IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

BLUE CARE NETWORK OF MICHIGAN, and BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF MICHIGAN MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

No. 20-1000

(Judge Davis)

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

JOINT STATUS REPORT

The parties respectfully submit this joint status report to request that the Court continue the stay of the remaining count of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (Count III), which concerns plaintiffs' 2018 and later cost-sharing reduction (CSR) claims, for 60 days.

This case is currently stayed because the Government is working with a number of CSR plaintiffs to determine whether they may efficiently resolve this matter without further litigation or at least streamline these cases. *See* Doc. 22 at 1. The parties to a number of these CSR cases, including this one, have also presented joint stipulations for CSR amounts owed by the Government for 2017 and had partial judgment entered thereon. *See* Docs. 29, 32. On January 14, 2022, the Court continued the stay and ordered the parties to file a joint status report or joint stipulation of dismissal on or before March 14, 2022. The parties filed that report (Doc. 30), and subsequent ones thereafter. *See* Docs. 34 (May 12, 2022), 35 (July 12, 2022), 36 (Sept. 9, 2022), and 38 (Jan. 9, 2023).

On January 23, 2023, the Court held a status conference in this and other CSR cases before

it. There the parties described their settlement efforts in some detail. The Court encouraged the parties to redouble their efforts and indicated that it was not inclined to extend a stay beyond July 2023. By order entered that same day, the parties are to file their next joint status report by March 10, 2023.

The parties continue to work diligently towards narrowing their disputes, but the complexity of the CSR cases and the number of interested stakeholders necessitate that the parties be afforded additional time for these efforts. To that end, request that the Court continue the stay to provide more time for the broader discussions about possible approaches to resolving this and other CSR cases. As the parties reported before, counsel for a large number of plaintiff health plans shared a proposal with the Government on December 3, 2021. On April 28, 2022, the Government provided its substantive response to the CSR plaintiffs' proposed settlement methodology for CSR damages owed for benefit years 2018 and beyond. The CSR plaintiffs and the Government held a conference call on May 6 to discuss certain aspects of the Government's response and what the next steps should be. Plaintiffs responded on May 23, 2022. Thereafter, the parties have convened by phone multiple times, and the Government produced certain settlementrelated data and other information to the plaintiffs on July 22, 2022. The parties participated in a settlement-related call on September 1, 2022, and the CSR Plaintiffs followed that call with a letter to the Government on September 15, 2022. The Government responded to the CSR Plaintiffs' letter on November 10, 2022. On January 10, 2023, the CSR Plaintiffs responded to the Government's letter. The parties participated in conference calls on Thursday, January 19, 2023, and Friday, February 24, 2023 to discuss the latest settlement proposal. CSR Plaintiffs are evaluating the Government's response and plan to respond with the goal of bringing the parties' discussions of a proposed resolution through settlement to a conclusion. Plaintiffs here have

expressed a willingness to consider seriously the method, if any, that the Government is able to agree to with the other CSR plaintiffs for resolving or streamlining the CSR cases.

In addition, the parties here are discussing with each other and may present to the Court, other options to partially or fully resolve the remaining claims. Good cause therefore exists to continue the stay.

Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the Court continue the stay in this case for 60 days, until May 9, 2023, to file the next joint status report in which the parties will update the Court on the status of their efforts to fully resolve this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN M. BOYNTON Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

PATRICIA M. McCARTHY Director

/s Howard J. Stanislawski
Howard J. Stanislawski
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 736-8000
hstanislawski@sidley.com

Of Counsel:

Thomas D. Cunningham SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603 tcunningham@sidley.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s Claudia Burke CLAUDIA BURKE Assistant Director

/s David M. Kerr
DAVID M. KERR
Trial Attorney
Commercial Litigation Branch
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 480
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044
Telephone: (202) 307-3390
Email: David.M.Kerr@usdoj.gov

OF COUNSEL:

ALBERT S. IAROSSI Senior Trial Counsel Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice

Attorneys for Defendant

March 10, 2023