66:18 232:22 |
| 109:15 | tell 62:16 89:9 | 158:2 167:17 | 93:8 94:8 | 247:5 |
| 110:13 | 89:14 109:5 | 175:14 | 95:5 96:19 | thousands |
| 162:22 | 150:5 151:21 | 239:13 | 101:12 | 64:17 231:19 |
| 173:14 181:9 | 161:7,11 | 240:11 | 116:16 | 231:22 |
| 183:16 184:2 | 163:7,20 | 249:20 | 118:18 120:4 | threat 143:10 |
| 184:12 185:2 | 166:18 | thank 8:7 | 121:19 148:4 | 163:16 |
| 185:4,4,5 | 201:13 | 35:13,17 | 150:10 152:5 | 220:15 231:8 |
| 213:6 227:3 | 202:20 236:3 | 43:4 47:9 | 152:22 | 231:8,9 |
| 239:21 | 249:12 | 49:7 54:10 | 161:18 | 246:10 |
| | | | | |
| L | <u>- </u> | <u> </u> | - | • |

| | | | | 1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 247:13 | 154:16,19 | today's 251:18 | trafficking | 96:12 |
| three 20:11 | 156:1,2,20 | told 64:1,5,6,7 | 92:14 173:2 | treatment 30:2 |
| 21:5 42:9 | 157:2 160:3 | 64:8 81:6 | 243:7,22 | 72:18 95:7 |
| 51:6 52:3,11 | 160:4 161:7 | 144:21 172:7 | 244:5 | trek 63:13,18 |
| 55:16 62:7 | 165:22 167:1 | Tonelli 2:17 | trailer 241:10 | trend 42:8 |
| 72:16 87:3 | 168:1 169:20 | 7:7,7 | training 30:17 | trends 244:19 |
| 88:15,17 | 175:12,16,19 | Tony 160:1 | transcribed | triangle |
| 93:22 94:12 | 176:12,10,19 | 171:8 | 1:22 | 186:14 |
| 102:1,3 | 177:16,20 | top 18:19 | transcript 3:12 | trick 195:12,13 |
| 102.1,3 | 185:10 | 20:17 62:19 | 67:8 253:8 | trick 193.12,13 |
| 114:13 118:4 | 188:16 | 98:21 102:8 | | tried 166:6 |
| | | | transcripts | |
| 118:8 135:21 | 199:17 | 106:17 144:6 | 12:4 | 167:4 |
| 156:5,7,11 | 202:19,21 | 149:22 152:6 | transfer 5:8,10 | tries 28:9,9 |
| 158:1 172:13 | 205:11 208:3 | 178:10,14,17 | 28:6 40:10 | 32:8 |
| 172:14 | 210:13,15 | 179:12 | 45:17 117:21 | trigger 231:1 |
| 182:20 | 211:3 212:12 | 222:12 | 127:8 151:13 | triggered |
| 184:11,16 | 214:7 216:1 | 235:20 242:6 | 157:9 162:12 | 211:22 |
| 216:14,21 | 219:9,22 | 242:17 247:1 | 182:13,16 | 213:12 |
| 219:16 | 224:12 225:1 | torture 85:22 | 185:17 230:3 | triggers |
| thresholds | 232:19 247:9 | 86:3 | 232:5 246:4 | 114:17 229:6 |
| 211:21 212:5 | time-consu | tos 151:22 | transferred | 229:7 230:6 |
| through-put | 204:12 | 214:21 | 19:2 85:3 | trimester |
| 113:17 | times 9:18 | total 48:18 | 155:2 186:5 | 116:10 |
| Thursday 1:14 | 10:21 11:9 | 50:20 52:6 | 209:12 232:1 | trouble 147:8 |
| 1:20 | 51:20 82:11 | 52:12 | transferring | Troy 37:4 |
| tie 109:1 | 208:3 236:6 | touched | 86:19 168:1 | 214:6 |
| till 122:11 | 246:13 | 126:22 | transfers | true 70:4,14 |
| 214:8 | title 4:15,16 | TPS 67:14,14 | 45:13 152:18 | 71:17 81:7 |
| time 6:10,12 | 42:11,15 | 67:17 | transitioning | 148:5 252:4 |
| 9:3,3,21 | 44:7,18 74:9 | track 146:6,7 | 156:8 | 253:8 |
| 13:16 20:11 | 74:10,10,18 | 209:11 | transnational | Trump 27:17 |
| 21:1,13 22:2 | 103:22 104:3 | 244:16 | 243:3 | 29:3 51:5 |
| 25:18 28:4 | 104:20 105:1 | tractor 241:10 | transport 40:5 | 70:3,14 96:8 |
| 28:10 31:19 | 105:3,15,22 | trade 35:4,7,8 | transportation | 109:17 |
| 51:10 55:6 | 106:10,12,15 | 38:6 | 40:2 45:6 | 124:19 125:1 |
| 68:10 69:20 | 106:15 107:9 | traditional | trash 80:20 | 173:13,16 |
| 70:10,22 | 111:16,17,18 | 247:15 | 81:1,5 | 174:1,9 |
| 71:12,15 | 111:22 112:1 | Traditionally | travel 35:4 | 175:6 189:7 |
| 105:4 111:17 | 160:15 171:6 | 245:19 | 38:6 80:4 | 240:19 |
| 111:17 | 207:2 226:7 | traffic 240:10 | 150:9 207:5 | Trump's 59:4 |
| 114:10 118:2 | 237:11,20 | 240:12,13 | 207:19,21 | 59:7 |
| 120:18 121:3 | today 10:5,7 | 249:4,5 | traveled 29:14 | try 8:2,21 9:22 |
| 121:7 133:14 | 121:9 163:1 | traffickers | traveling 38:7 | 28:3,10 32:7 |
| 151:18 | 173:14 184:2 | 241:16 | 38:19 82:2 | 54:18 65:10 |
| | | | | |
| <u></u> | l | l | I | I |

| 89:16 109:6 | Twenty-seven | 202:21 | understand | 56:19 57:22 |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 183:20 230:3 | 225:14,15 | 227:12 241:6 | 8:19,21 10:7 | 58:9 59:14 |
| 230:21 239:1 | two 16:5 19:5 | typographical | 22:14 37:7 | 60:2,3 62:10 |
| trying 32:18 | 19:19 41:17 | 179:15 | 176:2 180:9 | 64:12,15 |
| 42:14 53:19 | 42:3,4 43:13 | | 196:11 | 65:15 67:21 |
| 59:13 75:10 | 53:20 55:9 | U | understandi | 68:2,3 77:6 |
| 75:15 93:19 | 58:22 71:9 | U.S 2:12 4:4 | 14:8 39:20 | 80:8 93:1 |
| 109:1 122:19 | 71:10 72:16 | 4:10,20 6:6 | 40:1 66:15 | 97:8,13 |
| 147:22 | 79:6 87:4 | 7:14 16:19 | 108:11 | 104:12 153:9 |
| 153:12 176:5 | 90:18,20 | 17:4,22 | 147:11 | 160:20 |
| 184:15 | 106:13,18 | 34:15 56:11 | understood | 181:13 231:9 |
| 195:13 | 110:6,14,22 | 58:12,17 | 9:1 248:21 | 253:1 |
| 203:10 | 111:5 113:18 | 67:8 80:17 | undertake | units 27:15,20 |
| 235:21 | 120:4 127:6 | 81:4 106:5 | 63:3 | 28:13,17,22 |
| 237:14 250:1 | 132:3 135:22 | 129:2 154:13 | unexpired | 30:22 31:6 |
| 257.14 250.1 | 136:2 138:21 | 240:3 244:17 | 192:1 | 31:20 32:4,5 |
| Tucson 83:11 | 152:6 172:13 | 245:9 247:16 | unfair 86:6 | 32:9,15,20 |
| 238:2 | 172:14 180:1 | UAC 240:15 | unfavorable | 33:4,14 65:8 |
| Tuesday 177:3 | 182:9,20 | Uh-huh 55:22 | 59:4,6,7 | 65:12,18 |
| turn 31:11 | 183:4 186:12 | 203:14 | unfortunately | |
| | | 207:16 | 23:13 235:16 | 66:9,13,14 |
| 71:4 98:8
99:15 129:14 | 188:13
196:22 200:4 | 219:15 249:1 | 246:15 | 66:16,17
72:10 73:12 |
| | 204:8 212:18 | ultimate 17:14 | | |
| 130:22 | | ultimately 19:4 | unified 246:3 | 73:15,16 |
| 131:14 132:7 | 212:20,20 | 142:4 157:11 | unique 23:12 | 92:8,15 |
| 139:2 179:11 | 213:16 | unable 33:3 | 106:4 | 102:6 103:22 |
| 208:15 218:4 | 239:13 | 45:12,16 | unit 5:3 132:10 | 114:22 |
| turned 40:16 | 247:12 249:2 | 63:9 | 147:12,18 | 117:12 143:9 |
| 45:7 63:19 | 249:17 | unacceptable | 158:11 205:4 | 151:13 157:6 |
| 84:11 107:14 | TX 4:12 | 168:18 | 205:9 220:21 | 157:9,14,20 |
| 112:14 186:9 | type 145:8 | | 240:15 | 158:5,17 |
| 186:11,19,22 | 185:21 | unaccompa | United 1:1,9 | 161:14,16,22 |
| 187:22 | 244:18 | 30:19,21 | 6:5 7:4,6,8 | 162:11 165:9 |
| turning 53:14 | typical 26:12 | 31:6,10 | 7:10 16:12 | 168:2,20 |
| 161:15 | 84:4 | 32:17 55:2 | 17:6,10,15 | 206:8 211:22 |
| 188:10 | typically 22:10 | 70:7,9,18,20 | 18:20 25:22 | 212:7,9,10 |
| 249:22 250:5 | 23:3 28:3 | 73:11 102:6 | 26:18 27:1,6 | 219:9 221:6 |
| 250:15,16,20 | 46:10 73:18 | 102:7 104:3 | 27:12 28:14 | 223:19 224:9 |
| turns 31:14 | 74:17 80:16 | 186:9 | 28:18 34:13 | 224:13,16,19 |
| Twenty-five | 83:5 85:6 | uncommon | 34:18 35:8 | 229:1,3,8 |
| 193:19 | 113:1 121:5 | 244:2 | 36:16,20 | 230:6 |
| Twenty-four | 141:17 143:8 | underneath | 39:3 41:1,7 | unknown 66:3 |
| 192:19,20 | 164:15 167:1 | 63:15 65:6 | 41:21 42:14 | unknowns |
| Twenty-one | 191:2 192:14 | 125:14 | 45:19 46:3 | 148:18 |
| 183:2 | 199:5 200:10 | 217:18 | 53:19 54:3 | unprecedent |
| | | | | |
| | <u>-</u> | <u> </u> | • | |

| 41:6 137:8 | utilized 99:8 | version 129:11 | 201:18 | 202:2 219:22 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 139:18 | 99:22 100:16 | version 129.11 | 201.10 | 249:22 |
| unrest 245:6 | 109:16 115:4 | 215:10 | W | way 23:15 31:3 |
| unsafe 241:1 | 115:11 | 217:12 223:1 | WA/NTA | 113:16 |
| unsure 164:3 | 116:15 | 251:2 | 106:18 | 128:22 131:7 |
| unsurprisin | 117:13,16 | video 6:11 | waive 251:2 | 219:16 |
| 97:21 | 163:3,5 | Video 6.11
 Videographer | walked 70:21 | 221:18 241:8 |
| unsustainable | 164:7 166:16 | 2:18 6:2 | wander 32:18 | 253:12 |
| 46:11 | 212:18 | 68:18,22 | want 9:13 31:5 | ways 89:16 |
| unusual 85:7 | 213:22 | 119:11 | 32:19 45:4 | 118:14 177:1 |
| 85:10 145:11 | 215:11 | 174:19 175:1 | 62:13 74:9 | we'll 10:15,16 |
| | utilizes 119:16 | 238:11,15 | 78:13 94:10 | 83:14 155:7 |
| unwilling 33:3
45:12,16 | utilizes 119.16
utilizing 91:7 | 250.11,15 | 94:14 96:11 | 174:15 |
| update 247:6 | 119:1 213:10 | videotaped | 101:6 119:4 | 198:18 199:1 |
| | utmost 25:17 | 1:15 6:3 | 119:16 122:1 | |
| updated
179:13 | นเทเบรเ 23.17 | view 58:17 | 124:3 150:9 | 235:6
we're 9:20 |
| upper 155:4 | V | | 155:9,19 | 13:20 52:17 |
| | vaccinated | 59:3,4,6,7
viewed 24:22 | 162:11 164:4 | 60:7 68:22 |
| uptick 244:20
Ursula 120:8 | 90:4,8,11 | | 167:18 | 76:16 92:1 |
| 247:3 | vaccine 89:22 | 25:4
violation | 171:16 177:9 | 93:9 96:19 |
| USA 159:18 | valid 81:11 | 111:12 | 182:12 186:4 | |
| USA 159:18
USB 114:18 | 192:1 | violence 58:3 | 205:10 251:1 | 101:12 110:4
114:14 116:1 |
| USB 114:18
USBP 4:17 | Valley 20:1 | 58:6 243:13 | wanted 99:9 | 114:14 116:1 |
| USC 76:12 | 23:22 70:8 | 243:14 | 114:11 116:5 | 119:11 124:9 |
| 191:17 | 94:2,13 | | 155:19 | |
| use 13:17 | 117:9 120:8 | Virginia 1:13
1:20 6:10 | 248:21 | 137:8 142:20
145:8 147:7 |
| 63:11 77:17 | 168:20 | | wants 89:1 | 157:19 |
| 91:9 118:3 | 175:14 176:3 | 253:3,5
visa 79:19 | warrant 74:15 | 157:19 |
| 155:5 200:11 | 176:14 170:5 | 80:8 81:11 | 74:20 75:3,5 | 167:12 173:4 |
| 203:13 | 212:4 217:21 | | 110:18,19 | 174:19 175:1 |
| 203:13 | 223:10 | visibility
227:18 | 111:6,9 | 196:17 203:2 |
| | 246:20 250:4 | | 189:17 | |
| 230:19
235:12 | Values 4:14 | volume 121:10 | warrantless | 219:14
236:15 |
| | varies 84:12 | voluntarily
74:21 | 75:3 | |
| 236:10,11
237:1 241:7 | varies 04.12
vary 32:19 | voluntary | warrants | 238:11,15
242:16 243:5 |
| uses 73:5 | vary 32.19
vast 80:12 | 78:17 106:18 | 188:1 | 242.16 243.5 |
| 78:12 89:5 | 86:2 104:11 | 112:20 185:5 | Washington | 249:17 250:2 |
| 235:12 | Venezuela | | 2:13 7:16 | |
| | 61:12 116:20 | 187:4,5
VR 187:3 | 19:15,18 | 251:5,5,15
251:19 |
| Usually 205:19
utilization | 245:7 | VR 187:3
VR'd 187:2 | wasn't 45:11 | we've 52:7 |
| 14:11 117:4 | Venezuelans | vk a 187:2
vs 1:8 | 126:7 157:1 | 59:20 68:14 |
| 14.11 117.4 | 93:9 | vs 1.0
vulnerable | 164:2 171:11 | 74:3 91:15 |
| utilize 100:12 | Vermont 23:21 | 65:4 115:22 | 175:19 191:1 | |
| | 24:3 | | 200:14,15 | 106:7,22 |
| 166:7 | 27.0 | 116:4,12 | 200.17,10 | 118:17,19 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| 4 | 3 |
|--------|---|
| \neg | J |

| 126:22 | 78:6,9 | 21:11 211:7 | 189:22 | 59:21 71:9 |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 141:18 | 112:20 | 245:18 246:2 | 190:18 203:9 | 71:11 74:4,6 |
| 149:14 | witness 1:16 | 246:16 | 215:22 | 119:18 |
| 154:21 164:1 | 3:2 6:15 | world 38:16 | 219:18 220:8 | 120:11 121:4 |
| 173:14 | 35:17 68:9 | 147:7 | 219.16 220.6 | 131:5,8 |
| 173:14 | 68:13,16 | worse 166:8 | 231:11 232:4 | 131:5,8 |
| 174:15
183:16 184:1 | 83:3 118:21 | worse 166:8
wouldn't 141:8 | 237:11 232:4 | 132:10 |
| | | | 237:12,18 | |
| 184:12 185:3 | 119:8 133:6 | 167:8 | | 201:18
240:10 |
| 185:5 196:8 | 155:9 160:18
174:14 | wrap-around
30:18 202:15 | 243:1 248:18
251:4 | 240:10
244:20 |
| 200:2 243:14 | | | _ | _ |
| 243:15 | 175:10,12 | write 68:11 | year 4:9,20 | 249:13 |
| 246:11 | 181:15 182:9 | writing 24:17 | 15:4 16:15 | years' 148:4 |
| 249:18 | 238:19 242:7 | wrong 239:3 | 16:18 18:16 | yell 26:17 |
| 250:10 | 242:11 | 240:22 | 19:1,9,11,22 | yelling 183:22 |
| web 47:15 | 250:19 251:8 | wrote 208:9 | 41:16 47:12 | yesterday |
| 49:13 52:10 | 252:7 253:6 | X | 48:7 49:10 | 62:18,21 |
| week 93:19 | 253:9,14 | x 1:5,12 4:1 | 49:15,19,22 | YOUNG 1:22 |
| 217:9 | witnesses | 166:3 | 50:12 51:4,7 | 253:4 |
| welcomed | 25:19 83:8 | 100.5 | 51:12,12,20 | Yuma 94:1,12 |
| 150:13 | 83:14,17,22 | Υ | 51:20,21 | 117:9 214:3 |
| welfare 228:16 | 135:17 | yeah 8:5 16:6 | 52:2,2,5,6,6 | 214:5 230:19 |
| went 19:12 | women 25:15 | 18:20 35:10 | 52:13,14 | 230:20 238:2 |
| 69:4 177:21 | wonderful | 68:13 78:19 | 53:5 55:17 | 250:3 |
| 205:12 | 150:17,20 | 93:8 96:20 | 57:1 96:5 | Yuma's 246:18 |
| 218:22 | word 22:11 | 100:14 | 105:11 115:6 | |
| 223:15,16 | 63:1 73:5,8 | 106:21 | 121:8 124:1 | |
| weren't 45:6 | 73:19 89:5 | 110:14 | 124:2,18 | 0 |
| 114:12 198:1 | 99:8 100:12 | 120:19 | 128:5 148:14 | 0012 217:19 |
| 205:3 232:7 | 181:9 | 123:20 | 182:14,17 | 0012 217:19
0016 218:5 |
| 249:13 | worded 58:21 | 124:12,17 | 201:8 202:22 | 0010 218.3
0019 221:13 |
| west 18:10 | 58:22 | 126:1,12 | 202:22 | 0019 22 1.13 002081 159:18 |
| whatnot 243:9 | words 22:10 | 127:1 130:11 | 212:22 213:3 | 002081 139.18 003 195:2 |
| WHEREOF | work 21:7 38:4 | 131:6 134:7 | 214:8 243:16 | 003 195.2
004 196:11 |
| 253:14 | 38:13 68:16 | 135:8 137:18 | 250:11 | 206:11 |
| whoever's | 141:13 | 137:19 146:8 | years 14:16 | |
| 23:8 | 159:10 199:1 | 148:2 149:2 | 18:20 19:20 | 1 |
| wife 16:4 | 200:21 204:3 | 149:7,8 | 21:2,5 24:21 | 1 4:3 6:3 33:18 |
| willing 168:19 | 230:2 247:15 | 154:3 158:15 | 25:3,14 | 33:22 34:4,6 |
| Wilson 1:19 | worked 14:18 | 159:9 160:6 | 41:12,17 | 39:1,2,2 77:4 |
| 6:9 | 14:22 19:10 | 162:1,2 | 42:9 43:16 | 77:11,15 |
| winks 8:13 | 21:12 44:21 | 165:2 172:19 | 48:9,13 | 78:20,22,22 |
| withdraw | 65:10 240:11 | 173:12 | 49:21 53:4 | 79:1 87:2 |
| 78:14 | 240:11,13 | 181:18 | 53:20 56:22 | 88:17,17 |
| withdrawal | working 18:18 | 188:18 | 57:13 58:8 | 130:7 |
| | | 100.10 | | |
| | | | | |

44

| 1 127 146:42 | 1225 4:15 | 150 57:10 14 | 1919 15:19 | 2016 40:40 42 |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1,127 146:13 | 1225 4:15 | 158 57:10,14 | | 2016 48:10,13 |
| 1,148,024 | 76:12 81:10 | 159 5:5 | 192 5:12 | 48:19 |
| 50:21 | 91:2 92:8 | 15th 16:15 | 193 5:13 | 2017 48:10 |
| 1,200 216:14 | 1225(a)(1) | 170:12 | 194 5:14 | 49:22 131:7 |
| 1,500 137:15 | 77:16 | 16 5:2 61:10 | 1965 75:19 | 2018 29:14 |
| 1.7 148:13 | 1225(a)(3) | 62:9 66:18 | 1991 15:2 | 47:12 48:2,7 |
| 1.8 250:10 | 77:21 | 143:18,19 | 249:7 | 132:19 |
| 1/2021 146:10 | 1225(a)(4) 78:6 | 144:2,4 | 1996 240:10 | 2019 18:1,2,3 |
| 1:08 119:10 | 1225(b)(2) | 16,000 65:6 | 1997 22:5 | 50:9,12 |
| 1:48 222:15 | 87:15 88:14 | 16:10 238:12 | 29:21 | 51:14 131:9 |
| 10 4:16 96:19 | 123 4:18 | 16:27 238:16 | 19th 43:11 | 132:19 |
| 96:21 97:4,7 | 128 4:20 | 16:44 251:19 | 195:15 | 212:16,17,21 |
| 98:9 99:17 [°] | 12th 99:17 | 169 5:6 | | 212:22 |
| 10:48 68:19,20 | 215:8 | 16th 152:9 | 2 | 240:15 |
| 10:57 68:21 | 13 4:19 98:8 | 159:5 179:2 | 2 4:4 35:12,14 | 202 2:14 |
| 69:1 | 98:12 128:14 | 190:12 | 35:19,21,22 | 2020 5:9 55:11 |
| 101 4:17 | 128:15 129:1 | 17 5:4 18:5 | 36:5 37:19 | 55:12,14 |
| 11 4:17 16:16 | 129:14 | 130:6 150:22 | 55:8 88:18 | 179:13 |
| 19:13 101:7 | 179:13,19 | 151:1,6,8,11 | 95:5 178:16 | 182:14 |
| 101:8,13,16 | 180:3,6,6,11 | 151:16 153:7 | 226:13,16 | 184:17,17,18 |
| 11 | , , , | | 2,500 136:6 | , , |
| 101:18 111:1 | 13:08 119:12 | 160:10 170:1 | 2/16/21 5:4 | 184:20 185:1 |
| 112:20 | 1300 7:15 | 170:5 179:3 | 2:27 174:21 | 188:5 189:8 |
| 11,692 200:2 | 133 4:22 | 189:8 222:11 | | 2021 4:18,20 |
| 11/1/2021 | 137 5:1 | 17,000 121:21 | 2:46 174:22 | 5:7,10 49:22 |
| 146:22 | 13th 15:1 | 177 5:7 | 20 5:7 20:6 | 51:2 52:18 |
| 11/1/21 146:10 | 14 4:21 103:11 | 18 5:5 111:18 | 23:14 41:12 | 54:21 55:3,6 |
| 11:59 119:9 | 133:2,3,9 | 111:22 | 177:11,12 | 55:17 59:1 |
| 1182 4:16 97:8 | 137:17 | 130:12 | 252:10 | 66:5,8 |
| 98:9 100:5 | 14-year-old | 159:10,14,19 | 20,000 232:11 | 121:11,15 |
| 191:17 | 103:10 | 167:11 | 2000 19:1 | 122:11 |
| 1182(a) 79:7 | 14:27 174:20 | 218:15 | 240:13 | 123:19 |
| 1182(a)(7) 79:8 | 14:46 175:2 | 181 5:9 | 249:20 | 124:18 125:8 |
| 1182(b) 100:15 | 143 5:3 | 182 5:10 | 20044 2:13 | 128:5 137:7 |
| 1182(d) 99:18 | 144 99:16 | 18th 101:2 | 2009 19:10 | 152:9 153:11 |
| 1192(f) 98:21 | 145 98:16 | 196:4,5 | 2010 19:13 | 162:19 164:6 |
| 99:4 | 15 5:1 137:17 | 227:3 234:11 | 2011 15:5 | 166:21 |
| 11th 215:8 | 137:20 138:3 | 19 5:6 29:14 | 19:20 | 167:13 |
| 221:17 | 218:12 | 50:17 51:21 | 2012 21:10 | 177:20 178:2 |
| 12 4:18 93:22 | 221:20 | 61:10 62:9 | 2013 19:20 | 179:16,17,21 |
| 123:9,11,15 | 15,000 203:5 | 66:18 169:4 | 20:2 | 180:12 |
| 123:18 124:4 | 15,052 217:9 | 169:5,12 | 2014 70:8,11 | 182:17 |
| 127:10,18 | 151 5:4 | 200:3 | 70:17 120:10 | 188:17 |
| 130:10 | 152 237:22 | 19,355 200:3 | 190:12 191:2 | 189:11,15 |
| 12/16/14 5:11 | 154 3:12 | 19,333 200.3
190 5:11 | 240:15 | 198:3,7 |
| 1 2/10/14 J. 11 | 1040.14 | 130 0.11 | | 130.5,1 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| 1 | 5 |
|---|---|
| - | , |

| 207:10,11 | 212(d)(5) | 6:10 124:10 | 33,000 136:21 | 179:13,16,21 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 210:15 213:5 | 191:13,16 | 124:10 223:6 | 34 135:3 | 180:12 |
| 215:7,7,8,8,9 | 21st 162:19 | 223:18 | 341 132:18 | 253:15 |
| 216:18 217:8 | 167:13 | 29 126:5 134:5 | 35 4:5 104:22 | 200.10 |
| 217:20 | 22 5:10 52:2,5 | 136:1 | 105:5,9 | 5 |
| 217.20 | 52:13 53:1 | 2nd 210:15 | 134:8,21 | 5 4:8 5:7 49:2 |
| 222:18 | 124:4,5 | 215:8 222:17 | 135:21 136:4 | 49:4,9,18 |
| 223:14 | 131:8 182:2 | 213.0 222.17 | 36 23:15 | 71:4 99:18 |
| 223.14
228:12 | | 3 | 37 23:15 | 100:6,15 |
| 245:12
245:12 | 182:4,16
188:10 190:2 | 3 4:6 42:21 | 388 200:1 | 212:6 |
| II - | | 43:1,6,9,18 | | 5,000 125:16 |
| 2022 1:14,21 | 222:10 | 43:21 44:7 | 3s 129:21 | 131:17,22 |
| 4:9,22 6:10 | 225 5:15 | 44:12 46:1 | 4 | 136:9 139:8 |
| 43:11 49:10 | 22nd 215:7 | 46:12 53:15 | 4 4:7 47:5,6,11 | 139:11 |
| 49:15,19 | 217:8,20 | 53:16 87:15 | 48:5 88:15 | 5/12/15 5:12 |
| 52:18 53:6 | 234:8 | 95:6 129:15 | 88:17 179:11 | 5/12/13 5.12 5/15/22 5:6 |
| 133:9 147:2 | 23 5:11 124:4 | 136:21 | 179:12 197:5 | 5/19/22 5.6 5/19/22 4:6 |
| 170:12 | 124:5 134:3 | 3,600 216:13 | 4,000 249:8 | 5/21/21 5:5 |
| 188:20 | 190:3,5,9 | 3;21-cv-1066 | , | |
| 195:15 213:6 | 193:9 | | 4,489 216:22 4:10 238:13 | 50,000 131:12 |
| 227:3 234:8 | 2300 1:19 6:9 | 1:9 6:8 | | 148:7,7,11 |
| 234:11 | 235 76:10 77:1 | 30 136:21 | 4:27 238:14 | 232:7,10 |
| 253:15 | 238 3:5 | 253:21 | 4:44 251:20 | 50/50 105:12 |
| 2023 5:1 138:4 | 24 5:12 192:21 | 30,000 134:10 | 40 104:22 | 527 140:2 |
| 139:3 | 193:6 | 136:6,21 | 105:5,9 | 53 241:9 |
| 2026 253:21 | 24-hour | 139:13 190:1 | 139:2 237:14 | 54 4:11 |
| 20th 51:2 59:1 | 232:22 | 3018 219:14 | 415,816 48:15 | 55 136:17 |
| 61:3 196:5,6 | 24/7 211:7 | 31 14:16 21:1 | 42 42:11,15 | 55,000 125:16 |
| 196:9 215:7 | 245-0147 2:9 | 24:21 25:3 | 44:8,18 74:9 | 130:8 131:21 |
| 216:18 227:7 | 247 15:21 | 25:14 43:16 | 103:22 104:4 | 136:8 |
| 227:11,15 | 248 3:6 | 53:4 56:22 | 104:20 105:1 | 598-7537 2:14 |
| 245:12 | 25 5:13 192:18 | 57:13 58:8 | 105:16 | 5th 177:20 |
| 21 5:8 50:13 | 193:16,22 | 148:4 240:9 | 106:10,15 | 178:2 179:17 |
| 51:5,13,20 | 194:3 222:16 | 31-year 27:21 | 171:6 237:11 | 218:16 |
| 52:6,14 53:1 | 250:6 | 31st 223:6 | 237:20 | 222:15 |
| 61:5 111:18 | 25,000 38:18 | 224:1,15 | 43 4:6 | 223:13 224:2 |
| 112:1 123:20 | 139:6,13 | 32 124:9 125:4 | 46 236:18 | 224:12 |
| 124:2 136:19 | 232:12 | 135:3,20 | 47 4:7 | |
| 162:1 181:17 | 26 5:14 138:16 | 236:16 | 47,000 148:18 | 6 |
| 181:19 | 152:11 159:5 | 32,000 136:21 | 149:5 | 6 4:10 54:6,7 |
| 182:13,22 | 194:13,14,21 | 32,500 136:5 | 47,984 147:2,9 | 54:12,15,20 |
| 183:3 190:2 | 222:17 | 32399-1050 | 475 217:21,22 | 55:18 79:7 |
| 221:16 | 27 5:15 225:16 | 2:6 | 48,850 131:11 | 197:6 242:5 |
| 21,000 16:20 | 225:21 226:2 | 33 4:3 124:11 | 49 4:9 | 60 4:12 166:5 |
| 212 97:5,8 | 28 1:14,21 | 131:7 138:19 | 4th 147:2 | 211:6 221:21 |
| | | | | 60,000 125:6,9 |
| | • | • | • | • |

| | | | 46 |
|--|---|--|----|
| 125:14,16 131:21 136:20 189:12 65 232:21 69 4:14 690,637 48:21 7 74:12 60:7,8 60:13,16,18 61:2 62:15 7/4/2022 146:22 70 211:6 232:21 7046852 253:22 72 28:4,10 156:13 158:6 158:7,18 75 122:22 200:5 203:2 250:4 76 4:15 | 9 4:15 76:16
76:17,22,22
78:21 87:2
91:2 95:5
131:16
178:10,14
9:32 1:20 6:11
90 154:19
91 188:6
96 4:16 240:11
249:20
98 240:11
249:21 | | |
| 8
8 3:4 4:13,15
4:16 69:11
69:12,17
71:5 74:10
74:10,18
76:11 97:8
105:3,22
106:13,15
107:9 111:16
178:7,9,13
191:17 207:2
85 191:17
201:18 237:8
850 2:9
859,501 50:18
868 2:13 | | | |

Exhibit B

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY





July 18, 2022

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Raul L. Ortiz

Chief

U.S. Border Patrol

Corey A. Price

Executive Associate Director

Enforcement and Removal Operations

FROM:

Chris Magnus C

Commissioner

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Tae D. Johnson

Acting Director

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

SUBJECT:

Policy on the Use of Parole Plus Alternatives to Detention

to Decompress Border Locations

Purpose

To require that certain processing be completed, and certain capacity criteria be met, before noncitizens may be released from custody along the Southwest border via Parole plus Alternatives to Detention (Parole + ATD). This memorandum rescinds and replaces all prior guidance regarding the use of Parole + ATD, including but not limited to the November 2, 2021, memorandum from USBP Chief Raul L. Ortiz, titled *Parole Plus Alternative to Detention*.

Background

Undocumented noncitizens who are not expelled pursuant to the court-ordered implementation of the Centers for Disease Control & Prevention's Title 42 public health Order are inspected by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) upon encounter, consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1225(a); this is often referred to as "processing." Processing includes, among other things, identification, review of immigration and criminal history, an assessment of national security concerns, and an evaluation of what pathway is most appropriate for the individual noncitizen, to include removal proceedings under section 240 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1229. expedited removal, permitting an individual to voluntarily return, and/or parole.

Policy on the Use of Parole Plus Alternatives to Detention to Decompress Border Locations Page 2

Pursuant to section 212(d)(5)(A) of the INA, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)(A), the Secretary of Homeland Security has the authority to parole certain noncitizens into the United States "temporarily . . . on a case-by-case basis for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit." When, pursuant to an inspection of a noncitizen under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(a), CBP exercises its discretion to parole noncitizens on a case-by-case basis into the United States, including during the initiation of or to facilitate the initiation of removal proceedings under section 240 of the INA, those noncitizens may be eligible to be enrolled in the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) ATD program.

The goal of the ICE ATD program is, for a portion of the enrolled non-detained population, to increase compliance with release conditions, court appearances, and final orders of removal. ATD is an alternative to detention and allows ICE to exercise increased supervision over noncitizens who, on a case-by-case basis, are not detained, but are potentially subject to removal. The level of supervision and technology assigned to noncitizens enrolled in the ATD program is determined on a case-by-case basis based on each individual's current immigration status, criminal history, compliance history, community or family ties, role as a caregiver or provider, and other humanitarian or medical factors. ICE may adjust the level of supervision and technology required as the level of the noncitizen's compliance either increases or decreases.

Generally, CBP standards allow for short-term detention of fewer than 72 hours in CBP facilities, after which time CBP is expected to transfer the noncitizen to ICE if CBP believes continued custody is required. While this time period may sometimes be exceeded, CBP facilities are not structured or equipped for long-term detention. This yields numerous challenges, including the ability to provide appropriate medical care and to treat and control contagious illnesses. In sum, crowding in border facilities poses risks to both individuals in custody and those working there. Border encounters remain at historic highs. With a record number of displaced persons in the hemisphere, high encounter rates and the associated challenges are expected to continue. It is incumbent on CBP to transfer noncitizens to ICE or if discretion warrants, to release the noncitizen under appropriate conditions.

Parole + ATD provides a processing mechanism to address situations in which there is not appropriate detention space available, and there are operational concerns about the number of people present in, and potentially subject to a prolonged time-in-custody at, USBP facilities along the Southwest border. It is significantly more efficient—approximately 60 minutes faster—to process individuals, consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1225(a), for Parole + ATD as opposed to issuing a Notice to Appear (NTA) or other charging document at the time of encounter. Use of Parole + ATD also allows ICE to exercise increased supervision over certain noncitizens who are released from CBP custody pending the initiation of removal proceedings. Those subject to Parole + ATD are not simply released into the community; they are subject to supervision and are subsequently issued an NTA under INA § 240.

That said, Parole + ATD is a tool that should be used sparingly—only when justified by an urgent humanitarian reason or because it yields a significant public benefit in the form of disease-mitigation, as a safety valve to address overcrowding.

Policy on the Use of Parole Plus Alternatives to Detention to Decompress Border Locations Page 3

Policy

Over the last several months, CBP and ICE have made deliberate efforts to prioritize the health and safety of noncitizens and the workforce. The use of Parole + ATD provides one such tool—allowing for the more rapid decompression of facilities that otherwise may be overcrowded in ways that promote health and safety. That said, and as this guidance makes clear, the use of Parole + ATD is not meant to be a primary processing tool; its use is permitted only in those situations in which threshold criteria are met, and its use is subject to appropriate approvals and oversight — thus ensuring that its application is limited to those situations in which the relevant health and safety conditions justify its use.

Threshold Criteria and Approval Process

Parole + ATD may be authorized by the Commissioner of CBP only when the following criteria exist:

- There are more than 15,000 noncitizens in USBP custody across all Southwest border sectors <u>OR</u> a sector or centralized processing center's in-custody total exceeds 100% of its full capacity; AND
- CBP has encountered more than 6,000 noncitizens per day across the Southwest border over a 72-hour period.

When these scenarios exist, they create urgent crowding and excessive time-in-custody concerns that justify application of ICE's limited ATD resources to support CBP's use of parole on a case-by-case basis for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit.

To use Parole + ATD in a specific border sector, the relevant USBP Sector Chief must request approval from the Commissioner of CBP, through the USBP Chief.

Approval for Parole + ATD may be granted only on a sector-by-sector basis and Parole + ATD may not be used for noncitizens transferred laterally from a sector that has not met the threshold criteria above. Additionally, approval for use of Parole + ATD is time limited and must be reassessed every week by the Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner. USBP is not authorized to process noncitizens via Parole + ATD when these criteria are not met, absent extraordinary circumstances as determined by the Commissioner of CBP.

Individual Assessment

Each noncitizen is individually processed consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1225(a) after encounter. This inspection process includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of the individual's identification and immigration background, review of any national security or criminal concerns, and consideration of which immigration processing pathway is best applicable to the individual noncitizen. This memorandum applies only to those individuals who are expected to be placed in removal proceedings under INA § 240.

Once the Parole + ATD initial threshold is met, each individual noncitizen should be assessed on a case-by-case individualized basis to determine whether he or she is eligible for parole based on

Policy on the Use of Parole Plus Alternatives to Detention to Decompress Border Locations Page 4

an urgent humanitarian reason or whether there is a significant public benefit. In making this determination, agents shall consider individualized circumstances, such as an individual's current immigration status, criminal history, compliance history, community or family ties, role as a caregiver or provider, and other humanitarian or medical factors. Upon placing an individual in Parole + ATD, CBP will temporarily pause the completion of the removal paperwork under INA § 240, which will instead be completed at a later date.

Prior to a noncitizen's processing via Parole + ATD, CBP must conduct biometric identity verification and thoroughly evaluate any potential national security and public safety concerns. USBP must also collect and document a physical address for each noncitizen processed via Parole + ATD.

Ineligible for Parole + ATD

Parole + ATD may not be used for noncitizens who, based on an individualized assessment, pose a national security risk, unmitigable flight risk, public safety threat, or who claim to be, are suspected to be, or are determined to be unaccompanied children as defined by 6 U.S.C. § 279(g)(2) or appear likely to be subject to the mandatory detention requirements of INA § 236(c), 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), if processed for removal proceedings pursuant to INA §240, 8 U.S.C. § 1229.

Subsequent Processing

CBP and ICE will work jointly to streamline and complete charging document issuance for individuals processed via Parole + ATD. Each agency is responsible for completing the processing for 50 percent of the total Parole + ATD caseload. The final processing and placement into removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229 is expected to be the same, regardless of whether that paperwork occurs as a part of the Parole + ATD processing.

Reporting and Oversight

CBP must notify ICE in writing each time Parole + ATD is authorized in a sector to ensure that ICE has the appropriate staff and ATD capacity available in the applicable location(s). Such notification must occur before processing for Parole + ATD begins in the authorized border sector(s). In each case, ICE will determine the appropriate ATD enrollment conditions for the noncitizen, including but not limited to the type of technology used or frequency of required check-in appointments.

CBP will provide daily reporting to Department of Homeland Security Headquarters and ICE, detailing how many noncitizens were processed at the Southwest border with charging documents, voluntary return, and Parole + ATD, by sector. The daily reports will be used to identify any operational changes that are needed to modify the continued use of Parole + ATD at any given time.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as No. 2:21-cv-01568-MTL Attorney General of Arizona; et al., [PROPOSED] ORDER Plaintiffs, v. Joseph R. Biden in his official capacity as President of the United States; et al., Defendants.

| 1 | Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Reply to Defendants |
|----|---|
| 2 | Response to Plaintiffs' August 12, 2022 Notice of Supplemental Authority, IT IS |
| 3 | HEREBY ORDERED granting the motion. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |