James J. Davis, Jr., AK Bar No. 9412140 Goriune Dudukgian, AK Bar No. 0506051 Nicholas Feronti, AK Bar No. 2106069 NORTHERN JUSTICE PROJECT, LLC

406 C Street Suite 207

406 G Street, Suite 207 Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 308-3395 (telephone) (866) 813-8645 (fax)

Email: jdavis@njp-law.com Email: gdudukgian@njp-law.com Email: nferonti@njp-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

Sierra Ott, on behalf of L.O., Chelsea Zimmerman, on behalf of E.Z., and all those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

State of Alaska, Department of Health, Heidi Hedberg, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Department, Division of Public Assistance, and Deb Etheridge, in her official capacity as Director of the Division.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-cv-00153-SLG

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY BRIEFING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY The only reason that this case is before this Court is because the State engaged in a

"fraudulent removal." Indeed, the State removed this case from state court based on a

promise that this Court would have jurisdiction,² only to then turn around and claim that

the Court lacks jurisdiction.³ As such, this case should be remanded with haste.⁴

In the interim, and out of respect for this Court's busy docket, the plaintiffs seek to

efficiently litigate about the removal. This is why the plaintiffs have filed motions to stay

the briefing on the State's other motions, until this Court rules on the plaintiffs' motion to

remand. After all, and despite the State's conclusory claims, there is no reason for this

Court to spend valuable time presiding over a discovery motion, or presiding over a motion

to dismiss, in a case that the State itself argues that this Court has no jurisdiction over.

The plaintiffs note that the State registers ire at the plaintiffs not filing motions to

expedite briefing on their motions to stay briefing.⁵ The plaintiffs were simply trying not

to burden this Court with still more satellite motion practice. However, the undersigned

¹ Zachary D. Clopton & Alexandra D. Lahav, Fraudulent Removal, 135 Harv L. Rev.

F. 87, 88 (2021) ("Fraudulent removal' occurs when a removing defendant's assertion of federal jurisdiction is made in bad faith or is wholly insubstantial. The clearest example of fraudulent removal is when a defendant removes a case to federal court and plans to

immediately argue that the federal court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.").

² ECF No. 1 at 2.

³ ECF No. 9 at 3, 7-8, 9-16; ECF No. 17 at 1, 3.

⁴ See, e.g., ECF No. 11-1; ECF No. 18.

⁵ ECF No. 20.

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY BRIEFING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience or confusion caused by not seeking expedited consideration. If this Court denies the plaintiffs' motion for a remand, the plaintiffs respectfully request an opportunity to respond to the State's motion to dismiss, and will do so expeditiously, so that this case can be resolved on merits instead of technicalities.

Dated: September 3, 2024

NORTHERN JUSTICE PROJECT, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Nicholas Feronti

James J. Davis, Jr., AK Bar No. 9412140 Goriune Dudukgian, AK Bar No. 0506051 Nicholas Feronti, AK Bar No. 2106069

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and correct copy of this motion was served on counsel of record for the defendants via the CM/ECF or electronic mail on September 3, 2024.

/s/ Nicholas Feronti Nicholas Feronti, AK Bar No. 2106069