# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

CHIEF WARRANT OFFICER 4, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

No. 22-13522

SECRETARY OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' STATUS REPORT PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER OF JANUARY 23, 2023

## CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Eleventh Circuit Rule 26.1, counsel for defendants-appellants certifies that the following have an interest in the outcome of this appeal:

- 1. Austin, III, Lloyd J., U.S. Secretary of Defense
- 2. Avallone, Zach A.
- 3. Berger, David H., Commandant of the U.S. Marine Corps
- 4. Boynton, Brian M.
- 5. Captain
- 6. Captain 2
- 7. Captain 3
- 8. Carmichael, Andrew Evan
- 9. Carroll, Sarah
- 10. Chief Warrant Officer 3
- 11. Chief Warrant Officer 4
- 12. Clark, Sarah J.
- 13. Clendenen, Michael Patrick
- 14. Colonel Financial Management Officer
- 15. Coppolino, Anthony J.
- 16. Enlow, Courtney
- 17. First Lieutenant

### USCA11 Case: 22-13522 Document: 17 Date Filed: 02/15/2023 Page: 3 of 8 No. 22-13522, Chief Warrant Officer 4 v. Secretary of the U.S. Department of Defense

- 18. Gannam, Roger K.
- 19. Gunnery Sergeant
- 20. Haas, Alexander K.
- 21. Handberg, Roger B.
- 22. Harrington, Sarah E.
- 23. Holland, Liam
- 24. Knapp, Cody T.
- 25. Lance Corporal 1
- 26. Lance Corporal 2
- 27. Lance Corporal 3
- 28. Liberty Counsel, Inc.
- 29. Lieutenant Colonel 1
- 30. Mast, Jr., Richard L.
- 31. Merritt, Robert Charles
- 32. Merryday, Hon. Steven D.
- 33. Midshipman 2/C
- 34. Mihet, Horatio G.
- 35. Porcelli, Anthony E. (mediator)
- 36. Powell, Amy E.
- 37. Reserve Lieutenant Colonel
- 38. Ross, Casen B.

- 39. Scarborough, Charles W.
- 40. Schmid, Daniel Joseph
- 41. Second Lieutenant
- 42. Snyder, Cassandra M.
- 43. Staver, Anita
- 44. Staver, Mathew D.
- 45. Sturgill Jr., Lowell V.
- 46. U.S. Department of Defense
- 47. U.S. Department of Justice
- 48. U.S. Marine Corps
- 49. Yang, Catherine
- 50. Various pseudonymous or unnamed members of the U.S. Marine Corps

  No publicly traded company or corporation has an interest in the outcome of this appeal.

/s/ Sarah Carroll

Sarah Carroll

### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

CHIEF WARRANT OFFICER 4, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

No. 22-13522

SECRETARY OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

# DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' STATUS REPORT PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER OF JANUARY 23, 2023

This is an appeal from a classwide preliminary injunction that barred the Marine Corps from applying its now-rescinded COVID-19 vaccination requirement to any service member who was denied a religious exemption from the requirement after a chaplain found his or her religious beliefs to be sincerely held. The government filed a notice of appeal on October 14, 2022. On January 23, 2023, the Court granted the government's unopposed motion to hold the appeal in abeyance while the district court considers whether the Secretary of Defense's rescission of the vaccination requirement has mooted the underlying disputes. The Court's January 23

order instructed counsel "to file monthly status reports by the 15th of every month," and the government submits this status report consistent with that instruction.<sup>1</sup>

On January 18, 2023, the government moved in district court to dismiss the underlying actions as moot, decertify the class, and dissolve the preliminary injunctions, suggesting that the district court could issue an indicative ruling if necessary. See Dkt. No. 275. The same day, the government filed a motion to stay other proceedings in the case, including a trial that had been scheduled to begin on February 27, until the district court resolved issues of mootness. See Dkt. No. 276. On January 20, the district court issued an order temporarily staying discovery and case management deadlines and continuing the scheduled trial. See Dkt. No. 277. On February 1, plaintiffs filed a combined opposition to the government's motions to dismiss and to stay the district court action. See Dkt. No. 281. On February 14, the government filed a supplemental memorandum in support of its motion to dismiss. See Dkt. No. 284. The district court has not yet issued a decision on the mootness issues that have been raised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court issued similar orders in Navy SEAL 1 v. Secretary of Defense, No. 22-10645 (11th Cir.), and Captain v. Secretary of Defense, No. 22-12029 (11th Cir.), and the government is likewise filing status reports in those appeals today.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES W. SCARBOROUGH

/s/ Sarah Carroll

#### SARAH CARROLL

Attorneys, Appellate Staff
Civil Division
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Rm. 7511
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 514-4027

FEBRUARY 2023

Document: 17 USCA11 Case: 22-13522 Date Filed: 02/15/2023 Page: 8 of 8

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 15, 2023, I caused the foregoing to be filed with the Court using the appellate CM/ECF system, which constitutes service on all parties under the Court's rules.

/s/ Sarah Carroll
Sarah Carroll